

### Characterization of the bacterial community structure and physicochemical properties of produced water from A4 well, north Hamada oilfield in Libya

Aisha S. M. Amer<sup>1\*</sup>, Hakima A. Althalb<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Biology, Faculty of Education (Gasar Bin Ghashir), University of Tripoli, Tripoli, Libya <sup>2</sup>Libyan Petroleum Institute (LPI)

Corresponding author: Aisha S. M. Amer Ai.Amer@uot.edu.ly

#### ARTICLE INFO

#### Article history:

Received 26/10/2022

Received in revised form 03/12/2022

Accepted 21/12/2022

### ABSTRACT

Produced water is contaminated water that is withdrawn from underground formations to the surface during oil and gas production. Samples from A4 Well - North Hamada Oilfield were collected and analysed to evaluate the quality of the produced water. Physico chemical analysis such as pH, salinity, electrical conductivity, total dissolved solids (TDS), sulphate, carbonate, bicarbonate, hardness, heavy metals, total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPHs) and Benzene, Toluene, Ethylbenzene and Xylene (BTEX) were analysed. The radioactive survey was conducted to measure the activity of alpha ( $\alpha$ ) and beta ( $\beta$ ) particles and gamma ( $\gamma$ ) rays. Culture-independent approach was carried out to investigate the bacterial diversity of produced water. 16S rRNA gene amplification technique using a universal bacterial oligonucleotide primer set was conducted. The results show wide variations in the different properties measured. The key contaminants of concern in the produced water that is more likely to affect the environment negatively are high concentrations of the following: salts content (109980  $\pm$  129.12 m g/L of TDS), EC  $(169200 \pm 105.36 \,\mu\text{S/cm})$ , salinity  $(84158 \pm 50.48 \,\text{mg/L})$ , TPHs  $(58.5 \pm 1.55 \,\text{mg/L})$ , BTEX  $(57.87 \pm 2.65 \text{ mg/L})$  and some heavy metals (Ba 11.9 ± 1.1ppm, Fe 311 ppm ± 12.8 ppm, Se 3.61± 0.42 ppm and Sr 122.± 3.6 ppm). Two oil-degrading bacterial strains were isolated. The isolates are closely related to Kocuria rosea strain DSM 20447 with 99.81% gene sequence similarity and Nocardia coubleae strain OFN N12 with 98.57% gene sequence similarity. This study emphasises the importance of studying the microbial communities' structure that inhabit such oil by-products using genetic techniques.

**Keywords:** produced water; North Hamada oilfield; *K. rosea* DSM 20447; *N. coubleae* OFN N12; Libya.

#### 1. Introduction

During oil and gas production, a considerable amount of water trapped in subsurface formations is generated and comes out to the surface, it is known as brine or formation water [1,2]. Produced water has characterized as very salty water with a level of salinity up to four times higher than the salinity of seawater. This is

because of the fact that produced water contains high concentrations of mineral salts, in addition to the organic matters represented in oil, grease, and volatile organic compounds such as (BTEX), polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), organic acids and phenols [3]. The physicochemical and biological properties of produced water greatly vary, depending on the formation of reservoirs, geological the geographical location of the field and the type of product, whether it is gas or oil [4,5].

Petroleum reservoirs are extreme ecosystems for microbial life due to their high toxicity, hydrophobicity and low water activity, high temperature, salinity, and pressure. Therefore, they house a unique and complex ecosystem of microorganisms that can tolerate and adapt to these harsh conditions [6]. However, oil reservoirs offer a wide range of habitats for several species of microorganisms, such as bacteria and archaea. It attributes to the fact that oil reservoirs contain various phases such as crude oil, produced water and solid surfaces from rock and organic matter; thus, a broad spectrum of these organisms has been isolated from the produced water obtained during oil production[7,8].

Two bacterial identification approaches, culture-dependent and culture-independent are used to identify the bacterial species isolated from produced water that has been obtained from petroleum reservoirs worldwide. For instance, in the North Sea [9], Japan [7], California [10,11], Siberia [12], China [13,14] and Brazil [15]. This is including fermentative organisms, hydrocarbon-degrading bacteria (HDB), methanogens, nitrate-reducing bacteria NRB, manganese and iron reducers, sulphate reducers SRB, aerobic organisms and acetogens [16].

The microorganisms that were isolated and identified in these studies have enhanced not only our understanding of petroleum microbiology but also improved environmental applications and created new industries. They include but are not limited to the oil spill treatment [17] and play an active role in the microbial enhancement of petroleum recovery [18,19].

