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((
وقررت ما يلي:-

بعد إتمام الطالب لمتطلبات درجة الإجازة العالية ويمناقشة وتقيّيم الرسالة العلمية المقدمة وحسب ما تنص عليه اللوائح تقرى: $\square$ ] إجازة الرسالة بملاحظات ويُمنح الطالب فترة لا تزيد عن ثلاثة أشهر لاستكمال الملاحظات. ■ $\square$

رفض الرسالة.
أعضاء اللجنة
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هدير هكتب الدراسات العيا والتدريب بالكيية (الاسم والتوقيه 1


受

回


صت انٌ النظيم

سورة المجادلة : الآية (11)


إن كان وراء كل إهراءة رجل عظيم فأبي أعظم الرجال
إلى روح أبي الطاهرة رحمه اللّه أهدي كل ثمار جهدي.

الحمد لله على نـعة العلم والأدب، والثككر لله أن فتح أمامي أبواب العلم في أحكك الظروف، والثكر موصول لأستاذي د. توفيق البولاطي الذي تفضل بالإشر اف على هذا العمل و منحني من وقتّه الكثير.

وشكري يخلوه الوفاء بدون ذكر أمي وأبي فلو لا دعواتهم مـا وفقتي الله، ولا
 رفعوا من همتي في ساعات الإحباط أخو اتي العزيزات وكل من ساندني من
أهلي وأهل زوجي.

وللحبية التي كانت انيسة درب الاراسة صديقتي أ. مبروكة الفضيل. وختاماً الشكر لكل من علمني ولو حرف من نعومة أظافري إلى يومي هذا.
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## ABSTRACT

During the last seventh decades ago, there has been ongoing interest concering different types of inequalities integration.

Our aim in this project is to study the important type of these inequalities, from Riemann-Stieltjes integral, which are well known in the literature as the Ostrowski and trapezoid inequalities.

In this study we focused our attention on the results to find the Riemann-Stieltjes Integral of product integrators and here applied on some inequalities.

## ملخص

خلال السبعة العقود الماضبة كان هناك اهتمام مستمر بشأن أنو اع مختلفة من المتباينات التكاملية.

و الههف الأساسي في هذا البحث هو در اسة اهم أنواع تلك المتباينات من خلال تكامل ريمان-اشتيلتجز، وهي ما يعرف بمتباينة أوسترسكي ومتباينة شبه المنحرف.

وأهم ما تطرقت له هذه الدر اسة هو مبر هنة لإيجاد تكامل ريمان-اشتيلتجز وتطبيقها على بعض المتباينات. [ [

## INTRODUCTION

T. J. Stieltjes (1856-1894) introduced a generalization of the Riemann integral, Stieltjes himself died before the appearance of his paper, and the idea at traced almost no attention for the next 15 years, the type of integration considered here is somewhat more general, and the added generality makes it very useful in certain applications, especially in statistics and numerical integration.

We shall consider bounded functions on closed intervals of real number system, define the integral of one such function with respect to another, and derive the main properties of this integral,

In this study we shall focus our attention on two integral inequalities which are well known in the literature as the trapezoid and Ostrowski inequalities and depended in her proofs on the Riemann-Stieltjes integral, the trapezoid inequality is deals with the estimation of the magnitude of the difference,

$$
\int_{a}^{\mathrm{b}} f d t-[(x-a) f(a)+(b-x) f(b)]
$$

and the Ostrowski inequality provides an error analysis for the quantity

$$
\int_{a}^{b} f d t-(b-a) f(x)
$$

Since the writing of the classical book by Hardy, Litlewood and Polya in (1934), the subject of differential and integral inequalities has grown by about $800 \%$. Ten years on, we can confidently assert that this growth will increase even more significantly Inequalities have proved to be an applicable tool for the development of many branches of mathematics.

In 1938 Ostrowski proved the integral inequality which is known in the literature as Ostrowski's inequality which is provides an error analysis for the quantity $\quad \int_{a}^{b} f d t-(b-a) f(x)$, by formula

$$
\left.\left|f(x)-\frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} f(t) d t\right| \leq\left[\frac{1}{4}+\frac{\left(x-\frac{a+b}{2}\right.}{(b-a)^{2}}\right](b-a)\right]\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty},
$$

In the year 1995 G, A, Anastassion [5] gave a different proof to Ostrowski's inequality and using concept of the optimal function to establish optimal upper bounds on the deviation of a function from its averages, these lead to sharp inequalities. In 1976, Milovanovic et al. proved a generalization of the trapezoid and Ostrowski inequalities for n-time differentiable mappings. In 1998, Dragomir [17] presented a new results to the classical Ostrowski's inequality and for the first time applied it to the estimation of error bounds for some special means and for some numerical quadrature rules, the monographs \{[19], [23], [28], [29] and [30]\} were written from 1999-2004 to present some selected results on Ostrowski type inequalities and their applications. In 2000, Cerone et al. [32], in 2004, Ujevic [57], and in 2011, Alomari [3] were Presented very useful results by concept of the perturbation. In 2014, Dragomir [22] proved the results to find the Riemann-Stieltjes Integral of product integrators and applied on some inequalities.

The basic idea for proofs of main results in this thesis by using the integration by parts formula for Riemann-Stieltjes Integral with the help of the Peano kernels theorem, for example

$$
\int_{a}^{b}(x-t) d f(t)=\left.(x-t) f(t)\right|_{a} ^{b}+\int_{a}^{b} f(t) d t
$$

The material of this thesis is organized as follows:

In the first chapter, there will be basic concepts which will be used throughout the thesis. Among them, the definitions of functions of bounded variation, Riemann- Stieltjes integral and their fundamental properties.

In chapter two, we will give a different generalization of the trapezoid and Ostrowski inequalities.

Chapter three, contains some types and results of the Riemann-Stieltjes Integral of product integrators and the trapezoid and Ostrowski inequalities for the Riemann-Stieltjes Integral.

## CHAPTER1

Preliminaries

### 1.1 Some concepts

Let $f$ and $g$ denote real-valued functions defined on a closed interval $[a, b]$ of the real line. We shall suppose that both $f$ and $g$ are bounded on $[a, b]$, this standing hypothesis will not be repeated a lot.

## Definition 1.1 [51]

A mapping $f$ is said to be bounded function if there is real number $M$ such that $|f(x)| \leq M$ for all $x \in[a, b]$.

## Proposition 1.2 [10]

i. If $a, b$ are real numbers, then

$$
\operatorname{Sup}\{a, b\}=\frac{1}{2}\{a+b+|a-b|\}, \text { and } \inf \{a, b\}=\frac{1}{2}\{a+b-|a-b|\} .
$$

ii. If $f, g$ are continuous real-valued functions on $[a, b]$, then

$$
\operatorname{Sup}\{f, g\}=\frac{1}{2}(f+g+|f-g|), \text { and } \inf \{f, g\}=\frac{1}{2}(f+g-|f-g|)
$$

## Definition 1.3

A function $f$ is said to be monotonic increasing on $[a, b]$ if $f\left(x_{2}\right) \geq f\left(x_{1}\right)$ for $x_{2}>x_{1}$, and monotonic decreasing if $f\left(x_{2}\right) \leq f\left(x_{1}\right)$ for $x_{2}>x_{1}$.

## Definition 1.4

A real-valued function $f$ is continuous at $x_{0} \in[a, b]$ if given $\varepsilon>0$, there exists $\delta>0$, such that $\left|x-x_{0}\right|<\delta$ and $x_{0} \in[a, b]$ implies that

$$
\left|f(x)-f\left(x_{0}\right)\right|<\varepsilon
$$

## Definition 1.5 [55]

A real-valued function $f$ is absolutely continuous on $[a, b]$ if given $\varepsilon>0$, there exists $\delta>0$, such that

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|f\left(b_{\mathrm{i}}\right)-f\left(a_{\mathrm{i}}\right)\right|<\varepsilon
$$

Whenever $\left\{\left(a_{\mathrm{i}}, b_{\mathrm{i}}\right)\right\}$ is a finite collection of disjoint intervals with

$$
\sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{n}}\left|b_{\mathrm{i}}-a_{\mathrm{i}}\right|<\delta .
$$

## Mean Value Theorem 1.6 [10]

Suppose that $f$ is continuous on a closed interval $[a, b]$ and that $f$ has a derivative interval $(a, b)$. Then there exists at least one point c in $(a, b)$, such that

$$
f(b)-f(a)=f^{\prime}(c)(b-a)
$$

## Theorem 1.7 [36]

If $f$ is continuous on $[a, b]$ and $f^{\prime}$ exists and is bounded on $(a, b)$, then $f$ is absolutely continuous on $[a, b]$.

## proof:

Suppose that $\left|f^{\prime}(x)\right| \leq M$ for $x \in(a, b), M$ is real number
let $\varepsilon>0$, consider
$\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|f\left(d_{\mathrm{i}}\right)-f\left(c_{\mathrm{i}}\right)\right|$ when $\left\{\left(d_{\mathrm{i}}, c_{\mathrm{i}}\right): 1 \leq i \leq n\right\}$ is a finite collection of disjoint intervals in $[a, b]$, such that $\sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{n}}\left|d_{\mathrm{i}}-c_{\mathrm{i}}\right|<\varepsilon / \mathrm{M}$,

Now, observe that

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|f\left(d_{\mathrm{i}}\right)-f\left(c_{\mathrm{i}}\right)\right|=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\left|f\left(d_{i}\right)-f\left(c_{i}\right)\right|}{\left|d_{i}-c_{i}\right|}\left|d_{i}-c_{i}\right|
$$

The mean value theorem tells us that for $1 \leq i \leq n$ there exists $x_{\mathrm{i}} \in\left[c_{i}, d_{i}\right]$ such that,

$$
\frac{\left|f\left(d_{i}\right)-f\left(c_{i}\right)\right|}{\left|d_{i}-c_{i}\right|}=\left|f^{\prime}\left(x_{i}\right)\right| \leq M .
$$

Therefore
$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\left|f\left(d_{i}\right)-f\left(c_{i}\right)\right|}{\left|d_{i}-c_{i}\right|}\left|d_{i}-c_{i}\right| \leq M \sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{n}}\left|d_{\mathrm{i}}-c_{\mathrm{i}}\right|<M(\varepsilon / \mathrm{M})=\varepsilon$.
Hence $f$ is absolutely continuous on $[a, b]$.

## Definition 1.8 [11]

The mapping $f:[a, b] \rightarrow R$ is said to be L -Lipschitzian on $[a, b]$ if

$$
|f(x)-f(y)| \leq L|x-y| \quad \text { For } x, y \in[a, b]
$$

## Proposition 1.9 [36]

Let $f:[a, b] \rightarrow R$ be a function that is $\mathrm{L}-\operatorname{Lipschitzian~for~some~constant~} L>0$. Then $f$ is absolutely continuous on $[a, b]$.

## Proof

let $\varepsilon>0$, and choose $\delta=\frac{\varepsilon}{L}$.
now, if $\left\{\left(d_{\mathrm{i}}, c_{\mathrm{i}}\right): 1 \leq i \leq n\right\}$ is a finite collection of disjoint intervals in $[a, b]$, such that $\quad \sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{n}}\left|d_{\mathrm{i}}-c_{\mathrm{i}}\right|<\delta$,

So by using the Lipschitz condition for ( $d_{\mathrm{i}}, c_{\mathrm{i}}$ ), we obtain

$$
\left|f\left(d_{\mathrm{i}}\right)-f\left(c_{\mathrm{i}}\right)\right| \leq L\left|d_{\mathrm{i}}-c_{\mathrm{i}}\right|, \text { for all } 0 \leq i \leq n
$$

Therefor

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|f\left(d_{\mathrm{i}}\right)-f\left(c_{\mathrm{i}}\right)\right| \leq L \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|d_{\mathrm{i}}-c_{\mathrm{i}}\right|<L \frac{\varepsilon}{L}=\varepsilon
$$

## (Sequence of Taylor) 1.10 [38]

The Taylor formula for continuous function $f$ on $I \subset R$ and $a \in I$,
$f(x)=f(a)+(x-a) f^{\prime}(a)+\frac{(x-a)^{2}}{2!} f^{\prime \prime}(a)+\cdots+\frac{(x-a)^{k}}{k!} f^{k}(a)$.
our point the Taylor formula with an integral remainder term,

$$
\begin{align*}
f(x)=f(a)+(x-a) f^{\prime}(a)+\frac{(x-a)^{2}}{2!} f^{\prime \prime} & (a)+\cdots+\frac{(x-a)^{k}}{k!} f^{(k)}(a) \\
& +\frac{1}{k!} \int_{a}^{x}(x-t)^{k} f^{(k-1)}(t) d
\end{align*}
$$

(It can be verified by integration by parts).
Suppose that we are given an approximant (e. g. of a function, a derivative and an integral). Whose error vanishes for $f \in \mathbb{P}_{K}[x]$, where

$$
\mathbb{P}_{K}[x]=c_{0}+c_{1} x+c_{2} x^{2}+\cdots+c_{k} x^{k}
$$

## Notation 1.11 [38]

The Taylor formula produces an expression for the error that depends on $f^{(k+1)}$.
This is the basis for the Peano kernel theorem,
Formally, let $L(f)$ be an error of an approximant, thus $L$ maps from $C[a, b]$ to $R$,
And $L$ is linear, so $L(\alpha f+\beta g)=\alpha L(f)+\beta L(g)$ for $\alpha, \beta \in R$, and that $L(f)=0$ for $f \in \mathbb{P}_{K}[x]$,

Thus, from (1.1) we have

$$
L(f)=\frac{1}{k!} L\left\{\int_{a}^{x}(x-t)^{k} f^{(k-1)}(t) d t\right\}, \quad a \leq x \leq b
$$

To make the range of integration independent of $x$, we introduce the notation

$$
(x-t)_{+}^{k}= \begin{cases}(x-t)^{k} & \text { if } \quad x>t \\ 0 & \text { if } \quad x \leq t\end{cases}
$$

Whence $L(f)=\frac{1}{k!} L\left\{\int_{a}^{b}(x-t)_{+}^{k} f^{(k+1)}(t) d t\right\}$.
Now, let $K(t)=L\left\{(x-t)_{+}^{k}\right\}$, for $x \in[a, b]$, then $K$ is independent of $f$.
Suppose that it is allowed to exchange the order of action of $\int$ and $L$,

$$
\text { So } \quad L(f)=\frac{1}{k!} \int_{a}^{x} K(t) f^{(k+1)}(t) d t
$$

## Theorem 1.12 [8] (the Peano kernel)

Let $L$ be a linear functional from a space of functions to $R$ such that $L(f)=0$ for $f \in \mathbb{P}_{K}[x]$, provided that $f \in C^{k+1}[a, b]$ and the above exchange of $L$ with integration sign is valid, the formula (2.1) is true.

## Example 1.13

We approximate a derivative by a linear combination of function values,

$$
f^{\prime}(0)=-\frac{3}{2} f(0)+2 f(1)-\frac{1}{2} f(2)
$$

Therefore, $L(f)=f^{\prime}(0)-\left[-\frac{3}{2} f(0)+2 f(1)-\frac{1}{2} f(2)\right]$.
And it is easy to check that $L(f)=0$ for $f \in \mathbb{P}_{2}[x]$, [Verify by trying $f(x)=1, x, x^{2}$ and using linearity of $\left.L\right]$. Thus, for $f \in C^{3}[0,2]$ we have,

$$
L(f)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{2} K(t) f^{(3)}(t) d t
$$

To evaluate the Peano kernel K, we fix $t$. Letting $g(x)=(x-t)^{2}$.
We have, $\quad K(t)=L(g)=g^{\prime}(0)-\left[-\frac{3}{2} g(0)+2 g(1)-\frac{1}{2} g(2)\right]$

$$
=2(0-t)_{+}-\left[-\frac{3}{2}(0-t)_{+}^{2}+2(0-t)_{+}^{2}-\frac{1}{2}(0-t)_{+}^{2}\right] .
$$

So

$$
K(t)=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
0, & t \leq 0 \\
2 t-\frac{3}{2} t^{2}, & 0 \leq t \leq 1 \\
\frac{1}{2}(2-t)^{2}, & 1 \leq t \leq 2
\end{array}\right.
$$

It is obvious that $K(t)=0$ for $t \notin[0,2]$, since then $L$ acts on a quadratic polynomial

## 1.2 - Functions of Bounded Variation

## Definition 1.14 [35]

A function $f:[a, b] \rightarrow R$ is said to be of bounded variation on $[a, b]$ if and only if there is a constant $M \geq 0$, such that

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|f\left(x_{\mathrm{i}}\right)-f\left(x_{\mathrm{i}-1}\right)\right| \leq M
$$

for all partitions $\mathrm{p}=\left\{x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{\mathrm{n}}\right\}$ of $[a, b]$.
If $f$ is of bounded variation on $[a, b]$, then the total variation of
$f$ is defined to be

$$
\bigvee_{a}^{b} f=\sup \left\{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|f\left(x_{i}\right)-f\left(x_{i-1}\right)\right|: P=\left\{x_{o}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}\right.
$$ is a partition of $[a, b]\}$.