Kocuria is a Gram-positive coccoid bacterium whose cells are arranged in pairs, short chains, mostly tetrads and irregular clusters[20]. Its species are members of the phylum of Actinobacteria, class Actinobacteria, order Actinomycetales and family Micrococcaceae [21]. Members of this genus are aerobic, nonencapsulated, oxidase-negative, non-sporeforming and catalase-positive. They were isolated from different environmental and ecological habitats with more than 18 identified Kocuria species based on the 16S rRNA phylogenetic studies [22].

*K. rosea* has smooth, creamy to pinkish colonies on nutrient agar and tolerates extreme conditions [23]. The recent study of Méndez *et al.*, [24] confirmed the isolation of the *K. rosea* strain DSM 20447 from a petroleum oil-contaminated soil in Central Chile, using 16S rRNA gene sequences analysis. They characterised the bacterium as a hydrocarbon-degrading bacterium. Akbari *et al.*, [25] also isolated *K. rosea* strain ABR6 from oil storage tanks of the Isfahan Oil Refining Company in Iran. The isolated bacterium has been recommended to be used as a crude oil recovery tool from petroleum sludge.

The genus *Nocardia* is a group of saprophytic and can be found worldwide. *Nocardia* members are gram-positive, actinomycetes, filamentous and branching with colonies that produce aerial hyphae [26]. There are more than 90 identified species of *Nocardia* based on the latest update to the taxonomy of this genus [27].

The strains of *N. coubleae* were previously isolated from oil products. Two novel strains of the *Nocardia* genus were isolated from the contaminated soil by crude oil in the Ahmadi oilfield in Kuwait during the Gulf war in 1997 [28]. They were proposed as *N. coubleae* OFN N11 and *N.coubleae* OFN N12T. However, there is no previous study that reports the isolation of *K. rosea* or *N. coubleae* from produced water.

Despite all the economic growth of the Libyan state, to which the oil industry has contributed mainly, the available knowledge about the microbial diversity of petroleum products and especially the produced water is extremely limited. Therefore, a comprehensive characterization of the microbial structure of these by-products from the oil-rich region is much needed.

The objectives of this study were to provide a complete physicochemical characterization of produced water from the A4 Well, North Hamada Oilfield in Libya, and to introduce an approach for microbial identification of the unique bacterial isolates from this type of water using 16S rRNA gene sequencing analysis.

# **2.** Material and methods *2.1. Site description and sample collection*

The North Hamada oilfield was discovered in 1959, and the first oil well was drilled there on 18th November 1973. It is located 250 miles south of Tripoli, on the southern bank of the Ghadames basin, between 29' 00' to 29' 40' N and 12' 35' to 13' 10' E [29].

The trip to the oilfield started on 31/8/2021 and was lasted four days. During the field visit, it was found that the well has 4 tanks and one separator. Samples were collected from Tank 2, Tank 3, and the separator. Samples of two tanks were oil, while the separator sample contained produced water. Therefore, the oil-production water sample from the separator was collected in Sterile Pyrex bottles in triplicates (N = 3). Sample replicates were stored at (4 °C) in darkness until used. Field parameters were measured before the samples were transported to the laboratory of Libyan Petroleum Institute (LPI). Produced water samples for heavy metals analysis were filtered with 0.45 mm filters (Whatman<sup>TM</sup> membrane filters, Germany) and acidified with nitric acid (HNO<sub>3</sub> with the concentration of 15.6 M) to pH <2 as described in [30].

#### 2.2. Physicochemical properties of produced water

Physicochemical properties of produced water including pH, electrical conductivity (EC), dissolved oxygen (DO) and carbon dioxide CO<sub>2</sub> were measured in the field laboratory according to the standard methods Table1. The rest of properties such as Bicarbonate, Carbonate, Chloride, salinity, total Hardness, Calcium Hardness, Magnesium Hardness, Calcium, Magnesium, Sodium, Sulphate, TDS, TPHs, BTEX and heavy metals, were analyzed once the samples arrived to the LPI Laboratories according to the standard methods mentioned in table 1 [31].

### Table 1. Physicochemical analytical methods used

to characterize the produced water

Property	Method	Instruments and
		manufacturers
EC	ASTM D-	HI99301Hanna EC
	1125	Meter, Italy
pH – value	ASTM D-	Knick digital pH
@ 25 °C	1293	meter 646, Berlin-
		Germany
CO <sub>2</sub>	ASTM D-513	AZ-0002 pSense
		High Accuracy CO2 Meter, Madrid-
		Spain
Bicarbonate	ASTM D-	Titration with
	1067	standard acid
<u> </u>		
Carbonate	ASTM D-	Titration with standard acid
	1067	
Chloride	ASTM D-516	Titration with silver
		nitrate
Total	ASTM D-	Titration with Na <sub>2</sub> H <sub>2</sub>
Hardness	1126	EDTA
Calcium	ASTM D-	Titration with Na <sub>2</sub> H <sub>2</sub>
Hardness	1126	EDTA
Magnesium	ASTM D-	Titration with Na <sub>2</sub> H <sub>2</sub>
Hardness	1126	EDTA
Calcium	ASTM D-511	Titration with
		standard EDTA
Magnesium	ASTM D-511	Titration with
		standard EDTA
Sodium	ASTM D-	Corning 400 Flame
	2791	Photometer,UK
Potassium	ASTM D-	Corning 400 Flame
	2791	Photometer,UK
Sulphate	DR 2500	Gravimetric method
		using barium chloride

TDS	ASTM D	-
	5907	
TPHs	USA EPA	Horiba OCMA-500
	413.2	Oil Content
		Analyzer, HORIBA
		Jobin Yvon IBH Ltd,
		UK
Salinity	Calculated as	-
	NaCI (mg/l)	
	(Cations +	
	Anions)(	
DO	Polarographic	HI9146-04 Hanna
	measurement	DO Meter, USA
	method	
BTEX	5021A	GC-FID, Thermo
		Fisher Scientific Inc,
		USA
Heavy	EPA 200.7	AAS ICP-OES,
Metals		Agilent Technology,
		USA

During the sampling, the survey for Naturally Occurring Radioactive Material (NORM), including  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  particles and  $\gamma$  rays, was conducted using Digilert 100 Handheld Radiation Detector (Keison Products, UK) and TracercoTM T202 (Johnson Matthey Public Limited Company, UK).

## 2.3. Bacterial isolation, purification and morphological characterization

Bacterial cells of the production water were collected and filtered (0.22  $\mu$ m pore size) under aseptic conditions. The pure cultures of bacteria were obtained using a dilution plate technique. Bacterial cells on the filters were resuspended in sterile saline solution and tenfold serial dilutions were made to the dilution of 10<sup>7</sup>. 0.1 mL of suspensions were plated on nutrient agar medium (Oxoid CM0003B nutrient agar, Thermo Fisher Scientific, UK) and then incubated at 37 °C for 48 h. Thereafter, colonies were picked and purified twice. Stock cultures of each isolate were prepared from an individual colony from the second round of purification. The isolated

colonies were then morphological characterised based on their colour, size, shape, texture, aspect, and height. The gram stain technique was carried out to confirm the purity of the strained cells. Finally, the microscope examination (BRESSER Researcher Bino 40-1000x Microscope, Germany) was performed to observe the morphological features of the isolated bacteria.

# 2.4. Genetic identification of bacterial isolates2.4.1. Genomic DNA extraction

The isolated and purified colonies were carefully collected and inculcated in 5 mL of liquid medium prepared using Accumix Nutrient Broth (Tulip Diagnostics (P) Ltd, India), then incubated over-night at 37°C under 250 rpm agitation. 1 mL of the liquid culture was used for DNA extraction using the EasyPure® Bacteria Genomic DNA Kit (TransGen Biotech, Beijing), and the steps followed the manufacturer's guidelines.

#### 2.4.2. PCR amplification of 16S rRNA gene

The 16S rRNA gene was used to identify the isolated bacteria. The target gene was amplified by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) using universal primers set (16S-27F and 16S-1492R) is shown in table 2. The reaction mixture for PCR amplification contains a green master mix of 25  $\mu$ L mixed with 2  $\mu$ L of each forward and reverse primer (10 pmol/ uL) and 5 uL of template DNA and equal volume to 50 µL by added nuclease-free water. The PCR cycles were as follows: initial denaturation at 94°C for 2 min, denaturation, 35 cycles at 94°C for the 40s, annealing at 55°C for 30s, and 1 min of extension at 72°C. Then Cycling was completed by a final extension step at 72 °C for 10 min. Thereafter, PCR products were checked on an agarose gel.