## Lemma 1.15 [54]

Let $f:[a, b] \rightarrow R$ be a function, Let $\left\{x_{\mathrm{i}}: 0 \leq i \leq n\right\}$ and $\left\{y_{\mathrm{i}}: 0 \leq i \leq m\right\}$ any partitions of $[a, b]$ such that

$$
\left\{x_{\mathrm{i}}: 0 \leq i \leq n\right\} \subseteq\left\{y_{\mathrm{i}}: 0 \leq i \leq m\right\}
$$

Then,

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|f\left(x_{\mathrm{i}}\right)-f\left(x_{i-1}\right)\right| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{m}\left|f\left(y_{\mathrm{i}}\right)-f\left(y_{i-1}\right)\right|
$$

## Theorem 1.16 [36]

Let $f$ and $g$ be functions of bounded variation on $[a, b]$, and let $k$ be a constant. Then
(1) $f$ is bounded on $[a, b]$.
(2) $f$ is of bounded variation on every closed subinterval of $[a, b]$.
(3) $k f$ is of bounded variation on $[a, b]$.
(4) $f+g$ and $f-g$ are of bounded variation on $[a, b]$.
(5) $f g$ is of bounded variation on $[a, b]$.
(6) If $1 / g$ is bounded on $[a, b]$, then $f / g$ is of bounded variation on $[a, b]$.

## Proof:

(1) Suppose $f$ is not bounded on $[a, b]$,
so there exist $x \in[a, b]$, such that $|f(x)|>r$ for $\mathrm{r} \in \mathrm{R}$.
Now, let $x=x_{m}$ for $0 \leq m \leq n$, such that $\left\{x_{\mathrm{i}}: 1 \leq \mathrm{i} \leq \mathrm{n}\right\}$ be a partition of $[a, b]$.
Then

$$
\sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{n}}\left|f\left(x_{i}\right)-f\left(x_{i-1}\right)\right|>r,
$$

Therefor $\quad \vee_{a}^{b} f>r$, for some partition $\left\{x_{\mathrm{i}}: 1 \leq \mathrm{i} \leq \mathrm{n}\right\}$ of $[a, b]$.
Hence, if $f$ be functions of bounded variation on $[a, b]$, then $f$ is bounded.
(2) We begin by assuming that $f$ is of bounded variation on $[a, b]$ Thus

$$
\vee_{a}^{b} f=\sup \left\{\sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{n}}\left|f\left(x_{i}\right)-f\left(x_{i-1}\right)\right|\right\}=\mathrm{r},
$$

Let $[c, d] \subseteq[a, b]$ and $\left\{x_{\mathrm{i}}: 1 \leq i \leq n\right\}$ be a partition of $[c, d]$,
Then extend this partition to $[a, b]$ by adding the points $a$ and $b$, and relabeling So $\left\{x_{\mathrm{i}}: 0 \leq i \leq n+2\right\}$ is a partition of $[a, b]$ such that $x_{1}=c$ and $x_{\mathrm{n}+1}=d$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\mathrm{i}=2}^{\mathrm{n}+1}\left|f\left(x_{i}\right)-f\left(x_{i-1}\right)\right| & \leq\left|f\left(x_{1}\right)-f(a)\right| \\
& +\sum_{\mathrm{i}=2}^{\mathrm{n}+1}\left|f\left(x_{\mathrm{i}}\right)-f\left(x_{\mathrm{i}-1}\right)\right|+\left|f(b)-f\left(x_{\mathrm{n}}\right)\right| \leq r .
\end{aligned}
$$

Because original partition of $[c, d]$ was arbitrary we can conclude that,

$$
\bigvee_{c}^{d} f \leq r
$$

(3) Let $\left\{x_{\mathrm{i}}: 1 \leq \mathrm{i} \leq \mathrm{n}\right\}$ be a partition of $[a, b]$ consider

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{n}}\left|k f\left(x_{\mathrm{i}}\right)-k f\left(x_{\mathrm{i}-1}\right)\right| & =k \sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{n}}\left|f\left(x_{i}\right)-f\left(x_{\mathrm{i}-1}\right)\right| \\
& \leq|k| \vee_{a}^{b} f \leq|k| r, \quad \text { for } \mathrm{r} \in \mathrm{R}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $k f$ is bounded variation and $\quad \bigvee_{a}^{b}(k f)=|k| \bigvee_{a}^{b} f$.
(4) Let $\left\{x_{i}: 1 \leq i \leq n\right\}$ be a partition of $[a, b]$.

By repeated use of the triangle inequality
We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{n}}\left|f\left(x_{\mathrm{i}}\right)+g\left(x_{\mathrm{i}}\right)-f\left(x_{\mathrm{i}-1}\right)-g\left(x_{\mathrm{i}-1}\right)\right| & \leq \sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{n}}\left|f\left(x_{\mathrm{i}}\right)-f\left(x_{\mathrm{i}-1}\right)\right| \\
& +\sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{n}}\left|g\left(x_{i}\right)-g\left(x_{\mathrm{i}-1}\right)\right| \\
& \leq \bigvee_{a}^{b} f+\mathrm{V}_{a}^{b} g
\end{aligned}
$$

And notice that $\bigvee_{a}^{b} f+\bigvee_{a}^{b} g$ is finite, the partition we choose was arbitrary hence $f+g$ is bounded variation to prove $f-g$ is of bounded variation simply note that $f-g=f+(-g)$, by $(3),(-g)$ is bounded variation.
(5) To prove $f g$ is bounded variation

Let $\left\{x_{\mathrm{i}}: 1 \leq i \leq n\right\}$ be arbitrary partition of $[a, b]$ then,
By repeated use of the triangle inequality, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{n}}\left|f\left(x_{\mathrm{i}}\right) g\left(x_{\mathrm{i}}\right)-f\left(x_{\mathrm{i}-1}\right) g\left(x_{i-1}\right)\right|= & \sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{n}} \mid f\left(x_{\mathrm{i}}\right) g\left(x_{\mathrm{i}}\right)-f\left(x_{\mathrm{i}-1}\right) g\left(x_{\mathrm{i}-1}\right) \\
& +\left(f\left(x_{\mathrm{i}}\right) g\left(x_{\mathrm{i}-1}\right)-f\left(x_{\mathrm{i}}\right) g\left(x_{\mathrm{i}-1}\right) \mid\right. \\
= & \sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{n}}| | f\left(x_{\mathrm{i}}\right)| | g\left(x_{\mathrm{i}}\right)-g\left(x_{\mathrm{i}-1}\right) \mid \\
& +\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|g\left(x_{\mathrm{i}-1}\right)\right|\left|f\left(x_{\mathrm{i}}\right)-f\left(x_{\mathrm{i}-1}\right)\right| \\
& \leq(n M) \vee_{a}^{b} g+(n N) \vee_{a}^{b} f,
\end{aligned}
$$

Where $|f(x)|<M$ and $|g(x)|<N$, for $x \in[a, b]$.
Since $(n M) \vee_{a}^{b} g+(n N) \vee_{a}^{b} f$ is finite,
Then $f g$ is bounded variation.
(6) Since $1 / g$ is bounded so there exists $M \in R$ such that

$$
1 / g(x) \leq M, \quad \text { for } \quad x \in[a, b]
$$

Now let $\left\{x_{\mathrm{i}}: 0 \leq i \leq n\right\}$ be a partition, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{n}} \left\lvert\, \frac{1}{g\left(x_{i}\right)}-\frac{1}{g\left(x_{i-1}\right)}\right. & \left.\left|=\sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{n}}\right| \frac{g_{\left(x_{i-1}\right)}-g_{\left(x_{\mathrm{i}}\right)}}{g_{\left(x_{\mathrm{i}}\right)} g_{\left(x_{i-1}\right)}} \right\rvert\, \\
& \leq M^{2} \sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{n}}\left|g\left(x_{i}\right)-g\left(x_{i-1}\right)\right| \\
& \leq M^{2} \vee_{a}^{b} g<\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $\frac{1}{g}$ is bounded variation so by (5) $(f)\left(\frac{1}{g}\right)=\frac{f}{g}$ it is also.

## Lemma 1.17 [47]

If $f:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathrm{R}$ is a function and $f$ is of bounded variation on $[a, c]$ and $[c, b]$, then $f$ is of bounded variation on $[a, b]$ and

$$
\vee_{a}^{b} f=\vee_{a}^{c} f+\vee_{c}^{b} f
$$

## Theorem 1.18 [54]

If $f$ is monotone increasing on $[a, b]$, then $f$ is of bounded variation on $[a, b]$, and

$$
\bigvee_{a}^{b} f=f(b)-f(a)
$$

Proof:
Let $\left\{x_{\mathrm{i}}, 1 \leq \mathrm{i} \leq \mathrm{n}\right\}$ be a partition of $[a, b]$, we know $f\left(x_{\mathrm{i}}\right) \geq f\left(x_{\mathrm{i}-1}\right)$ for $i$ and so $f\left(x_{\mathrm{i}}\right)-f\left(x_{\mathrm{i}-1}\right) \geq 0$, and $\left|f\left(x_{\mathrm{i}}\right)-f\left(x_{\mathrm{i}-1}\right)\right|=\left(f\left(x_{\mathrm{i}}\right)-f\left(x_{\mathrm{i}-1}\right)\right)$.

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{n}}\left|f\left(x_{\mathrm{i}}\right)-f\left(x_{\mathrm{i}-1}\right)\right|= & \sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{n}}\left(f\left(x_{\mathrm{i}}\right)-f\left(x_{i-1}\right)\right) \\
= & \left(f\left(x_{\mathrm{n}}\right)-f\left(x_{\mathrm{n}-1}\right)\right)+\left(f\left(x_{\mathrm{n}-1}\right)-f\left(x_{\mathrm{n}-1}\right)\right)+\ldots \\
& +\left(f\left(x_{3}\right)-f\left(x_{2}\right)\right)+\left(f\left(x_{2}\right)-f\left(x_{1}\right)\right) \\
& =f\left(x_{\mathrm{n}}\right)-f\left(x_{1}\right)=f(b)-f(a)
\end{aligned}
$$

Easily noting that

$$
x_{n}=b \text { and } x_{1}=a .
$$

It is the same for every partition of $[a, b]$. So

$$
\vee_{a}^{b} f=f(b)-f(a)<\infty
$$

Thus $f$ is of bounded variation

## Lemma 1.19 [55]

If $f:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathrm{R}$ is a function, then $\mathrm{V}_{a}^{b} f=0$ if and only if $f$ is constant.

## Proof:

Suppose that $f$ is constant then $f$ is monotone function, so by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vee_{a}^{b} f=f(b)-f(a) \tag{1.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

However

$$
f(b)=f(a)=c \in R .
$$

So

$$
\vee_{a}^{b} f=0
$$

Now suppose that $f$ is not constant on $[a, b]$, so there exists $x_{1}, x_{2} \in[a, b]$ such that $x_{1}=x_{2}$ and $f\left(x_{1}\right) \neq f\left(x_{2}\right)$.

If we take these points as a partition of $[a, b]$, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\vee_{a}^{b} f \geq\left|f\left(x_{1}\right)-f(a)\right|+\left|f\left(x_{2}\right)-f\left(x_{1}\right)\right|+\left|f(b)-f\left(x_{2}\right)\right| \geq 0 . \\
\left|f\left(x_{2}\right)-f\left(x_{1}\right)\right|>0 \\
\vee_{a}^{b} f>0 \text { and } \vee_{a}^{b} f \neq 0 .
\end{gathered}
$$

Thus

## Lemma 1.20 [36]

If $f$ is a function of bounded variation on $[a, b]$ and $x \in[a, b]$, then
$g(x)=\mathrm{V}_{a}^{x} f$ is an increasing function on $[a, b]$.

## Proof:

Let $x_{1}, x_{2} \in[a, b]$ and $x_{1} \leq x_{2}$, because $f$ is of bounded variation so by
(1.17) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{V}_{a}^{x_{2}} f=\mathrm{V}_{a}^{x_{1}} f+\mathrm{V}_{x_{1}}^{x_{2}} f \\
& \mathrm{~V}_{a}^{x_{2}} f-\mathrm{V}_{a}^{x_{1}} f=\mathrm{V}_{x_{1}}^{x_{2}} f \\
& g\left(x_{2}\right)-g\left(x_{1}\right)=\mathrm{V}_{x_{1}}^{x_{2}} f \geq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

So

$$
g\left(x_{2}\right) \geq g\left(x_{1}\right)
$$

Hence $g(x)$ is an increasing.

## Theorem 1.21 [47]

If $f:[a, b] \rightarrow R$ is a function of bounded variation, then there exist two increasing functions, $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ such that $f=f_{1}-f_{2}$.

## Proof

Let $f_{1}=\mathrm{V}_{a}^{x} f$ for $x \in[a, b]$,
And $f_{1}(a)=0$, so by (1.20) $f_{1}$ is increasing.
Now,

$$
\text { define } f_{2}=f_{1}-f
$$

We need show that $f_{2}$ is increasing.
Let $x, y \in[a, b]$ such that $x<y$, then

$$
\begin{gathered}
\qquad \begin{array}{c}
f_{1}(y)-f_{1}(x)=\mathrm{V}_{x}^{y} f \\
\geq|f(y)-f(x)| \geq f(y)-f(x) . \\
\text { [Because } \left.f_{1}(y)-f_{1}(x)=\mathrm{V}_{a}^{y} f-\mathrm{V}_{a}^{x} f=\mathrm{V}_{x}^{y} f\right] .
\end{array} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Then

So

$$
\begin{gathered}
f_{1}(y)-f_{1}(x) \geq f(y)-f(x) \\
f_{1}(y)-f(y) \geq f_{1}(x)-f(x)
\end{gathered}
$$

Thus $f_{2}$ is increasing on $[a, b]$, and $f=f_{1}-f_{2}$.

## Lemma 1.22 [56]

If $f:[a, b] \rightarrow R$ is absolutely continuous, then it is of bounded variation.
Proof
Let $\delta>0$ such that $\quad \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|f\left(d_{\mathrm{i}}\right)-f\left(c_{\mathrm{i}}\right)\right|<1$ when $\quad \sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{n}}\left|d_{\mathrm{i}}-c_{\mathrm{i}}\right|<$ $\delta$, and $\left\{\left(d_{\mathrm{i}}, c_{\mathrm{i}}\right): 1 \leq i \leq n\right\}$ is a finite collection of disjoint intervals in $[a, b]$, Round up $\left(\frac{b-a}{\delta}\right)$ to the nearest integer value and call it $k$.

Now, construct a partition of $[a, b]$ as follows, $\left\{x_{\mathrm{i}}=a+i\left(\frac{b-a}{k}\right): 0 \leq i \leq k\right\}$.
Then

$$
x_{\mathrm{i}}-x_{i-1}=\left(a+i\left(\frac{b-a}{k}\right)\right)-\left(a+(i-1)\left(\frac{b-a}{k}\right)\right)=\frac{b-a}{k} \leq \delta,
$$

So, by the absolute continuity condition, we have

$$
\mathrm{V}_{x_{i}}^{x_{i-1}} f \leq 1,
$$

Now, by Summing over $i$ from 0 to $k$ and using the (1.17), we have

$$
\vee_{a}^{b}(f) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{k} \vee_{x_{i}}^{x_{i-1}} f \leq 1+1+\ldots+1=k
$$

Therefore $f$ is of bounded variation.