 Table 2. Primer sequences used for PCR amplification and sequencing

Primer Primer sequence

5-'AGAGTTTGATCCTGGCTCAG-3'

### 2.4.3. Sequence of PCR products

27F

PCR products were purified using the ExoSap-It kit (Applied Biosystems, USA). Sequencing analyses were carried out on the purified PCR products using the Big Dye Terminator V3.1 mix (Applied Biosystems, USA). Sequencing reaction products were purified using the BigDyeXteminator kit (Applied BiosystemsUSA). Traces were then produced by capillary electrophoresis using the 3730xl DNA Analyzer (Applied Biosystems, USA). The obtained 16S rDNA sequence data was then aligned with known 16S rDNA sequences in the Gen bank to identify the isolated bacteria. The basic local alignment search tool (BLAST), at the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) was used alignments for https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi [32]. The percentage of homology scores was used to identify the bacterial isolates.

#### 3. Results and Discussion

# 3.1. Physicochemical and radioactivity characteristics of produced water

The results of physicochemical properties (Table 3) indicated that most properties have higher values than the recommended values of World Health Organization (WHO) regulations [33] and the United States Environmental Protection Agency guidelines (USEPA) [34]. The high value of EC (169200  $\pm$  105.36  $\mu$ S/cm) may be explained by the fact that it is a reflection of the concentration of the TDS (109980  $\pm$  129.12 mg/L) in the sample. A higher concentration of TDS increases the EC. This finding is consistent with that of Joel et al., [35] who suggest that the high value of TDS could be due to suspended and dissolved solids inherent in the formation of the water samples. An observation worth mentioning from the values in table 3 is that the pH (5.51  $\pm$ 0.01) value is considered to be lower than the accepted limits 6-9. It may be due to the high

concentration of  $CO_2$  (20240 ± 8.54 mg/L), which in turn led to the formation of carbonic acid.

The radioactivity survey was performed during the sampling. The outcomes of screening levels of the total radioactivity presented in the form of  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  particles and  $\gamma$  radiation revealed that the concentrations were lower than the recommended activity concentrations of WHO [33]. Therefore, no further radioisotope-specific analysis is required.

# Table 3. Physicochemical and radioactivity properties of produced water (N = 3)

Property	Results (Mean ± SD)
EC	169200 ± 105.36 μS/cm@25°C
pH−value @ 25 °C	5.51 ± 0.01
CO <sub>2</sub>	20240 ± 8.54 mg/L
Bicarbonate	$189\pm~8.718~mg/L$
Carbonate	0 mg/L
Chloride	$94515 \pm 63.41$ mg/L
Total Hardness	$66000 \pm 86.9 \text{ mg/L}$
Calcium Hardness	32100 ± 67.27 mg/L
Magnesium Hardness	$33900 \pm 57.61 \text{ mg/L}$
Calcium	12840 ± 32.08 mg/L
Magnasium	$8238 \pm 38.57 \text{ mg/L}$
Sodium	18400 ±152.97 mg/L
Potassium	7800 ±40.36 mg/L
Sulphoto	$200\ \pm 13.45\ \text{mg/L}$
TDS	$109980 \pm 129.12 \text{ mg/L}$
TDUc	$58.5 \pm 1.55$ mg/L
Colinity	$84158 \pm 50.48 \text{ mg/L}$
DO	0.00 + 0.02 - 7
DO	$0.09 \pm 0.02 \text{ mg/L}$

$\alpha, \beta$ Particles $\pm$	0.03 µSv/hr	Volatile hydrocar	Conc (ppm)	Volatile hydrocarbon	Conc (ppm)
γ rays	Bq/cm <sup>2</sup>	bon			
Total radiation 0.	$.179 \pm 0.018 \ \mu Sv/hr$	Benzene	< 0.001	p,m-Xylene	$7.20\pm0.38$

Results of the volatile aromatic hydrocarbons of BTEX concentrations have shown in the table 4. Four volatile organic compounds, namely ethylbenzenes, p,m-Xylene and o-Xylene exceeded the Permissible limits of WHO [33]. Gas Chromatography (GC) analyses of the volatiles show that benzene and toluene concentrations were less than the detection limits. Although benzene is slightly soluble in water, it has a concentration of less than ethylbenzene  $(11.95 \pm 0.15 \text{ ppm})$  in the water sample. It may stand to reason that biodegradation is relatively slower for some volatile aromatic hydrocarbons than others. In General, BETX usually cannot be detected far from the discharge point because of the volatile nature of such aromatic hydrocarbons.

As shown in the Table below, most of the produced water content of hydrocarbons (TPHs  $58.5 \pm 1.55 \text{ mg/L}$ ) was volatile hydrocarbons represented by BTEX ( $57.87 \pm 2.65 \text{ ppm}$ ). A possible explanation for this might be that the efficiency of water separation and treatment processes was low. Therefore, to prevent the negative environmental impacts of such organic pollutants, further polishing such as physical, biological, thermal and or chemical treatments are required to be carried out.

volutile	conc	( olutile	conc (ppm)		
hydrocar	(ppm)	hydrocarbon			
bon					
Benzene	< 0.001	p,m-Xylene	$7.20\pm0.38$		
Toluene	< 0.001	o-Xylene	38.71 ± 3.27		
Ethylbenz enes	11.95 ± 0.15	Total BTEX	57.87 ±2.65		

Several heavy metals have been detected in the produced water sample; the results are depicted in Table 5. Analytical characterization of heavy metals in the produced water showed a variation in heavy metals. The results revealed a high concentration of Ba, Ni, Fe, Se, Cd and Sr  $(11.9 \pm 1.1, 0.08 \pm 0.003,$  $311 \pm 12.8$ ,  $3.61 \pm 0.42$ ,  $0.01 \pm 0.002$  and  $122 \pm 3.6$ ppm respectively), which exceeded the permissible limits under the provisions of the Libyan specifications No. 10 for the year 2008 [36]. The metals concentration of Cr, Cu, Zn and Hg (0.01  $\pm$ 0.004, 0.01 $\pm$  0.003, 2.81 $\pm$  0.3 and < 5x10<sup>-6</sup> ppm respectively) were within the permissible limits of the Libyan specifications No. 10 for the year 2008 [36]. The rest of the heavy metals concentrations, Pb and As were lower than the detention limits. This variation in the heavy metals in the produced water can be explained by the geological formation and the age of the oil well [37].

Table 5. Heavy metals concentration in produced water (ppm) N = 3, data expressed as a mean  $\pm$  SD

Element	Conc (ppm)	Element	Conc (ppm)
Ba	11.9 ± 1.1	Pb	< 0.03
Ni	$0.08 \pm 0.003$	Cd	$0.01\pm0.002$
Cr	$0.01 \pm 0.004$	Se	$3.61 \pm 0.42$
Cu	$0.01 \pm 0.003$	Sr	122.± 3.6
As	< 0.02	Zn	$2.81 \pm 0.3$
Fe	$311 \pm 12.8$	Hg	< 5x10 <sup>-6</sup>

3.2. Isolation and genetic identification of bacterial community of produced water

Table 4. Concentrations of volatile hydrocarbons (BTEX) in produced water, N = 3, data expressed as a mean ± SD

#### 3.2.1. Morphological characteristics of isolates

Two pure bacterial strains were isolated from produced water Figure1 using the dilution plate technique. The colonies grew on nutrient agar plates after 24-48 h of incubation under aerobic conditions. The first strain of the isolated bacterium was Gram-positive, cocci in shaped formed pairs or clusters under the microscope, 1– 2 mm in size, small, smooth texture, and palecream to pale-pink Figure1, A. The colonies of the second isolate were Gram-positive bacillus bacterium, dry, plaster, star-shaped, chalking wrinkled and white Figure1, B.



Fig. 1. Isolated colonies from produced water on solidified nutrient agar medium. The first and second bacterial isolates were labelled as A and B respectively

## 3.2.2. Genetic characterisation of bacterial isolates

Despite the phenotypic characterisation tools and biochemical assays to identify the bacterial species still being in practice applied, the genetic approach has become imperative and widely used for bacterial identification.

A 16S rRNA gene sequence analysis was used in this study to overcome the drawbacks of using traditional methods. The bacterial isolates were identified and characterised to the strain level. The 16S rRNA gene sequences quality shown in the figure 2. The BLASTn search tool revealed that isolate (A) has a high degree of gene sequence identity (99.81%) with *K. rosea* strain DSM 20447 (GenBank accession number NR\_044871.1). The isolate (B) has 98.57 % gene sequence identity to *N. coubleae* strain OFN N12 (GenBank accession number NR\_104567.1) (Table 6). Therefore, based on 16S rRNA gene sequence analyses, bacterial isolates are classified into the genera of *Kocuria* and *Nocardia*. 27F cleaned sequences for both isolates are depicted in the appendix.