## Example 1.23

Define the function $f:[0,1] \rightarrow R$, by $\quad f(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}0 & \text { if } & x=0 \\ x \cos \frac{\pi}{x} & \text { if } & x \neq 0\end{array}\right.$
We know that $\cos \frac{\pi}{x}$ is bounded, and too

$$
\left|\cos \frac{\pi}{x}\right| \leq 1, \quad \text { where } x \neq 0
$$

then by use of definition of continuity in( 1.4) we have,

$$
|f(x)-f(0)|=\left|x \cos \frac{\pi}{x}-0\right|=|x|\left|\cos \frac{\pi}{x}\right| \leq|x|
$$

Choose $\delta=\varepsilon$.
If $|x-0|<\delta$ implies that $|f(x)-f(0)| \leq|x|<\varepsilon$, then $f$ is continuous on $[0,1]$
but is not of bounded variation, to see this, for each $m \in N$, let the partition

$$
P_{m}=\left\{0, \frac{1}{2 m}, \frac{1}{2 m-1}, \ldots, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{2}, 1\right\}
$$

The values of $f$ at the points of this partition one

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f\left(P_{m}\right)=\left\{0, \frac{1}{2 m},-\frac{1}{2 m-1}, \frac{1}{2 m-2}, \ldots, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{2},-1\right\}, \text { then } \\
& \sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{n}}\left|f\left(x_{i}\right)-f\left(x_{\mathrm{i}-1}\right)\right|=\left|\frac{1}{2 \mathrm{~m}}-0\right|+\left|-\frac{1}{2 \mathrm{~m}-1}-\frac{1}{2 \mathrm{~m}}\right|+\left|\frac{1}{(2 \mathrm{~m}-2)}+\frac{1}{2 \mathrm{~m}-1}\right| \\
& +\ldots+\left|-\frac{1}{3}-\frac{1}{4}\right|+\left|\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{3}\right|+\left|-1-\frac{1}{2}\right| \\
& =\frac{1}{2 \mathrm{~m}}+\frac{1}{2 \mathrm{~m}-1}+\frac{1}{2 \mathrm{~m}}+\frac{1}{(2 \mathrm{~m}-2)}+\frac{1}{2 \mathrm{~m}-1}+\ldots+\frac{1}{3}+\frac{1}{4}+\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{3}+1+\frac{1}{2} \\
& =2\left(\frac{1}{2 \mathrm{~m}}+\frac{1}{2 \mathrm{~m}-1}+\ldots+\frac{1}{2}\right)+1 \text {, }
\end{aligned}
$$

We have the series $\sum_{\mathrm{k}=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\mathrm{k}}$ diverges, then given any $M$, there is a partition $P_{m}$ for which

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|f\left(x_{\mathrm{i}}\right)-f\left(x_{\mathrm{i}-1}\right)\right|>M
$$

So by lemma1.22 $f$ is not absolutely continuous.

## Corollary 1.24 [47]

If $f$ is continuous on $[a, b]$ and $f^{\prime}$ exists and is bounded on $(a, b)$.
Then $f$ is of bounded variation on $[a, b]$.

## Corollary 1.25 [35]

If $f:[a, b] \rightarrow R$ is a function that is L-Lipschitzian for some finite constant $\mathrm{L}>0$, then $f$ is of bounded variation on $[a, b]$.

## Remark 1.26 [36]

If $f$ is a continuous function from $[a, b]$ to $R$, and if $f$ is differentiable on $(a, b)$ with $\left|f^{\prime}(x)\right| \leq M$ for $x \in(a, b)$, then

$$
|f(x)-f(y)| \leq M|x-y| \quad \text { for } x, y \in[a, b],
$$

in this case, $f$ is a Lipschitz continuous function on $[a, b]$.

## 1.3 - Riemann- Stieltjes integral

## Definition 1.27 [47]

Let $f, g:[a, b] \rightarrow R$ be bounded functions, suppose that there exists a real number $A$ such that for every $\varepsilon>0$ there is $\delta>0$ for which,

$$
\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} f\left(\xi_{i}\right)\left[g\left(x_{\mathrm{i}}\right)-g\left(x_{i-1}\right)\right]-A\right|<\varepsilon
$$

For every subdivision $P$ of mesh size less then $\delta$ and for $\left\{\xi_{i}\right\}$ with ( $x_{i-1} \leq \xi_{i} \leq x_{\mathrm{i}}$ ), $i=1,2, \ldots, n$, then we say that $f$ is Riemann - Stieltjes integrable with respect to $g$ on $[a, b]$ or $f \in R(g)$, and we write $\int_{a}^{b} f d g=A$.
$\left[\operatorname{mesh} P=\|P\|=\operatorname{Max}_{0 \leq i \leq 1}\left|x_{\mathrm{i}}-x_{\mathrm{i}-1}\right|, \quad\right.$ for $\left.i=1,2, \ldots, n\right]$.

## Example 1.28

Let $f, g:[0,1] \rightarrow R$ given by $f(x)=1$, and

$$
g(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & \text { for } & 0 \leq x<\frac{1}{3} \\
1 & \text { for } & \frac{1}{3} \leq x \leq 1
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then the sum $\sum_{i=1}^{n} f\left(\xi_{i}\right)\left(g\left(x_{\mathrm{i}}\right)-g\left(x_{i-1}\right)\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(g\left(x_{\mathrm{i}}\right)-g\left(x_{i-1}\right)\right)$,
for any partition $\left\{x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}$ of $[a, b]$ and any $\xi_{i} \in\left(x_{i-1}, x_{i}\right)$, there is $m$ such that $0 \leq m \leq n$, and $\frac{1}{3} \in\left(x_{\mathrm{m}-1}, x_{\mathrm{m}}\right)$, so
$\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(g\left(x_{\mathrm{i}}\right)-g\left(x_{i-1}\right)\right)=0+\ldots+\left(g\left(x_{\mathrm{m}}\right)-g\left(x_{\mathrm{m}-1}\right)\right)+\ldots+0=1-0=1$,
Then however $\sum_{i=1}^{n} f\left(\xi_{i}\right)\left(g\left(x_{\mathrm{i}}\right)-g\left(x_{i-1}\right)\right)=1$,

Then $\quad f \in R(g)$, and $\quad \int_{0}^{1} f d g=1$.

## Remark 1.29 [9]

If $f$ is Riemann - Stieltjes integrable with respect to $g$ then,

$$
\int_{a}^{b} f d g=\lim _{\|P\| \rightarrow o} \mathrm{~S}(P, f, g)
$$

Where

$$
S(P, f, g)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} f\left(\xi_{i}\right)\left(g\left(x_{\mathrm{i}}\right)-g\left(x_{i-1}\right)\right), \text { is called Riemann - }
$$

Stieltjes sum, for $\xi_{i} \in\left(x_{\mathrm{i}-1}, x_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$, where $P=\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}$ any partition of $[a, b]$, and

$$
\|P\|=\operatorname{Max}_{0 \leq i \leq n}\left|x_{\mathrm{i}}-x_{\mathrm{i}-1}\right|, \text { for } i=1,2,3, \ldots, n .
$$

## Definition 1.30 [51]

A partition $P^{*}$ is said to be a refinement of $P$, if $P^{*} \supseteq P$.

## Notation 1.31

If $P^{*}$ is refinement of $P$ then, mesh $P^{*} \leq \operatorname{mesh} P$
So, if mesh $P^{*}<\delta^{*}$ and mesh $P<\delta$ for $\delta, \delta^{*}>0$
Then, $\delta \geq \delta^{*}$.

## Remark 1.32 [51]

Given two partition $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$ of $[a, b]$, then their common refinement is

$$
P^{*}=P_{1} \cup P_{2} .
$$

## Remark 1.33 [10]

$f \in \mathrm{R}(g)$ if each number $\varepsilon>0$, there is a number $A$ and a partition $P_{\varepsilon}$ of $[a, b]$, such that if $P$ is refinement of $P_{\varepsilon}$ and if $S(P, f, g)$ is any corresponding Riemann - Stieltjes sum, then $|S(P, f, g)-A|<\varepsilon$.

## Theorem1.34 (Cauchy criterion for integrality) [10]

$f \in \mathrm{R}(g)$ if and only if each number $\varepsilon>0$, there is a partition $P_{\varepsilon}$ of $[a, b]$ such that if $P_{1}, P_{2}$ are refinements of $P_{\varepsilon}$ and if $S\left(P_{1}, f, g\right)$ and $S\left(P_{2}, f, g\right)$ are any corresponding Riemann - Stieltjes sums, then $\left|\mathbf{S}\left(P_{1}, f, g\right)-\mathrm{S}\left(P_{2}, f, g\right)\right|<\varepsilon$.

## Proof

If $f \in \mathrm{R}(g)$ and $\int_{a}^{b} f d g=\mathrm{A}$ there is $P_{\varepsilon}$ such that if $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$ are refinements of $P_{\varepsilon}$.

Then

$$
\left|S\left(P_{1}, f, g\right)-A\right|<\varepsilon / 2, \quad \text { and } \quad\left|S\left(P_{2}, f, g\right)-A\right|<\varepsilon / 2
$$

So

$$
\left|S\left(P_{1}, f, g\right)-S\left(P_{2}, f, g\right)\right|<\varepsilon / 2+\varepsilon / 2=\varepsilon .
$$

Conversely,
Let $P_{1}$ be a partition of $[a, b]$ such that if $P$ and $Q$ are refinements $P_{1}$, then

$$
|S(P, f, g)-S(Q, f, g)|<1
$$

Inductively, we choose $P_{n}$ to be a refinement of $P_{n-1}$ such that if $P, Q$ are refinements of $P_{n}$.

Then

$$
|S(P, f, g)-S(Q, f, g)|<1 / \mathrm{n}
$$

Let $\left(S\left(P_{n}, f, g\right)\right)$ be a sequence of real numbers obtained in this way, since $P_{n}$ is refinement of $P_{m}$ for $n \geq m$, so this sequence of sums is Cauchy sequence.

The names that $\left(S\left(P_{n}, f, g\right)\right) \rightarrow L$ where $L$ is real number,
So if $\varepsilon>0$, there is $N$, such that $2 / \mathrm{N}<\varepsilon$ and

$$
\left|S\left(P_{N}, f, g\right)-L\right|<\varepsilon / 2 .
$$

If P is a refinement of $P_{N}$, then

$$
\left|S(P, f, g)-S\left(P_{N}, f, g\right)\right|<1 / N<\varepsilon / 2
$$

Hence

$$
|S(P, f, g)-L|<\varepsilon .
$$

Then, by remark $1.33 f \in R(g)$ on $[a, b]$, and $\int_{a}^{b} f d g=\mathrm{L}$.

## Theorem 1.35 [47]

If $f_{1} \in R(g)$ and $f_{2} \in R(g)$ on $[a, b]$, then $\alpha f_{1}+\beta f_{2} \in R(g)$ on [ $\left.a, b\right]$, and

$$
\int_{a}^{b}\left(\alpha f_{1}+\beta f_{2}\right) d g=\alpha \int_{a}^{b} f_{1} d g+\beta \int_{a}^{b} f_{2} d g
$$

## Proof

let $\varepsilon>0$ and let $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$ be partitions of $[a, b]$ such that if $P$ is refinement of both $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$, then for any corresponding Riemann - Stieltjes sums, $S\left(P, f_{1}, g\right)$ and $S\left(P, f_{2}, g\right)$ there exist $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$, such that

$$
\left|S\left(P, f_{1}, g\right)-A_{1}\right|<\frac{\varepsilon}{2|\alpha|}
$$

and

$$
\left|S\left(P, f_{2}, g\right)-A_{2}\right|<\frac{\varepsilon}{2|\beta|}
$$

Let $\quad P_{\varepsilon}=P_{1} \cup P_{2}$, then $P_{\varepsilon} \subseteq P$ and both of relations above still hold.
When the same intermediate points are used, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
S\left(P, \alpha f_{1}+\beta f_{2}, g\right) & =\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\alpha f_{1}+\beta f_{2}\right)\left(\xi_{i}\right)\left(\Delta_{\mathrm{i}} g\right) \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\alpha f_{1}\right)\left(\xi_{i}\right)\left(\Delta_{\mathrm{i}} g\right) \\
& +\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\beta f_{2}\right)\left(\xi_{i}\right)\left(\Delta_{\mathrm{i}} g\right) \\
& =\alpha \sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{1}\left(\xi_{i}\right)\left(\Delta_{\mathrm{i}} g\right)+\beta \sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{2}\left(\xi_{i}\right)\left(\Delta_{\mathrm{i}} g\right) \\
& =\alpha S\left(P, f_{1}, g\right)+\beta S\left(P, f_{2}, g\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

So by 3.1, 4.1 and 5.1, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|\alpha A_{1}+\beta A_{2}-S\left(P, \alpha f_{1}+\beta f_{2}, g\right)\right|=\left|\alpha\left(A_{1}-S\left(P, f_{1}, g\right)\right)+\beta\left(A_{2}-S\left(P, f_{2}, g\right)\right)\right| \\
\leq|\alpha| \frac{\varepsilon}{2|\alpha|}+|\beta| \frac{\varepsilon}{2|\beta|}=\varepsilon
\end{gathered}
$$

Then $\quad \int_{a}^{b}\left(\alpha f_{1}+\beta f_{2}\right) d g=\alpha A_{1}+\beta A_{2}$.

## Theorem 1.36 [10]

If $f \in R\left(g_{1}\right)$ and $f \in R\left(g_{2}\right)$ on $[a, b]$, then $f \in R\left(\gamma g_{1}+\mu g_{2}\right)$, and

$$
\int_{a}^{b} f d\left(\gamma g_{1}+\mu g_{2}\right)=\gamma \int_{a}^{b} f d g_{1}+\mu \int_{a}^{b} f d g_{2}
$$

When $\gamma, \mu$ are real numbers.

## Proof:

Let $g=\gamma g_{1}+\mu g_{2}$, then for any partition $\left\{x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}$ of $[a, b]$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta_{\mathrm{i}} g=\Delta_{\mathrm{i}}\left(\gamma g_{1}+\mu g_{2}\right) & =\left(\gamma g_{1}+\mu g_{2}\right)\left(x_{i}\right)-\left(\gamma g_{1}+\mu g_{2}\right)\left(x_{i-1}\right) \\
& =\left(\gamma g_{1}\right)\left(x_{i}\right)-\left(\gamma g_{1}\right)\left(x_{i-1}\right)+\left(\mu g_{2}\right)\left(x_{i}\right)-\left(\mu g_{2}\right)\left(x_{i-1}\right) \\
& =\gamma \Delta_{\mathrm{i}} g_{1}+\mu \Delta_{\mathrm{i}} g_{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now,
let $\varepsilon>0$, and let $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$ be partitions of $[a, b]$, such that if $P$ is refinement of both $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$, then

$$
\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} f\left(\xi_{i}\right)\left(\Delta_{\mathrm{i}} g_{1}\right)-B_{1}\right|<\frac{\varepsilon}{2|\gamma|},
$$

And

$$
\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} f\left(\xi_{i}\right)\left(\Delta_{\mathrm{i}} g_{2}\right)-B_{2}\right|<\frac{\varepsilon}{2|\mu|} .
$$

If $P_{\varepsilon}=P_{1} \cup \quad P_{2}$, then $P$ is refinement of $P_{\varepsilon}$ and
Clearly, if $\left\{x_{i-1} \leq \xi_{i} \leq x_{i}\right\}$ is the same intermediate points are used, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
S\left(P, f, \gamma g_{1}+\mu g_{2}\right) & =\sum_{i=1}^{n} f\left(\xi_{i}\right)\left(\Delta_{\mathrm{i}}\right)\left(\gamma g_{1}+\mu g_{2}\right) \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{n} f\left(\xi_{i}\right)\left(\Delta_{\mathrm{i}}\right)\left(\gamma g_{1}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{n} f\left(\xi_{i}\right)\left(\Delta_{\mathrm{i}}\right)\left(\mu g_{2}\right) \\
& =\gamma \sum_{i=1}^{n} f\left(\xi_{i}\right)\left(\Delta_{\mathrm{i}}\right)\left(g_{1}\right)+\mu \sum_{i=1}^{n} f\left(\xi_{i}\right)\left(\Delta_{\mathrm{i}}\right)\left(g_{2}\right) \\
& =\gamma S\left(P, f, g_{1}\right)+\mu S\left(P, f, g_{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

But we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{a}^{b} f d g_{1}=B_{1} \text { and } \int_{a}^{b} f d g_{2}= B_{2}, \text { then } \\
&\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} f\left(\xi_{i}\right)\left(\Delta_{\mathrm{i}}\right)\left(\gamma g_{1}+\mu g_{2}\right)-\left(\gamma B_{1}+\mu B_{2}\right)\right|= \mid\left[\gamma S\left(P, f, g_{1}\right)+\mu S\left(P, f, g_{2}\right)\right] \\
&-\left(\gamma B_{1}+\mu B_{2}\right) \mid \\
& \leq\left|\gamma\left[S\left(P, f, g_{1}\right)-B_{1}\right]\right|+ \\
&\left|\mu\left[S\left(P, f, g_{2}\right)-B_{2}\right]\right|<\varepsilon .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, $\quad f \in R\left(\gamma g_{1}+\mu g_{2}\right)$, and $\int_{a}^{b} f d\left(\gamma g_{1}+\mu g_{2}\right)=\gamma B_{1}+\mu B_{2}$.

## Theorem 1.37 [47]

Suppose that $a \leq c \leq b$, then $f \in R(g)$ on $[a, c]$ and $[c, b]$ if and only if $f \in R(g)$ on $[a, b]$, and

$$
\int_{a}^{c} f d g+\int_{c}^{b} f d g=\int_{a}^{b} f d g
$$

## Proof

If $\varepsilon>0$, let $P_{\varepsilon}{ }^{\prime}$ be partitions of $[a, c]$ such that if $P^{\prime}$ is refinement of $P_{\varepsilon}{ }^{\prime}$, then

$$
\left|S\left(P^{\prime}, f, g\right)-A^{\prime}\right|<\frac{\varepsilon}{2}
$$

Similarly for $[a, c]$ we can say

$$
\left|S\left(P^{\prime \prime}, f, g\right)-A^{\prime \prime}\right|<\frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \text { for } P^{\prime \prime} \text { is refinement of } P_{\varepsilon}^{\prime \prime}
$$

Then

$$
\int_{a}^{c} f d g=A^{\prime} \text { and } \int_{c}^{b} f d g=A^{\prime \prime}
$$

Let $\quad P_{\varepsilon}=P_{\varepsilon}^{\prime} \cup P_{\varepsilon}^{\prime \prime}$ such that if $P$ is refinement of $P_{\varepsilon}$, then

$$
S(P, f, g)=S\left(P^{\prime}, f, g\right)+S\left(P^{\prime \prime}, f, g\right)
$$

Where $P^{\prime}$ and $P^{\prime \prime}$ denote the portions of $[a, c]$ and $[c, b]$ induced by $P$, and the corresponding intermediate points are used, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\left(A^{\prime}+A^{\prime \prime}\right)-S(P, f, g)\right| & \leq\left|S\left(P^{\prime}, f, g\right)-A^{\prime}\right|+\left|S\left(P^{\prime \prime}, f, g\right)-A^{\prime \prime}\right| \\
& \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}=\varepsilon .
\end{aligned}
$$

So $f \in R(g)$ on $[a, b]$, and

$$
\int_{a}^{c} f d g+\int_{c}^{b} f d g=\int_{a}^{b} f d g
$$

Conversely:
since $f \in R(g)$ on $[a, b]$, given $\varepsilon>0$ there is a partition $Q_{\varepsilon}$ of $[a, b]$ such that if $P, Q$ are refinements of $Q_{\varepsilon}$, then (by Cauchy Criterion)

$$
|\mathrm{S}(P, f, g)-\mathrm{S}(Q, f, g)|<\varepsilon
$$

For any corresponding Riemann - Stieltjes sums, $\mathrm{S}(P, f, g)$ and $\mathrm{S}(Q, f, g)$
Now assume that $c \in Q_{\mathcal{E}}$,
let $Q_{\varepsilon}{ }^{\prime}$ be the partition of $[a, c]$ such that $Q_{\varepsilon}{ }^{\prime} \subset Q_{\varepsilon}$,
Suppose that $P^{\prime}$ and $Q^{\prime}$ are partitions of $[a, c]$ such that $P^{\prime} \supseteq Q_{\varepsilon}{ }^{\prime}$ and $Q^{\prime} \supseteq Q_{\varepsilon}{ }^{\prime}$, and

$$
P^{\prime}=P /\left\{[c, b] \cap Q_{\varepsilon}\right\} \quad \text { and } \quad Q^{\prime}=Q /\left\{[c, b] \cap Q_{\varepsilon}\right\} \text {, then }
$$

$P$ and $Q$ are identical on $[c, b]$ that, if we use the same intermediate points,

So

$$
\left|\mathbf{S}\left(P^{\prime}, f, g\right)-\mathrm{S}\left(Q^{\prime}, f, g\right)\right|=|\mathrm{S}(P, f, g)-\mathrm{S}(Q, f, g)|<\varepsilon
$$

Therefore, $f \in R(g)$ on $[a, c]$, and a similar argument also applies to the interval [c, b].

## Theorem 1.38 (Integration by parts) [47]

A function $f$ is integrable with respect to $g$ over $[a, b]$ if and only if $g$ is integrable with respect to $f$ over $[a, b]$,
and

$$
\int_{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{b}} f d g+\int_{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{b}} g d f=f(b) g(b)-f(a) g(a) .
$$

## Proof

Let $\varepsilon>0$, be given.
By definition (1-27), there is a $\delta^{\prime}>0$,

$$
\left|\sum_{i=1}^{m} f\left(\xi^{\prime}\right)\left[g\left(x_{i}^{\prime}\right)-g\left(x_{i-1}^{\prime}\right)\right]-\int_{a}^{b} f d g\right|<\varepsilon,
$$

for partition $P^{\prime}: a=x^{\prime}{ }_{0}, x^{\prime}{ }_{1}, \ldots, x^{\prime}{ }_{m}=b$ of mesh $\leq \delta^{\prime}$, and $x^{\prime}{ }_{i-1} \leq \xi^{\prime}{ }_{i} \leq x^{\prime}{ }_{i}$.
Now,
let $\delta=\frac{1}{2} \delta^{\prime}$, and choose $P: a=x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}=b$ of mesh $\leq \delta$, and $x_{i-1} \leq \xi_{i} \leq x_{i}, i=1,2, \ldots, n$, and we further select $\xi_{0}=a, \xi_{n+1}=b$.

Then we obtain the partition $P_{\xi}: a=\xi_{0}, \xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{n+1}=b$.
So, $P_{\xi}$ is refinement of $P^{\prime}$ therefore mesh $P_{\xi} \leq \delta^{\prime}$, and $\xi_{i-1} \leq x_{i-1} \leq \xi_{i}$, for $i=1,2, \ldots, n+1$.

Then, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=1}^{n} g\left(\xi_{i}\right)\left[f\left(x_{i}\right)-f\left(x_{i-1}\right)\right] & =\sum_{i=1}^{n} g\left(\xi_{i}\right) f\left(x_{i}\right)-\sum_{i=1}^{n} g\left(\xi_{i}\right) f\left(x_{i-1}\right) \\
& =\sum_{i=2}^{n+1} g\left(\xi_{i-1}\right) f\left(x_{i-1}\right)+g(a) f(a)-g(a) f(a)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\sum_{i=1}^{n} g\left(\xi_{i}\right) f\left(x_{i-1}\right)-g(b) f(b)-g(b) f(b) \\
= & \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} g\left(\xi_{i-1}\right) f\left(x_{i-1}\right)-g(a) f(a) \\
& -\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} g\left(\xi_{i}\right) f\left(x_{i-1}\right)+g(b) f(b) \\
= & \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} f\left(x_{i-1}\right)\left(g\left(\xi_{i-1}\right)-g\left(\xi_{i}\right)\right) \\
& -g(a) f(a)+g(b) f(b)
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=1}^{n} g\left(\xi_{i}\right)\left[f\left(x_{i}\right)-f\left(x_{i-1}\right)\right]= & g(b) f(b)-g(a) f(a) \\
& -\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} f\left(x_{i-1}\right)\left[g\left(\xi_{i}\right)-g\left(\xi_{i-1}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, by exists of $\int_{a}^{b} f d g$ and since $P$ and $P_{\xi}$ are refinements of $P^{\prime}$, we have $\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} f\left(x_{i-1}\right)\left[g\left(\xi_{i}\right)-g\left(\xi_{i-1}\right)\right]-\int_{a}^{b} f d g\right|<\varepsilon$,

But,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mid \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} f\left(x_{i-1}\right)\left[g\left(\xi_{i}\right)-g\left(\xi_{i-1}\right)\right]- & \int_{a}^{b} f d g|=| \sum_{i=1}^{n} g\left(\xi_{i}\right)\left[f\left(x_{i}\right)-f\left(x_{i-1}\right)\right] \\
& -\left\{[g(b) f(b)-g(a) f(a)]-\int_{a}^{b} f d g \mid<\varepsilon\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, $\int_{a}^{b} g d f$ exist and
$\int_{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{b}} f d g+\int_{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{b}} g d f=f(b) g(b)-f(a) g(a)$.

## Theorem 1.39 (Modification of the integral) [51]

Suppose that $f, g$ and $g^{\prime}$ are continuous on $[a, b]$, then $\int_{a}^{b} f d g$ exists. And $\int_{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{b}} f d g=\int_{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{b}} f g^{\prime} \mathrm{d} x$.

## Proof

Let $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}>0$, be given.
By definition (1-26) we have shown that,

$$
\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} f\left(\xi_{i}\right)\left[g\left(x_{i}\right)-g\left(x_{i-1}\right)\right]-\int_{a}^{b} f(x) g^{\prime}(x) d x\right|<\varepsilon
$$

for any partition $P=\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}$ of $[a, b]$, such that the mesh of $P$ is Sufficiently small and $\xi_{i} \in\left[x_{i-1}, x_{i}\right]$.
by the mean - value theorem for any $i=1,2,3, \ldots, n$ there is
$\eta_{i} \in\left[x_{i-1}, x_{i}\right]$, such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
g^{\prime}\left(\eta_{i}\right)=\frac{g\left(x_{i}\right)-g\left(x_{i-1}\right)}{x_{i}-x_{i-1}}, \text { so } \\
\sum_{i=1}^{n} f\left(\xi_{i}\right)\left[g\left(x_{i}\right)-g\left(x_{i-1}\right)\right]=\sum_{i=1}^{n} f\left(\xi_{i}\right) g^{\prime}\left(\eta_{i}\right)\left(x_{i}-x_{i-1}\right) .
\end{gather*}
$$

If $\eta_{i}=\xi_{i}$, then

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} f\left(\xi_{i}\right) g^{\prime}\left(\eta_{i}\right)\left[\left(x_{i}-x_{i-1}\right)\right]=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(f g^{\prime}\right)\left(\xi_{i}\right)\left[\left(x_{i}\right)-\left(x_{i-1}\right)\right] .
$$

Now, since $g^{\prime}$ is continuous on $[a, b]$ (it is compact), then $g^{\prime}$ is uniformly continuous on $[a, b]$.

Therefore, there is a $\delta>0$ such that for $\left|\xi_{i}-\eta_{i}\right|<\delta$ it follows that

$$
\left|g^{\prime}\left(\xi_{i}\right)-g^{\prime}\left(\eta_{i}\right)\right|<\frac{\varepsilon}{2 M(b-a)}
$$

(Where $|f(x)| \leq M$ for $a \leq x \leq b$ ).
By definition of Riemann - Stieltjes integral (where $g(x)=x$ and for any partition $P$ with mesh less than $\delta$ ), and from [8.1] we have,

$$
\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(f g^{\prime}\right)\left(\xi_{i}\right)\left[\left(x_{i}\right)-\left(x_{i-1}\right)\right]-\int_{a}^{b}\left(f g^{\prime}\right)(x) d x\right|<\frac{\varepsilon}{2}
$$

If $\eta_{i} \neq \xi_{i}$ then from [9.1], we can say that

$$
\begin{align*}
\mid \sum_{i=1}^{n} f\left(\xi_{i}\right)\left[g^{\prime}\left(\eta_{i}\right)-g^{\prime}\left(\xi_{i}\right)\right] & \left.\left(x_{i}\right)-\left(x_{i-1}\right)\right) \mid \\
& <\sum_{i=1}^{n} M\left|\frac{\varepsilon}{2 M(b-a)}\left(x_{i}-x_{i-1}\right)\right| \\
& =\frac{\varepsilon}{2(b-a)} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-x_{i-1}\right)=\frac{\varepsilon}{2} .
\end{align*}
$$

Lastly, by [6.1], [10.1] and [11.1], for any $\xi_{i}, \eta_{i} \in\left[x_{i-1}, x_{i}\right]$ we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mid \sum_{i=1}^{n} f\left(\xi_{i}\right)\left[g\left(x_{i}\right)-\right. & \left.g\left(x_{i-1}\right)\right]-\int_{a}^{b} f g^{\prime} d x \mid \\
= & \left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} f\left(\xi_{i}\right) g^{\prime}\left(\eta_{i}\right)\left(x_{i}-x_{i-1}\right)-\int_{a}^{b} f g^{\prime} d x\right| \\
\leq & \left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} f\left(\xi_{i}\right)\left[g^{\prime}\left(\eta_{i}\right)-g^{\prime}\left(\xi_{i}\right)\right]\left(\left(x_{i}\right)-\left(x_{i-1}\right)\right)\right| \\
& +\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(f g^{\prime}\right)\left(\xi_{i}\right)\left[\left(x_{i}\right)-\left(x_{i-1}\right)\right]-\int_{a}^{b}\left(f g^{\prime}\right)(x) d x\right| \\
\quad & \quad \frac{\varepsilon}{2}+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}=\varepsilon
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $\int_{a}^{b} f d g$ exists, and

$$
\int_{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{b}} f d g=\int_{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{b}} f g^{\prime} \mathrm{d} x
$$

## Example 1.40

Let $:\left[0, \frac{\pi}{2}\right] \rightarrow[0,1]$, be a function define by $f(x)=\sin x$, then $f^{\prime}(x)=\cos x$ And $f, f^{\prime}$ are continuous on $\left[0, \frac{\pi}{2}\right]$.

So, we can use [1.34] and [1.33] to show that $\int_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} f d f$ is exist and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} f d f=\int_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \sin x d(\sin x)=\int_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} f f^{\prime} d x & =\int_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \sin x \cos x d x \\
& =\left.\frac{1}{2}(\sin x)^{2}\right|_{0} ^{\frac{\pi}{2}}=\frac{1}{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

And, from Integration by parts;

$$
\int_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \sin x d(\sin x)=\left.\sin x \sin x\right|_{0} ^{\frac{\pi}{2}}-\int_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \sin x d(\sin x)
$$

So,

$$
2 \int_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \sin x d(\sin x)=\left.(\sin x)^{2}\right|_{0} ^{\frac{\pi}{2}}=1
$$

Or $\quad \int_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \sin x d(\sin x)=\frac{1}{2}$.

## Definition 1.41 [47]

Let $f, g$ be functions defined on $[a, b]$, and $g$ be a monotonically increasing function on $[a, b]$.

Corresponding to any partition $P$ of $[a, b], \quad P=\left\{a=x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2} \ldots, x_{n}=b\right\}$, and

$$
\Delta_{\mathrm{i}} g=g\left(x_{\mathrm{i}}\right)-g\left(x_{\mathrm{i}-1}\right), \quad \text { for } \quad i=1,2, \ldots, n, \quad \text { then } \quad\left(\Delta_{\mathrm{i}} g \geq 0\right) .
$$

Define the upper and lower Darboux - Stieltjes sums,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S^{+}(P, f, g)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} M_{\mathrm{i}} \Delta_{\mathrm{i}} g, \\
& S_{-}(P, f, g)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} m_{\mathrm{i}} \Delta_{\mathrm{i}} g,
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& m_{\mathrm{i}}=\inf \left\{(x): x_{\mathrm{i}-1} \leq x \leq x_{\mathrm{i}}\right\} \\
& M_{\mathrm{i}}=\sup \left\{f(x): x_{\mathrm{i}-1} \leq x \leq x_{\mathrm{i}}\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

Then the upper Darboux - Stieltjes integral of as

$$
\overline{\int_{a}^{b}} f \mathrm{~d} g=\inf S^{+}(P, f, g)
$$

and lower Darboux - Stieltjes integral of as

$$
\underline{\int_{a}^{b}} f d g=\sup S^{-}(P, f, g)
$$

If $\overline{\int_{a}^{b}} f d g=\underline{\int_{a}^{b}} f d g$, then $f$ is Darboux - Stieltjes integrable with respect to $g$, and

$$
\overline{\int_{a}^{b}} f d g=\underline{\int_{a}^{b}} f d g=(\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{D}) \int_{a}^{b} f d g .
$$

## Examples 1.42

(1) If $g$ is constant on $[a, b]$, then any bounded function $f$ is Riemann Stieltjes integrable with respect to $g$.