Fig. 2. Chromatograms depicting 16S rRNA gene sequence quality; A) Bacterial isolated of *K. rosea*; B) Bacterial isolate of *N. coubleae* 

Table	6. BL	AST 1	esults	of the	16 S	rRNA	gene
	seque	ences o	of the	isolated	l bac	teria	

No	Scientific Name	Max Score	Query Cover %	E value	Identity %	Length bp	Accession number
А	Kocuria rosea strain DSM 20447	1884	100	0	99.81	1481	NR_04487 1.1
В	Nocardia coubleae strain OF N N12	1755	100	0	98.57	1322	NR_10456 7.1

Ecosystems such as polluted water, which is known to demonstrate an alkaline or acidic nature, tend to be extreme and complex environments. It is due to the high concentration of various toxic organic and inorganic contaminants that can harm the environment [38]. The harsh physicochemical characteristics of these environments make them act as a home for bacterial strains that have been shown to have efficient levels of pollutant degradation under laboratory conditions [39].

In this study, the bacterial strains of *K. rosea* and *N. coubleae* have been isolated from oil-contaminated environments and described as an oil-degrading bacterium several times in the literature [24, 25, 40-42]. However, the current study is the first study that isolated *K. rosea* and *N. coubleae* from the produced water.

This study shows that the bacterial isolates tolerated the high content of TPHs  $58.5 \pm 1.55$ 

mg/L and a total BTEX of  $57.87 \pm 2.65$  ppm. The results provide a further support to the hypothesis that the isolates of *K. rosea* strain DSM 20447 and *N. coubleae* strain OFN N12 are more likely to be oil-degrading bacteria that inhabit such an extreme environment. It is encouraging to compare these results with that found in Wu *et al.*, [40], who isolated and identified another strain of the *Kocuria* genus, which is *Kocuria sp.* strain TIBETAN4, from soils around Qinghai Lake in China. The isolate was able to tolerate up to 12.5 mM phenol and enable to degrade 50 mM phenol within less than four days. Therefore, it has been described as an effective phenol-depredating bacterium.

According to the genotypic and phenotypic results described above, it is clear that the utility of the genetic approach (16S rRNA sequencing) is a promising tool in microbial identification that inhabits the oil reservoirs.

#### 4. Conclusion

To conclude, the constituents present in the produced water that pose an environmental concern are the high levels of salt content, total dissolved solids and electrical conductivity. In addition, chemicals that cause hardness include calcium, magnesium, and sulphates, toxic elements such as heavy metals and the presence of petroleum hydrocarbon families. These results also show that the reservoir has a microbial population associated with oil degradation and has the potential for microbial-enhanced oil recovery.

Therefore, this work strengthens the idea that we must follow the environmental guidelines and regulations to safely dispose of the produced water. In addition, reinjection of the water back to formation the well or dilution with uncontaminated fresh water may be the best way to avoid its negative impacts on the ecosystem. More genetic analyses, such as a quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qPCR) are highly required for a better understanding of genetic characteristics that stand behind the ability of Kocuria and Nocardia bacteria to tolerate the crude oil.

### 5. Acknowledgements

We are thankful to the Libyan Petroleum Institute (LPI) for the physicochemical analysis of produced water samples.

### 6. References

- [1] Chikwe, T. & Okwa, F. (2016) Evaluation of the physico-chemical properties of produced water from oil producing well in the Niger Delta Area, Nigeria, *Journal of Applied Sciences and Environmental Management.* **20**, 1113-1117.
- [2] Lin, L., Jiang, W., Chen, L., Xu, P. & Wang, H. (2020) Treatment of produced water with photocatalysis: Recent advances, affecting factors and future research prospects, *Catalysts.* 10, 924.
- [3] Jiménez, S., Andreozzi, M., Micó, M. M., Álvarez, M. G. & Contreras, S. (2019) Produced water treatment by advanced oxidation processes, *Science of the Total Environment.* 666, 12-21.
- [4] Al-Ghouti, M. A., Al-Kaabi, M. A., Ashfaq, M. Y. & Da'na, D. A. (2019) Produced water characteristics, treatment and reuse: A review, *Journal of Water Process Engineering*. 28, 222-239.
- [5] Asante-Sackey, D., Rathilal, S., Tetteh, E. K. & Armah, E. K. (2022) Membrane Bioreactors for Produced Water Treatment: A Mini-Review, *Membranes*. 12, 275.
- [6] Pannekens, M., Kroll, L., Müller, H., Mbow, F. T. & Meckenstock, R. U. (2019) Oil reservoirs, an exceptional habitat for microorganisms, *New Biotechnology*. 49, 1-9.
- [7] Kobayashi, H., Endo, K., Sakata, S., Mayumi, D., Kawaguchi, H., Ikarashi, M., Miyagawa, Y., Maeda, H. & Sato, K. (2012) Phylogenetic diversity of microbial communities associated with the crude-oil, large-insoluble-particle and formation-water components of the reservoir fluid from a non-flooded high-temperature petroleum reservoir, *Journal of bioscience and bioengineering.* 113, 204-210.

- [8] Hidalgo, K. J., Sierra-Garcia, I. N., Dellagnezze, B. M. & De Oliveira, V. M. (2020) Metagenomic insights into the mechanisms for biodegradation of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in the oil supply chain, *Frontiers in Microbiology*. 11, 561506.
- [9] Dahle, H., Garshol, F., Madsen, M. & Birkeland, N.-K. (2008) Microbial community structure analysis of produced water from a high-temperature North Sea oil-field, *Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek*. **93**, 37-49.
- [10] Orphan, V., Taylor, L., Hafenbradl, D. & Delong, E. (2000) Culture-dependent and culture-independent characterization of microbial assemblages associated with hightemperature petroleum reservoirs, *Applied* and environmental microbiology. **66**, 700-711.
- [11] Orphan, V., Goffredi, S., Delong, E. & Boles, J. (2003) Geochemical influence on diversity and microbial processes in high temperature oil reservoirs, *Geomicrobiology Journal.* 20, 295-311.
- [12] Bonch-Osmolovskava, E. A., Miroshnichenko, M. L., Lebedinsky, A. V., Chernyh, N. A., Nazina, T. N., Ivoilov, V. S., Belyaev, S. S., Boulygina, E. S., Lysov, Y. P. & Perov, A. N. (2003) Radioisotopic, culture-based. oligonucleotide and microchip analyses thermophilic of microbial communities in a continental high-temperature petroleum reservoir. Applied and environmental microbiology. **69**. 6143-6151.
- [13] Li, H., Yang, S.-Z., Mu, B.-Z., Rong, Z.-F. & Zhang, J. (2006) Molecular analysis of the bacterial community in a continental hightemperature and water-flooded petroleum reservoir, *FEMS microbiology letters*. 257, 92-98.
- [14] Li, H., Yang, S.-Z., Mu, B.-Z., Rong, Z.-F. & Zhang, J. (2007) Molecular phylogenetic diversity of the microbial community associated with a high-temperature petroleum reservoir at an offshore oilfield, *FEMS microbiology ecology*. **60**, 74-84.

- [15] Piubeli, F., Grossman, M. J., Fantinatti-Garboggini, F. & Durrant, L. R. (2014) Phylogenetic analysis of the microbial community in hypersaline petroleum produced water from the Campos Basin, *Environmental Science and Pollution Research.* **21**, 12006-12016.
- [16] Al-Tamimi, W. H. & Mahdi, K. H. (2015) Isolation and Identification of Nitrate Reducing Bacteria from Produced Water of Oil Fields in Iraq, *International journal of innovation in Engineering and Technology*. 5, 293-299.
- [17] Grossman, M., Lee, M., Prince, R., Garrett, K., George, G. & Pickering, I. (1999) Microbial desulfurization of a crude oil middle-distillate fraction: analysis of the extent of sulfur removal and the effect of removal on remaining sulfur, *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*. 65, 181-188.
- [18] 18Banat, I. M. (1995) Biosurfactants production and possible uses in microbial enhanced oil recovery and oil pollution remediation: a review, *Bioresource technology*. **51**, 1-12.
- [19] Banat, I. M., Makkar, R. S. & Cameotra, S. S. (2000) Potential commercial applications of microbial surfactants, *Applied microbiology and biotechnology*. **53**, 495-508.
- [20] Iyer, P. G., Ashkenazy, N., Weiss, S. J., Miller, D. & Flynn Jr, H. W. (2022) Endophthalmitis Caused by *Kocuria Kristinae*, *Case Reports in Ophthalmology*. 13, 408-413.
- [21] Dave, V. P., Joseph, J., Pathengay, A. & Pappuru, R. R. (2018) Clinical presentations, management outcomes, and diagnostic dilemma in *Kocuria endophthalmitis*, *Journal of Ophthalmic Inflammation and Infection.* 8, 21.
- [22] Turnbull, J. D., Russell, J. E., Fazal, M.-A., Grayson, N. E., Deheer-Graham, A., Oliver, K., Holroyd, N., Parkhill, J. & Alexander, S. (2019) Whole-Genome Sequences of Five Strains of *Kocuria rosea*, NCTC2676, NCTC7514, NCTC7512, NCTC7528, and

NCTC7511, *Microbiology Resource* Announcements. **8**, e00256-19.