Clearly;
$\Delta_{\mathrm{i}} g=g\left(x_{\mathrm{i}}\right)-g\left(x_{\mathrm{i}-1}\right)=0$, for any partition $p=\left\{x_{0,}, x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}$ of $[a, b]$, and

$$
S^{+}(P, f, g)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} M_{\mathrm{i}} \Delta g_{i}=0=\sum_{i=1}^{n} m_{\mathrm{i}} \Delta g_{i}=S^{-}(P, f, g) .
$$

So

$$
\overline{\int_{a}^{b}} f d g=\underline{\int_{a}^{b}} f \mathrm{~d} g=0 .
$$

(2) Suppose $g$ increases on $[a, b] x_{0} \in[a, b]$, and continuous at $x_{0}$,

$$
f\left(x_{0}\right)=1, \text { and } f(x)=0 \quad \text { if } x \neq x_{0}, x_{0} \in[a, b] \text {, then } f \in \mathrm{R}(g) .
$$

Since if $\varepsilon>0$, there exists $\delta>0$, such that

$$
\left|g(x)-g\left(x_{0}\right)\right|<\frac{\varepsilon}{2} \quad \text { whenever } \quad\left|x-x_{0}\right|<\delta,
$$

Let $P$ any partition of $[a, b]$, such that $x_{\mathrm{i}-1} \leq x_{0} \leq x_{\mathrm{i}}$ and $\left|x_{\mathrm{i}}-x_{\mathrm{i}-1}\right|<\delta$
Then $\quad \Delta_{\mathrm{i}} g=g\left(x_{\mathrm{i}}\right)-g\left(x_{\mathrm{i}-1}\right)=g\left(x_{\mathrm{i}}\right)-g\left(x_{0}\right)+g\left(x_{0}\right)-g\left(x_{\mathrm{i}-1}\right)<\frac{\varepsilon}{2}+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}=\varepsilon$,

$$
0 \leq S^{+}(P, f, g)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} M_{\mathrm{i}} \Delta_{\mathrm{i}} g=\Delta_{\mathrm{i}} g_{\mathrm{i}}<\varepsilon
$$

thus

$$
0 \leq \overline{\int_{a}^{b}} f d g=\inf S^{+}(P, f, g)<\varepsilon
$$

Since $\varepsilon$ is arbitrary, so $\overline{\int_{a}^{b}} f \mathrm{~d} g=0$.
also for any $P$ partition of $[a, b], m_{i}=\inf \left\{f(x): x_{\mathrm{i}-1} \leq x \leq x_{\mathrm{i}}\right\}=\{0\}$
Therefore $\quad \underline{\int_{a}^{b}} f \mathrm{~d} g=0$
Then

$$
\overline{\int_{a}^{b}} f d g=\underline{\int_{a}^{b}} f \mathrm{~d} g=(\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{D}) \int_{a}^{b} f d g=0 .
$$

## Theorem 1.43[51]

If $P^{*}$ is a refinement of $P$, then

$$
S^{+}\left(P^{*}, f, g\right) \leq S^{+}(P, f, g)
$$

## Proof

Assume that $P^{*}$ contains just one point more than $P$.
Let this be $c$ and $x_{\mathrm{i}-1} \leq c \leq x_{\mathrm{i}}$.
Let

$$
M_{\mathrm{i}}^{\prime}=\sup \left\{f(x) / x \in\left[x_{\mathrm{i}-1}, \mathrm{c}\right]\right\}
$$

and

$$
M_{\mathrm{i}}^{\prime \prime}=\sup \left\{f(x) / x \in\left[\mathrm{c}, x_{\mathrm{i}}\right]\right\}
$$

then

$$
M_{i}^{\prime} \leq M_{i}, \quad \text { and } M_{i}^{\prime \prime} \leq M_{i} .
$$

consider

$$
\begin{aligned}
S^{+}\left(P^{*}, f, g\right) & =\sum_{\substack{\mathrm{k}=1 \\
\mathrm{k} \neq \mathrm{i}}}^{n} M_{k} \Delta_{k} g+M_{\mathrm{i}}^{\prime}\left[g(c)-g\left(x_{\mathrm{i}-1}\right)\right]+M_{\mathrm{i}} \\
& \leq \sum_{\substack{\mathrm{k}=1 \\
\mathrm{k} \neq \mathrm{i}}}^{n} \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{K}} \Delta_{k} g+M_{\mathrm{i}}\left[g\left(x_{\mathrm{i}}\right)-g(c)-g\left(x_{\mathrm{i}-1}\right)\right]+M_{\mathrm{i}}\left[g\left(x_{\mathrm{i}}\right)-g(c)\right] \\
& \leq \sum_{\substack{\mathrm{k}=1 \\
\mathrm{k} \neq \mathrm{i}}}^{n} \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{K}} \Delta_{k} g+M_{\mathrm{i}}\left[g\left(x_{i}\right)-g\left(x_{\mathrm{i}-1}\right)\right] \\
& =\sum_{\substack{\mathrm{k}=1 \\
\mathrm{k} \neq \mathrm{i}}}^{n} \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{K}} \Delta_{k} g+M_{\mathrm{i}} \Delta_{i} g \\
& =S^{+}(P, f, g) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 1.44 [51]

$$
\underline{\int_{a}^{b} f} d g \leq \overline{\int_{a}^{b} f} d g
$$

## Proof:

Let $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$ be any partitions of $[a, b]$.
Let $P^{*}=P_{1} \cup P_{2}$

Then $P^{*}$ is the common refinement of $P_{1}$ as well as $P_{2}$.
Therefore by theorem 1.43

$$
\mathrm{S}^{+}\left(P^{*}, f, g\right) \leq \mathrm{S}^{+}\left(P_{1}, f, g\right)
$$

And $\quad S_{-}\left(P^{*}, f, g\right) \geq S_{-}\left(P_{2}, f, g\right)$
Also we know that

$$
S_{-}\left(P^{*}, f, g\right) \leq \mathrm{S}^{+}\left(P^{*}, f, g\right)
$$

From (12.1), (13.1) and (14.1), we get

$$
S_{-}\left(P_{2}, f, g\right) \leq S_{-}\left(P^{*}, f, g\right) \leq S^{+}\left(P^{*}, f, g\right) \leq S^{+}\left(P_{1}, f, g\right)
$$

Therefore for any two partitions $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$ of $[a, b]$, we have

$$
S_{-}\left(P_{2}, f, g\right) \leq \mathrm{S}^{+}\left(P_{1}, f, g\right) .
$$

Keeping $P_{2}$ fixed and varying $P_{1}$ over all partitions of $[a, b]$,

$$
S_{-}\left(P_{2}, f, g\right) \leq \inf ^{+}(P, f, g)
$$

Now this is true for all partitions $P_{2}$ of $[a, b]$.
Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Sup} S_{-}(P, f, g) \leq \inf S^{+}(P, f, g) \text {, so } \\
& \qquad \underline{\int_{a}^{b}} f \mathrm{~d} g \leq \overline{\int_{a}^{b}} f \mathrm{~d} g .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Theorem1.45 [51]

$f \in R(g)$ on $[a, b]$ if and only if for every $\varepsilon>0$, there exist a partition $P$ of [ $a, b]$ such that,

$$
S^{+}(P, f, g)-S_{-}(P, f, g)<\varepsilon .
$$

Proof:
If $f \in R(g)$ on $[a, b]$, then

$$
\underline{\int_{a}^{b} f d g=\overline{\int_{a}^{b} f d g}, \vec{x}}
$$

when $\overline{\int_{a}^{b} f} d g=\inf \mathrm{S}^{+}(P, f, g)$,
and $\quad \underline{\int_{a}^{b}} f d g=\sup S_{-}(P, f, g)$.
Therefore, by definition of infinimum and supremum,
For given $\varepsilon>0$, there exists a partition $P_{1}$ of $[a, b]$ such that

$$
S^{+}\left(P_{1}, f, g\right)<\overline{\int_{a}^{b}} f d g+\varepsilon / 2
$$

And a partition $P_{2}$ of $[a, b]$ such that

$$
S_{-}\left(P_{2}, f, g\right)>\underline{\int_{a}^{b}} f d g-\varepsilon / 2
$$

Let $P=P_{1} \cup P_{2}$
Then by theorem 1.43
and

$$
\mathrm{S}^{+}(P, f, g) \leq \mathrm{S}^{+}\left(P_{1}, f, g\right)
$$

$$
S_{-}(P, f, g) \geq S_{-}\left(P_{2}, f, g\right)
$$

From (15.1), (16.1), (17.1), (18.1) and (19.1), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{S}^{+}(P, f, g) \leq \mathrm{S}^{+}\left(P_{1}, f, g\right) & <\overline{\int_{a}^{b}} f d g+\varepsilon / 2 \\
& <\underline{\int_{a}^{b}} f d g+\varepsilon / 2<S_{-}\left(P_{2}, f, g\right)+\varepsilon / 2+\varepsilon / 2 \\
& <S_{-}\left(P_{2}, f, g\right)+\varepsilon<S_{-}(P, f, g)+\varepsilon
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore there exists a partition $P$ of $[a, b]$ such that

$$
S^{+}(P, f, g)-S_{-}(P, f, g)<\varepsilon
$$

Then for every partition $P$ of $[a, b]$, we have

$$
S_{-}(P, f, g) \leq \underline{\left.\int_{a}^{b} f d g \leq \overline{\int_{a}^{b}} f d g \leq \mathrm{S}^{+}(P, f, g)\right) ~}
$$

From (20.1) and (21.1), we get that

$$
0 \leq \overline{\int_{a}^{b}} f d g{\underset{-}{\int_{a}^{b}}}_{b} f d g \leq S^{+}(P, f, g)_{-} S_{-}(P, f, g)<\varepsilon
$$

This is true for ever $\varepsilon>0$.
Therefore

$$
\overline{\int_{a}^{b}} f d g=\underline{\int_{a}^{b}} f d g
$$

Hence $f \in \mathrm{R}(g)$ on $[a, b]$.

## Corollary 1.46 [10]

Let $f$ be bounded and $g$ be monotone increasing on $[a, b]$,then $f \in R(g)$ on $[a, b]$
if and only if for $e$ very $\varepsilon>0$ there exists $P_{\varepsilon}$ such that if $P$ is a refinement of $P_{\varepsilon}$ then,

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{i}}-\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{i}}\right) \Delta g_{\mathrm{i}}<\varepsilon,
$$

Where

$$
M_{i}=\sup \left\{f(x): x \in\left[x_{\mathrm{i}-1}, x_{\mathrm{i}}\right]\right\} \text { and } m_{i}=\inf \left\{f(x): x \in\left[x_{\mathrm{i}-1}, x_{\mathrm{i}}\right]\right\} .
$$

## Theorem 1.47 [51]

If $f, h \in R(g)$ on $[a, b]$, then
(a) $|f|, f^{2} \in \mathrm{R}(g)$ on $[a, b]$.
(b) $f h \in R(g)$ on $[a, b]$.

## Proof

Let $\varepsilon>0$,
since $f \in R(g)$, then there exists $P_{\varepsilon}$ such that if $P$ is a refinement of $P_{\varepsilon}$ then

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{i}}-\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{i}}\right) \Delta g_{\mathrm{i}}<\varepsilon \text {, where } P=\left\{x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\} \supset P_{\varepsilon}
$$

We note

$$
\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{i}}-\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{i}}=\sup \left\{f(x): x \in\left[x_{\mathrm{i}-1}, x_{\mathrm{i}}\right]\right\}-\inf \left\{f(y): y \in\left[x_{\mathrm{i}-1}, x_{\mathrm{i}}\right]\right\}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\sup \left\{f(x): x \in\left[x_{\mathrm{i}-1}, x_{\mathrm{i}}\right]\right\}+\sup \left\{(-f(y)): y \in\left[x_{\mathrm{i}-1}, x_{\mathrm{i}}\right]\right\} \\
& =\sup \left\{f(x)-f(y): x, y \in\left[x_{\mathrm{i}-1}, x_{\mathrm{i}}\right]\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

And

$$
||f(x)|-|f(y)|| \leq|f(x)-f(y)|
$$

So by (1.46) and (22.1), we have

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\{\sup \left\{|f(x)|-|f(y)|: x, y \in\left[x_{\mathrm{i}-1}, x_{\mathrm{i}}\right]\right\}\right\} \Delta g_{\mathrm{i}}<\varepsilon,
$$

So $\quad|f| \in R(g)$.
Now observe that $|f(x)| \leq K$ for $x \in[a, b]$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_{\mathrm{i}}\left(f^{2}\right) & =\sup \left\{f^{2}(x) / x \in\left[x_{\mathrm{i}-1}, x_{\mathrm{i}}\right]\right\} \\
& =\left[M_{\mathrm{i}}(|f|)\right]^{2} \\
m_{\mathrm{i}}\left(f^{2}\right) & =\left[m_{\mathrm{i}}(|f|)\right]^{2} \\
M_{\mathrm{i}}\left(f^{2}\right)-m_{\mathrm{i}}\left(f^{2}\right) & =\left[M_{\mathrm{i}}(|f|)\right]^{2}-\left[m_{\mathrm{i}}(|f|)\right]^{2} \\
& =\left[M_{\mathrm{i}}(|f|)+m_{\mathrm{i}}(|f|)\right]\left[M_{\mathrm{i}}(|f|)-m_{\mathrm{i}}(|f|)\right] \\
& \leq 2 k\left[M_{\mathrm{i}}(|f|)-m_{\mathrm{i}}(|f|)\right]<2 k(\varepsilon / 2 k)<\varepsilon .
\end{aligned}
$$

So by Corollary (1.46) $f^{2} \in \mathrm{R}(g)$.
(b) Since $f, h \in R(g)$ on $[a, b]$,

By theorem 1.35,

$$
f+h \in R(g) \text { and } f-h \in R(g) \text { on }[a, b],
$$

Therefore by part (a),
$(f+h)^{2} \in R(g)$ on $[a, b]$, and $(f-h)^{2} \in R(g)$ on $[a, b]$ and

$$
f h=(1 / 4)\left[(f+h)^{2}-(f-h)^{2}\right] \in R(g)
$$

Hence $f h \in R(g)$ on $[a, b]$.

## Theorem 1.48 [51]

If $f$ is continuous and $g$ is increasing on $[a, b]$, then $f \in R(g)$ on $[a, b]$.

## Proof:

Let $\varepsilon>0$.
Choose $\eta>0$ such that $\eta<\frac{\varepsilon}{[g(b)-g(a)]}$.
Since $f$ is continuous on $[a, b],\left[\begin{array}{l}a, b]\end{array}\right.$ is compact]. then
$f$ is uniformly continuous on $[a, b]$.
Therefore for this $\eta>0$, there exists $\delta>0$, such hat

$$
|f(x)-f(y)|<\eta \quad \text { whenever } \quad x, y \in[a, b] \text { with }|x-y|<\delta .
$$

If $P$ is any partition of $[a, b]$ such that $\Delta_{\mathrm{i}} x<\delta$, Then

$$
M_{\mathrm{i}}-m_{\mathrm{i}}=\sup \left\{|f(x)-f(y)|: x, y \in\left[x_{i-1}, x_{i}\right]\right\} \leq \eta, \text { for } \quad i=1,2 \ldots n .
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{S}^{+}(P, f, g)-S_{-}(P, f, g)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} M_{i} \Delta_{\mathrm{i}} g & -\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{i}} \Delta_{\mathrm{i}} g \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{i}}-\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{i}}\right) \Delta_{\mathrm{i}} g \\
& \leq \mathrm{\eta} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \Delta_{\mathrm{i}} g \\
& \leq \mathrm{\eta}[g(b)-g(a)]<\varepsilon .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, from $1.45 f \in R(g)$.

## Proposition 1.49 [47]

If $f$ is continuous and $g$ is of bounded variation, then $f \in R(g)$.
(from [1.18], [1.21] and [1.36] ).

## Theorem 1.50 [51]

If $f$ is bounded on $[a, b]$, and $f$ has only finitely many points of discontinuity on [ $a, b$ ], and $g$ is continuous at every point at which $f$ is discontinues, Then $f \in R(g)$.

## Proof

Let $\varepsilon>0$,
Put $|f(x)| \leq M$ for $x \in[a, b]$, and let $\mathrm{E}=\{x: f(x)$ is discontinues $\}$
so $g$ is continuous at $\mathrm{E}=\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{m}\right\}$ and since E is compact then $g$ is uniformly continuous at E , therefore we can cover E by finitely many disjoint intervals $\left[u_{\mathrm{j}}, v_{\mathrm{j}}\right] \subset[a, b]$ where $1 \leq j \leq m$, and

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(g\left(v_{\mathrm{i}}\right)-g\left(u_{\mathrm{i}}\right)\right)<\frac{\varepsilon}{4 M},
$$

And for any $x_{j} \in \mathrm{E}$ there exist $\left[u_{\mathrm{j}}, v_{\mathrm{j}}\right] \ni x_{j}$.
Now, let $k=[a, b] \backslash\left(u_{\mathrm{j}}, v_{\mathrm{j}}\right)$ for $j=1,2,3, \ldots, m$, then $k$ is closed subset of compact set it's compact,
Hence $f$ uniformly continuous on $k$, there exist $\delta>0$ such hat

$$
|f(s)-f(t)|<\frac{\varepsilon}{2(g(b)-g(a))} \quad \text { whenever } t, s \in k \text { with }|s-t|<\delta
$$

Now, let $=\left\{x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}$ be a partition of $[a, b]$, such that
$u_{\mathrm{j}}, v_{j} \in P$ for all $j$ and no point of any $\left(u_{\mathrm{j}}, v_{\mathrm{j}}\right)$ occurs in $P$.
If $\quad x_{\mathrm{i}-1}$ is not one of the $u_{\mathrm{j}}$, then $\left|x_{i}-x_{i-1}\right|<\delta$,
We note that

$$
-M \leq m_{i} \leq M_{i} \leq M,
$$

So $\quad M_{i} \leq M$ and $-m_{i} \leq M$ therefore $M_{i}-m_{\mathrm{i}} \leq 2 M$, for all $i$
And $\quad M_{i}-m_{\mathrm{i}} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2(g(b)-g(a))}$ unless $x_{i-1}$ is one of the $u_{j} \quad$ [by uniformly continuity of $f$ ].

Then

$$
\mathrm{S}^{+}(P, f, g)-S_{-}(P, f, g)=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(M_{i}-m_{i}\right) \Delta_{\mathrm{i}} g
$$

$$
\leq(g(b)-g(a)) \frac{\varepsilon}{2(g(b)-g(a))}+2 M\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{4 M}\right)=\varepsilon .
$$

So by (1.45) $f \in R(g)$.

## Notation 1.51

The Riemann-Stieltjes Integral may not exist if $f$ has a single point of discontinuity, and $g$ is also discontinuous at the same point.

## Example 1.52

If $f, g:[0,1] \rightarrow \mathrm{R}$ dented by

$$
f(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
1 & \text { for } \\
2 & \text { for } \\
1 / 2 \leq x \leq 1 / 2
\end{array} \quad g(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & \text { for } & 0 \leq x<1 / 2 \\
1 & \text { for } & 1 / 2 \leq x \leq 1
\end{array}\right.\right.
$$

let $P=\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}$ be any partition of [0,1], then
If $x_{k} \in P$ such that $x_{k-1} \leq \frac{1}{2} \leq x_{k}$ and $x_{k-1} \leq \xi_{k} \leq x_{k}$, then
[ $g\left(x_{k}\right)-g\left(x_{k-1}\right)=1-0$, but $f\left(\xi_{k}\right)$ will be 1 or 2 , depending on whether $\xi_{k}<\frac{1}{2}$ or $\xi_{k} \geq \frac{1}{2}$, since these two choices may be made regardless of the mesh of the partition, then $\int_{0}^{1} f d g$ dose not exist.

## Theorem 1.53 [51]

If $f_{1}, f_{2} \in R(g)$ and $g$ monotonic on $[a, b]$ and $f_{1}(x) \leq f_{2}(x)$ on $[a, b]$, Then

$$
\int_{a}^{b} f_{1} d g \leq \int_{a}^{b} f_{2} d g
$$

## Proof

Let $P$ be any partition of $[a, b]$.
Since $f_{1}(x) \leq f_{2}(x)$,

$$
\sup \left\{f_{1}(x) / x \in\left[x_{k-1}, x_{k}\right]\right\} \leq \sup \left\{f_{2}(x) / x \in\left[x_{k-1}, x_{k}\right]\right\}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\mathrm{S}^{+}\left(P, f_{1}, g\right) \leq \mathrm{S}^{+}\left(P, f_{2}, g\right), \text { then }
$$

$$
\operatorname{Inf} \mathrm{S}^{+}\left(P, f_{1}, g\right) \leq \inf \mathrm{S}^{+}\left(P, f_{2}, g\right)
$$

So

$$
\overline{\int_{a}^{b}} f d g_{1} \leq \overline{\int_{a}^{b}} f d g_{2}
$$

[Since $f_{1} \in R(g)$ on $[a, b], f_{2} \in R(g)$ on $[a, b]$,
[But we know $\quad \int_{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{b}} f_{1} \mathrm{~d} g=\overline{\int_{a}^{b}} f d g_{1} \quad$ and $\int_{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{b}} f_{2} \mathrm{~d} g=\overline{\int_{a}^{b}} f d g_{2}$ ].
Hence

$$
\int_{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{b}} f_{1} \mathrm{~d} g \leq \int_{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{b}} f_{2} \mathrm{~d} g
$$

## Proposition 1.54 [9]

If $f \in R(g)$ on $[a, b]$, then

$$
\left|\int_{a}^{b} f d g\right| \leq \int_{a}^{b}|f| d g
$$

## Proof:

By (1.47) we have $\quad|f| \in \mathrm{R}(g)$.
Now for all $x \in[a, b]$ then $f(x) \leq|f(x)|$,
So by theorem (1.52)

$$
\int_{a}^{b} f d g \leq \int_{a}^{b}|f(x)| d g
$$

And $\quad-\int_{a}^{b} f d g=\int_{a}^{b}-f d g \leq \int_{a}^{b}|-f(x)| d g=\int_{a}^{b}|f(x)| d g$
So

$$
-\int_{a}^{b}|f(x)| d g \leq \int_{a}^{b} f d g \leq \int_{a}^{b}|f(x)| d g
$$

Then

$$
\left|\int_{a}^{b} f d g\right| \leq \int_{a}^{b}|f| d g
$$

## CHAPTER 2

## Some Integral Inequalities

### 2.1 Inequalities for function of bounded variation

## Lemma 2.1 [50]

Let $f:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathrm{R}$ be a continuous function on $[a, b]$, and $g$ is of bounded variation on $[a, b]$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\int_{a}^{b} f(t) d g(t)\right| \leq \operatorname{Max}_{a \leq t \leq b}|f(t)| \vee_{a}^{b} g \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Proof:

Let $\quad \Delta_{n}: a=x_{0}{ }^{(n)}<x_{1}{ }^{(n)}<\ldots . .<x_{n-1}{ }^{(n)}<x_{n}{ }^{(n)}=b$
be a sequence of partitions of $[a, b]$, such that $\mathrm{V}\left(\Delta_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$,
where $\mathrm{V}\left(\Delta_{n}\right)=\operatorname{Max}_{a \leq t \leq b}\left\{h_{i}^{(\mathrm{n})}\right\}$, with ${h_{i}}^{(n)}=x_{i+1}{ }^{(n)}-x_{i}{ }^{(n)}$,
and if $\xi_{i}^{(n)} \in\left[x_{i}^{(n)}, x_{i+1}{ }^{(n)}\right]$ for $i \in\{0,1, \ldots, n-1\}$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\int_{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{b}} f(\mathrm{t}) d g(\mathrm{t})\right| & =\left|\lim _{\mathrm{V}\left(\Delta_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0} \sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{n}-1} f\left(\xi_{i}^{(n)}\right)\left[g\left(x_{i+1}^{(n)}\right)-g\left(x_{i}^{(n)}\right)\right]\right| \\
& \leq \lim _{\mathrm{V}\left(\Delta_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0} \sum_{\mathrm{i}=0}^{\mathrm{n}-1}\left|f\left(\xi_{i}^{(n)}\right)\right|\left|g\left(x_{i+1}^{(n)}\right)-g\left(x_{i}^{(n)}\right)\right| \\
& \leq \operatorname{Max}_{a \leq t \leq b}|f| \vee_{a}^{b} g
\end{aligned}
$$

Where $\bigvee_{a}^{b} g=\sup \sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{n}}\left|g\left(x_{i+1}{ }^{(n)}\right)-g\left(x_{i}^{(n)}\right)\right|$.

## Theorem 2.2 [31]

Let $f:[a, b] \rightarrow R \quad$ be a function of bounded variation, then

$$
\begin{align*}
\mid \int_{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{b}} f(t) d t-[(x-a) & f(a)+(b-x) f(b)] \mid \\
\leq & {\left[\frac{1}{2}(b-a)+\left|x-\frac{a+b}{2}\right|\right] \vee_{a}^{b} f, } \tag{2.2}
\end{align*}
$$

for $x, t \in[a, b]$.

## Proof

Using the integration by parts formula for Riemann-Stieltjes integral,

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{a}^{b}(x-t) d f(t) & =(x-t) f(t) \stackrel{b}{\mid}+\int_{a}^{b} f(t) d t \\
& =(x-b) f(b)-(x-a) f(a)+\int_{a}^{b} f(t) d t \tag{3.2}
\end{align*}
$$

(by lemma 2.1)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\int_{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{b}} f(t) d t-[(x-a) f(a)+(b-x) f(b)]\right|= & \left|\int_{a}^{b}(x-t) d f(t)\right| \\
& \leq \sup _{a \leq t \leq b}|x-t| \vee_{a}^{b} f, \\
\sup _{a \leq t \leq b}|x-t|=\operatorname{Max}\{x-a, b-x\}, &
\end{aligned}
$$

From proposition 1.2

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Max}\{x-a, b-x\}=\frac{1}{2}[b-a]+\left|x-\frac{a+b}{2}\right| \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then by (3.2), (4.2)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mid \int_{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{b}} f(t) d t-[(x-a) f(a)+ & (b-x) f(b)] \mid \\
& \leq\left[\frac{1}{2}[b-a]+\left|x-\frac{a+b}{2}\right|\right] \vee_{a}^{b} f
\end{aligned}
$$

To prove that $\frac{1}{2}$ is the best possible suppose that (2.2) holds with constant $\mathrm{C}>0$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left|\int_{a}^{b} f d t-[f(b)(b-x)+f(a)(x-a)]\right| \\
& \leq\left[c[b-a]+\left|x-\frac{a+b}{2}\right|\right] \vee_{a}^{b} f, x \in[a, b]
\end{aligned}
$$

If let $\quad x=\frac{a+b}{2}$, we get

$$
\left|\int_{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{b}} f d t-\frac{f(a)+f(b)}{2}(b-a)\right| \leq c(b-a) \vee_{a}^{b} f
$$

Consider the function $f:[a, b] \rightarrow R$ by

$$
f(x)= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } x=a \\ 1 & \text { if } x \in(a, b) \\ 0 & \text { if } x=b\end{cases}
$$

then $f$ is of bounded variation and let $P=\left\{a=x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}=b\right\}$, any partition of $[a, b]$, then
upper and lower Darboux - Stieltjes integral is defined as

$$
\begin{gathered}
\overline{\int_{a}^{b}} f d x=\inf S^{+}(P, f, x)=\inf \sum_{i=2}^{n-1} M_{\mathrm{i}} \Delta_{\mathrm{i}} x=\sum_{i=2}^{n-1} \text { (1) } \Delta_{\mathrm{i}} x=b-a \\
\underline{\int_{a}^{b}} f d x=\sup S^{-}(P, f, x)=\sup \sum_{i=2}^{n-1} m_{\mathrm{i}} \Delta_{\mathrm{i}} x=\sum_{i=2}^{n-1} \text { (1) } \Delta_{\mathrm{i}} x=b-a
\end{gathered}
$$

So

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int_{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{b}} f(x) d x=b-a, \text { and } \\
\sum_{i=0}^{n}\left|f\left(x_{i+1}\right)-f\left(x_{i}\right)\right|=|1-0|+|1-1|+\ldots+|1-1|+|0-1| \\
=1+0+0+\ldots+0+1=2
\end{gathered}
$$

Then

$$
\vee_{a}^{b} f=2
$$

Hence, from inequality (2.2) applied for this particular mapping we have

$$
(b-a) \leq 2 C(b-a)
$$

Which we get $c \leq \frac{1}{2}$ and from this showing that $\frac{1}{2}$ is the best constant in (2.2).

## Corollary 2.3

If we choose $x=\frac{a+b}{2}$ in (2.2), we obtain for the trapezoid formula for function of bounded variation;

$$
\left|\int_{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{b}} f d t-\frac{f(a)+f(b)}{2}(b-a)\right| \leq \frac{1}{2}(b-a) \bigvee_{a}^{b} f
$$

## Corollary 2.4 [20]

Let $f:[a, b] \rightarrow R$ be a monotonic nondecreasing, Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\int_{a}^{b} f(t) d t-[(x-a) f(a)+(b-x) f(b)]\right| \\
& \quad \leq(b-x) f(b)-(x-a) f(a)+\int_{a}^{b} \operatorname{sgn}(x t) f d t \\
& \leq(x-a)[f(x)-f(a)]+(b-x)[f(a)-f(x)] \\
& \leq\left[\frac{1}{2}(b-a)+\left|x-\frac{a+b}{2}\right|\right][f(b)-f(a)], \text { for } x \in[a, b]
\end{aligned}
$$

## Proof:

Applying the inequality $\left|\int_{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{b}} f d g\right| \leq \int_{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{b}}|f| d g$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\int_{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{b}}(x-t) d f(t)\right| & \leq \int_{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{b}}|x-t| d f(t) \\
& =\int_{a}^{x}(x-t) d f+\int_{x}^{b}(t-x) d f \\
& =\left.(x-t) f(t)\right|_{a} ^{x}+\int_{a}^{x} f(t) d t+(t-x) f(t) \mid+\int_{x}^{b} f(t) d t \\
& =-(x-a) f(a)+\int_{a}^{x} f(t) d t+(b-x) f(b)-\int_{x}^{b} f(t) d t \\
& =(b-x) f(b)-(x-a) f(a)+\int_{a}^{b} \operatorname{sgn}(x-t) f(t) d t,
\end{aligned}
$$

$f$ is monotonic nondecreasing on $[a, b]$, then $f$ is bounded variation and

$$
f(a) \leq f(t) \text { for } t \in[a, b]
$$

So

$$
\int_{a}^{x} f(a) d t \leq \int_{a}^{x} f(t) d t
$$

Implies to

$$
(x-a) f(a) \geq-\int_{a}^{x} f(t) d t
$$

And if $b \geq t$ for $t \in[a, b]$, then

$$
f(b) \geq f(t)
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int_{x}^{b} f(b) d t \geq \int_{x}^{b} f(t) d t \\
(b-x) f(b) \geq \int_{x}^{b} f(t) d t
\end{gathered}
$$

Therefor

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{a}^{b} \operatorname{sgn}(x-t) f(t) d t & =\int_{a}^{x} f d t-\int_{x}^{b} f d t \\
& \leq(x-a) f(x)+(x-b) f(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (b-x) f(b)-(x-a) f(a)+\int_{a}^{b} \operatorname{sgn}(x-t) f d t \\
& \leq(b-x) f(b)-(x-a) f(a)+(x-a) f(x) \\
& \quad+(x-b) f(x) \\
& \quad=(x-a)[f(x)-f(a)]+(b-x)[f(b)-f(x)]
\end{aligned}
$$

But

$$
f(a) \leq f(x) \leq f(b) \text { for all } x \in[a, b],
$$

so

$$
\begin{aligned}
(x-a) & {[f(x)-f(a)]+(b-x)[f(b)-f(x)] } \\
& \leq \operatorname{Max}\{x-a, b-x\}[f(x)-f(a)+f(b)-f(x)] \\
& =\left[\frac{1}{2}[b-a]+\left|x-\frac{a+b}{2}\right|\right][f(b)-f(a)] .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Corollary 2.5

If we choose $x=\frac{a+b}{2}$ in 2.4 , then

$$
\left|\int_{a}^{b} f(t) d t-\frac{f(a)+f(b)}{2}(b-a)\right| \leq \frac{1}{2}(b-a)[f(b)-f(a)] .
$$

## Theorem 2.6 [19]

## [Ostrowski for mapping of bounded variation]

Let $f:[a, b] \rightarrow R$ be a mapping of bounded variation on $[a, b]$, Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\int_{a}^{b} f(t) d t-(b-a) f(x)\right| \leq\left[\frac{1}{2}(b-a)+\left|x-\frac{a+b}{2}\right|\right] \bigvee_{a}^{b} f \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

(The constant $1 / 2$ is the best possible one)

## Proof:-

by the integration by parts for Riemann - Stieltjes integrals, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{a}^{x}(t-a) d f(t)=f(x)(x-a)-\int_{a}^{x} f(t) d t \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{x}^{b}(t-b) d f(t)=f(x)(b-x)-\int_{x}^{b} f(t) d t \tag{7.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

By add the above two equalities then

$$
(b-a) f(x)-\int_{a}^{b} f(t) d t=\int_{a}^{b} K(x, t) d f(t)
$$

Where

$$
K(x, t)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
t-a & \text { if } t \in[a, x] \\
t-b & \text { if } t \in[x, b],
\end{array} \quad \text { for } t, x \in[a, b]\right.
$$