- [23] Gholami, M., Etemadifar, Z. & Bouzari, M. (2015) Isolation a new strain of *Kocuria rosea* capable of tolerating extreme conditions, *Journal of environmental radioactivity*. **144**, 113-119.
- [24] Méndez, V., Fuentes, S., Morgante, V., Hernández, M., González, M., Moore, E. & Seeger, M. (2017) Novel hydrocarbonoclastic metal-tolerant Acinetobacter and *Pseudomonas* strains from Aconcagua river oil-polluted soil, *Journal of soil science and plant nutrition*. 17, 1074-1087.
- [25] Akbari, E., Rasekh, B., Maal, K. B., Karbasiun, F., Yazdian, F., Emami-Karvani, Z. & Peighami, R. (2021) A novel biosurfactant producing *Kocuria rosea* ABR6 as potential strain in oil sludge recovery and lubrication, *AMB Express.* 11, 1-10.
- [26] Hamdi, A. M., Fida, M., Deml, S. M., Abu Saleh, O. M. & Wengenack, N. L. (2020) Retrospective analysis of antimicrobial susceptibility profiles of *Nocardia* species from a tertiary hospital and reference laboratory, 2011 to 2017, *Antimicrobial agents and chemotherapy*. 64, e01868-19.
- [27] Traxler, R. M., Bell, M. E., Lasker, B., Headd, B., Shieh, W.-J. & McQuiston, J. R. (2022) Updated Review on *Nocardia* Species: 2006–2021, *Clinical Microbiology Reviews*, e00027-21.
- [28] Rodríguez-Nava, V., Khan, Z., Pötter, G., Kroppenstedt, R. M., Boiron, P. & Laurent, F. (2007) Nocardia coubleae sp. nov., isolated from oil-contaminated Kuwaiti soil, *International journal of systematic and* evolutionary microbiology. 57, 1482-1486.
- [29] Harati, H. M. (2012) Examination of produced water from the Al-Hamada oilfield, Libya, Sheffield Hallam University, Sheffield, (United Kingdom).
- [30] Hardi, M., Siregar, Y., Anita, S. & Ilza, M. (2019). Determination of heavy metals concentration in produced water of oil field

exploration in siak regency. Paper presented at the *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*.

- [31] Rice, E. W., Baird, R. B., Eaton, A. D. & Clesceri, L. S. (2012) Standard methods for the examination of water and wastewater, American public health association Washington, DC,10.
- [32] Blast, N. (2015) Basic local alignment search tool, *Natl Libr Med Natl Cent Biotechnol Inf.* **43**, D6-D17.
- [33] Cotruvo, J. A. (2017) 2017 WHO guidelines for drinking water quality: first addendum to the fourth edition, *Journal-American Water Works Association.* 109, 44-51.
- [34] USEPA (2002) Exemption of Oil and Gas Exploration and Production Wastes from Federal Hazardous Waste Regulations in, Environmental Protection Agency Washington, DC.
- [35] Joel, O., Amajuoyi, C. & Nwokoye, C. (2010) Characterization of formation water constituents and the effect of fresh water dilution from land rig location of the Niger Delta, Nigeria, *Journal of Applied Sciences* and Environmental Management. 14.
- [36] Standards, L. N. C. f. S. a. (2008) Drinking Water Standards No. (10) in Tripoli, Libya.
- [37] Igunnu, E. T. & Chen, G. Z. (2014) Produced water treatment technologies, *International journal of low-carbon technologies*. 9, 157-177.
- [38] Razak, N., Mukhtar, H. & Mohshim, D. (2022) Characterization of Produced Water from Petroleum Hydrocarbons Terminal, *Journal of Positive School Psychology*. 6, 4415–4419-4415–4419.
- [39] Woolard, C. & Irvine, R. (1995) Treatment of hypersaline wastewater in the sequencing batch reactor, *Water research.* 29, 1159-1168.
- [40] 40. Wu, L., Ali, D. C., Liu, P., Peng, C., Zhai, J., Wang, Y. & Ye, B. (2018) Degradation of phenol via ortho-pathway by *Kocuria* sp. strain TIBETAN4 isolated from the soils around Qinghai Lake in China, *PloS one.* 13, e0199572.
- [41] Motalebirad, T. & Rahdar, H. A. (2019) Isolation and Molecular Characterization of

Hydrocarbon Degrading *Nocardia* Isolated from Hospital Environments in Isfahan Province, *Infection Epidemiology and Microbiology.* **5**, 41-48.

[42] Azadi, D. & Shojaei, H. (2020) Biodegradation of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons, phenol and sodium sulfate by *Nocardia* species isolated and characterized from Iranian ecosystems, *Scientific reports*. 10, 1-12.