And we know $\quad|K(x, t) d f(t)| \leq \sup _{a \leq t \leq b}|K(x, t)| \bigvee_{a}^{b} f$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\operatorname{Max}\{(x-a),(b-x)\} \bigvee_{a}^{b} f \\
& =\left[\frac{b-a}{2}+\left|x-\frac{a+b}{2}\right|\right] \bigvee_{a}^{b} f
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefor

$$
\left|\int_{a}^{b} f(t) d t-(b-a) f(x)\right| \leq\left[\frac{1}{2}(b-a)+\left|x-\frac{a+\boldsymbol{b}}{2}\right|\right] \bigvee_{a}^{b} f
$$

Now to prove that $\frac{1}{2}$ is the best possible constant assume that the inequality (5.2)
holds with a constant $\mathrm{c}>0$ that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\int_{a}^{b} f(t) d t-(b-a) f(x)\right| \leq\left[c(b-a)+\left|x-\frac{a+b}{2}\right|\right] \vee_{a}^{b} f \tag{8.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider the mapping $f:[a, b] \rightarrow R \quad$ given by

$$
f(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{r}
0 \text { if } x \neq \frac{a+b}{2} \\
1 \text { if } x=\frac{a+b}{2}
\end{array}\right.
$$

then

$$
\vee_{a}^{b} f=\sup \left\{\sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{n}}\left|f\left(x_{\mathrm{i}}\right)-f\left(x_{\mathrm{i}+1}\right)\right|\right\}=|-1|+|1|=2
$$

And

$$
\int_{a}^{b} f(t) d t=0
$$

If we letting $x=\frac{a+b}{2}$ in (2.8) we get,

$$
\begin{aligned}
|0-1(b-a)| & \leq 2[c(b-a)+0] \\
1(b-a) \mid & \leq 2[c(b-a) \\
1 & \leq c 2 \\
\frac{1}{2} & \leq \mathrm{c}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $\mathrm{c}=\frac{1}{2}$ is the best possible constant

## Corollary 2.7 [29]

(1) If we choose $x=\frac{a+b}{2}$ we get the following inequality which is well known in the literature as the midpoint inequality

$$
\left|\int_{a}^{b} f d t-(b-a) f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)\right| \leq \frac{1}{2}(b-a) \vee_{a}^{b} f
$$

(2) If $f$ is a monotonic mapping on $[a, b]$, then

$$
\left|\int_{a}^{b} f(\mathrm{t}) d t-(b-a) f(x)\right| \leq\left[\frac{1}{2}(b-a)+\left|x-\frac{a+b}{2}\right|\right]|f(b)-f(a)|
$$

## Example 2.8

If $f:[a, b] \rightarrow R$ be a monotonic nondecreasing mapping on $[a, b]$.
Let $P=\left\{x_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}$ be a division of the interval $[a, b]$, and if

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \xi_{i} \in\left[x_{i}, x_{i+1}\right] \text { for } i=1,2,3, \ldots, n, \text { then } \\
& \int_{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{b}} f(x) d x=\mathcal{R}_{n}\left(f, I_{n}, \xi\right)+\mathcal{W}_{n}\left(f, I_{n}, \xi\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Where

$$
\mathcal{R}_{n}\left(f, I_{n}, \xi\right)=\sum_{\mathrm{i}=0}^{\mathrm{n}-1} f\left(\xi_{i}\right) h_{i}
$$

And there mainder satisfies the estimation

$$
\left|\mathcal{W}_{n}\left(f, I_{n}, \xi\right)\right| \leq v(h)(f(b)-f(a)), \text { Where } v(h)=\operatorname{Max}_{0 \leq i \leq n}\left\{h_{i}\right\} .
$$

## Proof:

Apply (2.9) on the interval [ $x_{i}, x_{i+1}$ ], to get

$$
\left|\int_{x_{i}}^{x^{i+1}} f(x) d x-f\left(\xi_{i}\right) h_{i}\right| \leq\left[1 / 2 h_{i}+\left|\xi_{i}-\frac{x_{i+} x_{i+1}}{2}\right|\right]\left(f\left(x_{i+1}\right)-f\left(x_{i}\right)\right)
$$

Summing over i from 0 to $n-1$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\mathcal{W}_{n}\left(f, I_{n}, \xi\right)\right| & \leq \sum_{\mathrm{i}=0}^{\mathrm{n}-1}\left|\int_{x_{i}}^{x^{i+1}} f(x) d x-f\left(\xi_{i}\right) h_{i}\right| \\
& \leq \sum_{\mathrm{i}=0}^{\mathrm{n}-1}\left[1 / 2 h_{i}+\left|\xi_{i}-\frac{x_{i+} x_{i+1}}{2}\right|\right]\left(f\left(x_{i+1}\right)-f\left(x_{i}\right)\right) \\
& \leq \operatorname{Max}_{o \leq i \leq n}\left\{\left[1 / 2 h_{i}+\left|\xi_{i}-\frac{x_{i+} x_{i+1}}{2}\right|\right] \sum_{\mathrm{i}=0}^{\mathrm{n}-1}\left(f\left(x_{i+1}\right)-f\left(x_{i}\right)\right)\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

But,

$$
\operatorname{Max}_{o \leq i \leq n} 1 / 2 h_{i}=1 / 2 v(\mathrm{~h}) \text {, and if } \quad x_{i} \leq \xi_{i} \leq x_{i+1} \text {, then }
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{i}-\frac{x_{i+} x_{i+1}}{2} \leq \xi_{i}-\frac{x_{i+} x_{i+1}}{2} \leq x_{1+i}-\frac{x_{i+} x_{i+1}}{2} \\
& \frac{x_{i-} x_{i+1}}{2} \leq \xi_{i}-\frac{x_{i+x_{i+1}}}{2} \leq \frac{x_{i+1-} x_{i}}{2} \\
& -1 / 2\left(x_{i+1}-x_{i}\right) \leq \xi_{i}-\frac{x_{i+} x_{i+1}}{2} \leq 1 / 2\left(x_{i+1}-x_{i}\right) \\
& -\left(1 / 2 h_{i}\right) \leq \xi_{i}-\frac{x_{i+} x_{i+1}}{2} \leq 1 / 2 h_{i}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then by triangle inequality

$$
\left|\xi_{i}-\frac{x_{i}+x_{i+1}}{2}\right| \leq 1 / 2 h_{i}
$$

So

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\mathcal{W}_{n}\left(f, I_{n}, \xi\right)\right| & \leq\left[1 / 2 v(h)+\operatorname{Max}_{o \leq i \leq n}\left|\xi_{i}-\frac{x_{i+} x_{i+1}}{2}\right|\right](f(b)-f(a)) \\
& =v(h)(f(b)-f(a))
\end{aligned}
$$

### 2.2 Inequalities for Lipschitzian functions

## Proposition 2.9 [28]

If $\boldsymbol{f}$ is continuous on $[\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b}]$ and $\boldsymbol{g}$ is lipschitzian with $\boldsymbol{L}>0$, Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\int_{a}^{b} f d g\right| \leq L \int_{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{b}}|f| d t \tag{9.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Proof

Let $P=\left\{x_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}$ is a partitions of $[a, b]$ and $\xi_{i} \in\left[x_{\mathrm{i}}, x_{\mathrm{i}+1}\right]$,
if $V(P)=\operatorname{Max}_{o \leq i \leq n-1} h_{i}$ where $h_{i}=x_{\mathrm{i}+1}-x_{\mathrm{i}}$ then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\int_{a}^{b} f d g\right| & =\left|\lim _{V(P) \rightarrow 0} \sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{n}-1} f\left(\xi_{i}\right)\left[g\left(x_{\mathrm{i}+1}\right)-g\left(x_{\mathrm{i}}\right)\right]\right| \\
& \leq \lim _{V(P) \rightarrow 0} \sum_{1=1}^{\mathrm{n}-1}\left|f\left(\xi_{i}\right)\right|\left|g\left(x_{\mathrm{i}+1}\right)-g\left(x_{\mathrm{i}}\right)\right| \\
& \leq \lim _{V(P) \rightarrow 0} \sum_{1=1}^{\mathrm{n}-1}\left|f\left(\xi_{i}\right)\right| L\left|x_{\mathrm{i}+1}-x_{\mathrm{i}}\right| \\
& =L \lim _{V(P) \rightarrow 0} \sum_{1=1}^{\mathrm{n}-1}\left|f\left(\xi_{i}\right)\right|\left|x_{\mathrm{i}+1}-x_{\mathrm{i}}\right|=L \int_{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{b}}|f| \mathrm{dt} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Theorem 2.10 [20]

If $f:[a, b] \rightarrow R$ is Lipschitzian with $L>0$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mid \int_{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{b}} f(t) d t-[f(a)(x-a)+f(b)(b-x)] \mid \\
& \leq L\left[\frac{1}{4}(b-a)^{2}+\left(x-\frac{a+b}{2}\right)^{2}\right], \text { for } x, t \in[a, b] . \tag{10.2}
\end{align*}
$$

(The constant $\frac{1}{4}$ is the best possible one).

## Proof:

From 2.9,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{a}^{b}(x-t) d f(t)= & \int_{a}^{b} f(t) d t-(x-a) f(a)+(b-x) f(b) \\
\left|\int_{a}^{b}(x-t) d f(t)\right| & \leq L \int_{a}^{b}|x-t| d t \\
& =L\left[\int_{a}^{x}(x-t) d t+\int_{x}^{b}(t-x) d t\right] \\
& =L\left[\frac{(x-a)^{2}+(b-x)^{2}}{2}\right] \\
& =L\left[\frac{x^{2}-2 a x+a^{2}}{2}+\frac{b^{2}-2 b x+x^{2}}{2}\right] \\
& =L\left[x^{2}-a x+\frac{a^{2}}{2}+\frac{b^{2}}{2}-b x+\frac{x^{2}}{2}\right] \\
& =L\left[x^{2}-a x-b x+\frac{b^{2}}{2}+\frac{a^{2}}{2}\right] \\
& =L\left[x^{2}-a x-b x+\frac{b^{2}}{4}+\frac{b^{2}}{4}+\frac{a^{2}}{4}+\frac{a^{2}}{4}-\frac{a b}{2}+\frac{a b}{2}\right] \\
& =L\left[\left(\frac{b^{2}}{4}-\frac{a b}{2}+\frac{b^{2}}{4}\right)+\left(x^{2}-a x-b x+\frac{a^{2}}{4}\right.\right. \\
& =L\left[\frac{(b-a)^{2}}{4}+\left(x-\frac{a+b}{2}\right)^{2}\right] \\
& =L\left[\frac{1}{4}(b-a)^{2}+\left(x-\frac{a+b}{2}\right)^{2}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

To prove that $\frac{1}{4}$ is the best possible constant assume that the inequality (10.2) holds with a constant $\mathrm{c}>0$ that is,

$$
\left|\int_{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{b}} f(t) d t-[f(a)(x-a)+f(b)(b-x)]\right|
$$

$$
\leq L\left[c(b-a)^{2}+\left(x-\frac{a+b}{2}\right)^{2}\right], \quad \text { For } \quad x \in[a, b]
$$

If $\quad x=\frac{a+b}{2}$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\int_{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{b}} f d t-\frac{f(a)+f(b)}{2}(b-a)\right| \leq c L(b-a)^{2} \tag{11.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider the function $f:[a, b] \rightarrow R$ by

$$
f(t)=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
t+1 & \text { if } & t \in[-1,0] \\
1-t & \text { if } & t \in(0,1]
\end{array}\right.
$$

Now, to show that $f$ is Lipschitzian with $L=1$,
If $x, y \in[0,1]$, then

$$
|f(x)-f(y)|=|(1-x)-(1-y)|=|y-x|=|x-y|
$$

If $x, y \in[-1,0)$, then

$$
|f(x)-f(y)|=|x-y|
$$

If $x \in[0,1]$ and $y \in[-1,0)$, then

$$
|f(x)-f(y)|=|(1-x)-(y+1)=|-(x+y)||=|x+y|
$$

but $y \leq 0$ then $y \leq-y$, so

$$
x+y \leq x-y \geq 0,
$$

And $x \geq 0$ so $-x \leq x$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -x+y \leq x+y \\
& -(x-y) \leq x+y
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefor

$$
\begin{gathered}
-(x-y) \leq x+y \leq x-y, \quad \text { Then } \\
|x+y| \leq|x-y|
\end{gathered}
$$

So

$$
|f(x)-f(y)|=|x+y| \leq|x-y|
$$

Hence $f$ is Lipschitzian with $L=1$.
We have $f(1)=f(-1)=0$, from (11.2)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\int_{-1}^{1} f(t) d t-\frac{f(1)+f(-1)}{2}(1-(-1))\right| \leq c(1)(1-(-1))^{2}, \\
& \int_{-1}^{1} f(t) d t=\int_{-1}^{0}(t+1) d t+\int_{0}^{1}(1-t) d t \\
& \quad=\left.\left(\frac{t^{2}}{2}+t\right)\right|_{-1} ^{0}+\left.\left(t-\frac{t^{2}}{2}\right)\right|_{0} ^{1}=\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2}=1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
|1-(0)(2)| \leq 4 c \quad c \geq \frac{1}{4}
$$

Hence $\mathrm{c}=\frac{1}{4}$ is the best possible constant.

## Remark 2.11 [20]

If we choose $x=\frac{a+b}{2}$, then the trapezoid formula for Lipschitzian function, as

$$
\left|\int_{a}^{b} f(t) d t-\frac{f(a)+f(b)}{2}(b-a)\right| \leq \frac{1}{4}(\mathrm{~b}-a)^{2} L
$$

## Theorem 2.12 [28] [Ostrowski for Lipschitzian function]

If $f:[a, b] \rightarrow R$ be an L - Lipschitzian function on $[a, b]$ then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\int_{a}^{b} f d t-(b-a) f(x)\right| \leq L\left[\frac{(b-a)^{2}}{4}+\left(x-\frac{a+b}{2}\right)^{2}\right] \text { for } x \in[a, b] \tag{12.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

And the Constant $\frac{1}{4}$ is the best possible one.

## Proof:

Consider the function

$$
\begin{gathered}
K(x, t)=\left\{\begin{array}{lr}
t-a & \text { if } t \in[a, x] \\
t-b & \text { if } t \in[x, b]
\end{array}\right. \\
\int_{a}^{b} K(x, t) d f(t)=\int_{a}^{x}(t-a) d f(t)+\int_{x}^{b}(t-b) d f(t)
\end{gathered}
$$

But,

$$
\int_{a}^{x}(t-a) d f(t)=f(x)(x-a)-\int_{a}^{x} f(t) d t
$$

And

$$
\int_{x}^{b}(t-b) d f(t)=f(x)(b-x)-\int_{x}^{b} f(t) d t
$$

So

$$
\int_{a}^{b} K(x, t) d f(t)=(b-a) f(x)(b-x)-\int_{a}^{b} f(t) d t
$$

And

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\int_{a}^{b} K(x, t) d f(t)\right| & =\left|(b-a) f(x)-\int_{a}^{b} f(t) d t\right| \\
& \leq L\left[\int_{a}^{x}|t-a| d t+\int_{x}^{b}|t-b| d t\right] \\
& =L\left[\int_{a}^{x}|t-a| d t+\int_{x}^{b}|t-b| d t\right] \\
& =L\left[\frac{(x-a)^{2}}{2}+\frac{(b-x)^{2}}{2}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
\left|\int_{a}^{b} f d t-(b-a) f(x)\right| \leq L\left[\frac{(b-a)^{2}}{4}+\left(x-\frac{a+b}{2}\right)^{2}\right] .
$$

To show the sharpness of the inequality with the constant $\frac{1}{4}$.
Consider the mapping, $f:[a, b] \rightarrow R, f(x)=x$

Then $f$ is lipschitzian with $L \geq 1$, $(|f(x)-f(y)|=|x-y| \leq L|x-y|)$, So

$$
\left|x-\frac{a+b}{2}\right| \leq c(b-a)^{2}+\left(x-\frac{a+b}{2}\right)^{2}, \text { for } x \in[a, b]
$$

If $x=a$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{b-a}{2} & \leq\left(c+\frac{1}{4}\right)(b-a) \\
\frac{1}{2} & \leq c+\frac{1}{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, $\quad c \geq \frac{1}{4}$,
Therefor $\quad c=\frac{1}{4}$.

## Corollary 2.13

Let $f ;[a, b] \rightarrow R$ be as theorem (2.12) then by letting $\mathrm{x}=\frac{a+b}{2}$, we obtain on the midpoint inequality;

$$
\left|\int_{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{b}} f \mathrm{dt}-(b-a) f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)\right| \leq \frac{1}{4} L(b-a)^{2} .
$$

### 2.3 Inequalities for differentiable and twice differentiable functions.

## Lemma 2.14 (Gruss type inequality) [33]

(i) Let $h, g[a, b] \rightarrow \mathrm{R}$ be two integrable mappings so that
$\varphi \leq h(x) \leq \phi$ and $n \leq g(x) \leq m$ for $x \in[a, b]$, where $\varphi, \phi, m, n$ are real numbers, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\lvert\, \frac{1}{b-a} \int_{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{b}} h g d x-\frac{1}{b-a} \int_{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{b}} h d x\right. & \left.\cdot \frac{1}{b-a} \int_{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{b}} g d x \right\rvert\, \\
& \leq \frac{1}{4}(\phi-\varphi)(m-n)
\end{aligned}
$$

(ii)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{\mathrm{b}} h(x) g(x) d x-\frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{\mathrm{b}} h(x) d x \cdot \frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{\mathrm{b}} g(x) d x\right| \\
& \quad \leq \frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{\mathrm{b}}\left|\left(h(x)-\frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{\mathrm{b}} h(y) d y\right)-\left(g(x)-\frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{\mathrm{b}} g(y) d y\right)\right| d x
\end{aligned}
$$

## Theorem 2.15 [20]

Let $f:[a, b] \rightarrow R$ be differentiable function on $[a, b]$ have the first derivative $f:[a, b] \rightarrow R$ bounded on $[a, b]$. Then,

$$
\left|\int_{a}^{b} f(x) d x-\frac{f(a)+f(b)}{2}(b-a)\right| \leq \frac{(b-a)^{2}}{4} \operatorname{Max}_{a \leq t \leq b}\left|f^{\prime}(x)-\frac{f(b)-f(a)}{b-a}\right|
$$

## Proof

By modification of the integral and integration by parts gives that:
Let $g=\left(x-\frac{a+b}{2}\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{a}^{b}\left(x-\frac{a+b}{2}\right) f^{\prime} d x=\int_{a}^{b}\left(x-\frac{a+b}{2}\right) d f & =\int_{a}^{b} g d f \\
& =f(b) g(b)-f(a) g(a)-\int_{a}^{b} f d g
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =f(b)\left(\frac{b-a}{2}\right)-f(a)\left(\frac{a-b}{2}\right)-\int_{a}^{b} f d x \\
& =\frac{f(a)+f(b)}{2}(b-a)-\int_{a}^{b} f d x
\end{aligned}
$$

So

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{a}^{b}\left(x-\frac{a+b}{2}\right) f^{\prime} d x=\frac{f(a)+f(b)}{2}(b-a)-\int_{a}^{b} f d x \tag{13.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now applying the inequality in lemma (2.14) we find that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{\mathrm{b}}\left(x-\frac{a+b}{2}\right) f^{\prime}(x) d x-\frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{\mathrm{b}}\left(x-\frac{a+b}{2}\right) d x \cdot \frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{\mathrm{b}} f^{\prime}(x) d x\right| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{\mathrm{b}} \left\lvert\,\left(\left(x-\frac{a+b}{2}\right)-\frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{\mathrm{b}}\left(y-\frac{a+b}{2}\right) d y\right)\right. \\
& -\left(\left.f^{\prime}(x)-\frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{\mathrm{b}}\left(f^{\prime}(y) d y\right) \right\rvert\, d x\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

As

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int_{a}^{b}\left(x-\frac{a+b}{2}\right) d x=\left.\left(\frac{x^{2}}{2}-\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) x\right)\right|_{a} ^{b}=\left[\frac{b^{2}}{2}-\left(\frac{a b+b^{2}}{2}\right)\right]-\left[\frac{a^{2}}{2}-\frac{a b+a^{2}}{2}\right] \\
\quad=\frac{b^{2}-a^{2}}{2}+\frac{a^{2}-b^{2}}{2}=0, \text { then } \\
\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned}
& \left.\int_{a}^{b}\left(x-\frac{a+b}{2}\right) f^{\prime} d x\left|\leq \int_{a}^{b}\right|\left(x-\frac{a+b}{2}\right)\left(f^{\prime}(x)-\frac{f(b)-f(a)}{b-a}\right) \right\rvert\, d x \\
& \leq \operatorname{Max}_{a \leq x \leq b}\left|f^{\prime}(x)-\frac{f(b)-f(a)}{b-a}\right| \int_{a}^{b}\left|\left(x-\frac{a+b}{2}\right)\right| d x \\
&=\frac{(b-a)^{2}}{4} \operatorname{Max}_{a \leq x \leq b}\left|f^{\prime}(x)-\frac{f(b)-f(a)}{b-a}\right|
\end{aligned}\right.
\end{gathered}
$$

By (13.2) we can say:
$\left|\int_{a}^{b} f(x) d x-\frac{f(a)+f(b)}{2}(b-a)\right| \leq \frac{(b-a)^{2}}{4} \operatorname{Max}_{a \leq x \leq b}\left|f^{\prime}(x)-\frac{f(b)-f(a)}{b-a}\right|$.

## Corollary 2.16 [33]

If $f^{\prime}$ is integrable on $[a, b]$, then
$\left|\int_{a}^{b} f(x) d x-\frac{f(a)+f(b)}{2}(b-a)\right| \leq \frac{b-a}{2} \int_{a}^{b}\left|f^{\prime}(x)-\frac{f(b)-f(a)}{b-a}\right| d x$.

## Remark 2.17 [31]

If $f \in C^{(1)}[a, b]$, then

$$
\left|\int_{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{b}} f d t-\frac{b-a}{2}(f(a)+f(b))\right| \leq 1 / 2(\mathrm{~b}-\mathrm{a})\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{1}, \quad \text { for } x \in[a, b],
$$

where $\left\|\|_{1}\right.$ is the $L_{1}$ - norm, namely $\| f^{\prime} \|_{1}=\int_{a}^{b}\left|f^{\prime}\right| d t$.

## Proof:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{a}^{b}(x-t) d f(t)= & \int_{a}^{b}(x-t) f^{\prime} d t \\
& \leq(x-t) \int_{a}^{b}\left|f^{\prime}(t)\right| d t \\
& =\left[\frac{1}{2}(b-a)+\left|x-\frac{a+b}{2}\right|\right]\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

If $x=\frac{a+b}{2}$

$$
\text { then }\left|\int_{a}^{b} f d t-\frac{f(a)+f(b)}{2}\right| \leq \frac{b-a}{2}\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{1} \text {. }
$$

## Theorem 2.18 [5]

Let $f:[a, b] \rightarrow R$ be differentiable function on $[a, b]$, have the bounded first derivative on ( $a, b$ ). Then,

$$
\left.\left|f(x)-\frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} f(t) d t\right| \leq\left[\frac{1}{4}+\frac{\left(x-\frac{a+b}{2}\right.}{(b-a)^{2}}\right](b-a)\right]\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}
$$

Where $f^{\prime}:(a, b) \rightarrow R$ is bounded, and $\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}=\sup \left|f^{\prime}(x)\right|<\infty$

## Proof

Using integration by parts, and define $K(x, t)$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& K(x, t)= \begin{cases}t-a & \text { if } t \in[a, x] \\
t-b & \text { if } t \in[x, b]\end{cases} \\
& \int_{a}^{b} K(x, t) d f=\int_{a}^{x}(t-a) d f+\int_{x}^{b}(t-b) d f .
\end{aligned}
$$

by theorem (1.38)

$$
\int_{a}^{x}(t-a) d f+\int_{a}^{x} f d t=f(x)(x-a)+f(a)(a-a)=f(x)(x-a)
$$

So, $\quad \int_{a}^{x}(t-a) d f=f(x)(x-a)-\int_{a}^{x} f d t$
And similarly for

$$
\int_{\mathrm{x}}^{\mathrm{b}}(t-b) d f=f(x)(b-x)-\int_{x}^{b} f d t
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int_{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{b}} K(x, t) d f=f(x)(b-a)-\int_{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{b}} f(t) d t \text {, then } \\
\left|f(x)(b-a)-\int_{a}^{b} f(t) d t\right|=\left|\int_{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{b}} K(x, t) d f\right|=\left|\int_{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{b}} K(x, t) f^{\prime} d t\right|
\end{gathered}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|f(x)-\frac{1}{b-a} \int_{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{b}} f(t) d t\right| & \leq \frac{1}{b-a}\left[\int_{a}^{b}|K(x, t)|\left|f^{\prime}\right| d t\right] \\
& \leq \frac{M}{b-a}\left[\int_{a}^{x}|x-a| d t+\int_{x}^{b}|x-b| d t\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Where $\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}=\sup \left|f^{\prime}(x)\right|=M$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|f(x)-\frac{1}{b-a} \int_{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{b}} f(t) d t\right| & \leq \frac{M}{b-a}\left[\int_{a}^{x}(x-a) d t+\int_{x}^{b}(b-x) d t\right] \\
& =\frac{M}{b-a}\left[\frac{(x-a)^{2}}{2}+\frac{(b-x)^{2}}{2}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

by proof (2.10), we have

$$
\left[\frac{(x-a)^{2}+(b-x)^{2}}{2(b-a)}\right]=\left[\frac{1}{4}+\frac{\left(x-\frac{a+b}{2}\right)^{2}}{(b-a)^{2}}\right](b-a)
$$

Hence,

$$
\left|f(x)-\frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} f(t) d t\right| \leq\left[\frac{1}{4}+\frac{\left(x-\frac{a+b}{2}\right)^{2}}{(b-a)^{2}}\right][b-a]\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}
$$

## Remark 2.19 [19]

If $f$ is continuous on $[a, b]$, and differentiable on $(a, b)$, then

$$
\left|f(x)-\frac{1}{\mathrm{~b}-\mathrm{a}} \int_{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{b}} f(t) d t\right| \leq\left[\left.\frac{1}{2}+\frac{\left\lvert\, x-\frac{a+b}{2}\right.}{b-a} \right\rvert\,\right]\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{1}, \text { for }
$$

Where $\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{1}=\int_{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{b}}\left|f^{\prime}(t)\right| d t$

## Proof:

Using the integration by parts formula for

$$
\int_{a}^{x}(t-a) f^{\prime}(t) d t \text { and } \int_{x}^{b}(t-b) f^{\prime}(\mathrm{t}) d t
$$

So, $\quad \int_{a}^{x}(t-a) f^{\prime}(t) d t=\int_{a}^{x}(t-a) d f(t)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =(x-a) f(x)-(a-a) f(a)-\int_{a}^{x} f(t) \mathrm{dt} \\
& =(x-a) f(x)-\int_{a}^{x} f(t) d t
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly for $\quad \int_{x}^{b}(t-b) f^{\prime}(\mathrm{t}) d t$, then

$$
\int_{x}^{b}(t-b) f^{\prime}(t) d t=(b-x) f(x)-\int_{x}^{b} f(t) d t
$$

If we add the above two equalities, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
(b-a) f(x)- & \int_{a}^{b} f(t) d t=\int_{a}^{x}(t-a) f^{\prime}(t) d t+\int_{x}^{b}(t-b) f^{\prime}(t) d t \\
& =\int_{a}^{b} K(x, t) f^{\prime}(t) d t
\end{aligned}
$$

Where

$$
K(x, t)= \begin{cases}t-a & \text { if } t \in[a, x] \\ t-b & \text { if } t \in[x, b]^{\prime}\end{cases}
$$

So

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int_{a}^{b} K(x, t) f^{\prime}(t) d t \leq \sup _{a \leq t \leq b}|K(x, t)| \int_{a}^{b}\left|f^{\prime}(t)\right| d t \\
=\operatorname{Max}\{x-a, b-x\}\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{1} \\
=\left[\frac{b-a}{2}+\left|x-\frac{a-b}{2}\right|\right]\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{1}
\end{gathered}
$$

Hence

$$
\left\lvert\,(b-a) f(x)-\int_{a}^{b} f(t) d t \leq\left[\frac{b-a}{2}+\left|x-\frac{a-b}{2}\right|\right]\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{1 .}\right.
$$

Or $\left|f(x)-\frac{1}{\mathrm{~b}-\mathrm{a}} \int_{a}^{b} f(t) d t\right| \leq\left[\left.\frac{1}{2}+\frac{\left\lvert\, x-\frac{a+b}{2}\right.}{b-a} \right\rvert\,\right]\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{1}$

## Theorem 2.20 (the perturbed Ostrowski inequality) [32]

Let $f:[a, b] \rightarrow R$ be continuous on $[a, b]$, differentiable on $(a, b)$ and whose the first derivative bounded on $(a, b)$, and $\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}=\operatorname{Max}_{a \leq t b}\left|f^{\prime}(x)\right|$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mid \int_{a}^{b} f(t) d t-[f & \left.f(x)(1-\lambda)+\frac{f(a)+f(b)}{2} \lambda\right](b-a) \mid \\
& \leq\left[\frac{1}{4}(b-a)^{2}\left[\lambda^{2}+(\lambda-1)^{2}\right]+\left(x-\frac{a+b}{2}\right)^{2}\right]\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}
\end{aligned}
$$

For all $\lambda \in[0,1]$, and $x \in\left[a+\lambda\left(\frac{b-a}{2}\right), b-\lambda \frac{(b-a)}{2}\right]$

## Proof

Let us define the mapping : $[a, b]^{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{R}$ given by

$$
K(x, t)= \begin{cases}t-\left[a-\lambda\left(\frac{b-a}{2}\right)\right], & t \in[a, x] \\ t-\left[b-\lambda\left(\frac{b-a}{2}\right)\right], & t \in(x, b]\end{cases}
$$

Then by integrating by parts, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{a}^{b} K(x, t) f^{\prime}(t) d t \\
& =\int_{a}^{x}\left(t-\left[a+\lambda\left(\frac{b-a}{2}\right)\right) f^{\prime}(t) d t+\int_{x}^{b}\left(t-\left[b-\lambda\left(\frac{b-a}{2}\right)\right]\right) f^{\prime} d t\right. \\
& =(b-a) \lambda \frac{(f(a)+f(b)}{2}+(b-a)(1-\lambda) f(x)-\int_{a}^{b} f(t) d t
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\int_{a}^{b} K(x, t) f^{\prime}(t) d t\right| \leq \int_{a}^{b}|K(x, t)|\left|f^{\prime}(t)\right| d t \\
& \leq\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty} \int_{a}^{b} \mid K(x, t) d t \\
& =\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}\left[\int_{a}^{x}\left|t-\left(a+\lambda \cdot \frac{b-a}{2}\right)\right| d t+\int_{x}^{b}\left|t-\left(b-\lambda . \frac{b-a}{2}\right)\right| d t\right]= \\
& \quad\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty} L
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, to find $L$ let us observe that

$$
\int_{b}^{r}|t-q| d t=\int_{p}^{q}(q-t) d t+\int_{q}^{r}(t-q) d t=\frac{1}{2}\left[(q-p)^{2}+(r-q)^{2}\right.
$$

by proof 2.10 we have;

$$
\frac{1}{2}\left[(q-p)^{2}+(r-q)^{2}\right]=\frac{1}{4}(p-r)^{2}+\left(q-\frac{r+p}{2}\right)^{2}, \quad \text { for } p \leq q \leq r
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{a}^{x}\left|t-\left(a+\lambda \cdot \frac{b-a}{2}\right)\right| d t \\
& =\frac{1}{4}(x-a)^{2}+\left[\left(a+\lambda \cdot \frac{b-a}{2}\right)-\frac{a+x}{2}\right]^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

And similarly for

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{x}^{b}\left|t-\left(b-\lambda \cdot \frac{b-a}{2}\right)\right| d t=\frac{1}{4}(b-x)^{2}+\left[\left(b-\lambda \cdot \frac{b-a}{2}\right)-\frac{x+b}{2}\right]^{2} \text {,so } \\
& L=\frac{1}{2} \frac{(x-a)^{2}+(b-x)^{2}}{2}+\left(\lambda \cdot \frac{b-a}{2}-\frac{x-a}{2}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{b-x}{2}-\lambda\left(\frac{b-a}{2}\right)\right)^{2} \\
& \quad=\frac{(b-a)^{2}}{4}\left[\lambda^{2}+(\lambda-1)^{2}\right]+\left(x-\frac{a+b}{2}\right)^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Notation 2.21

(a) If we let $\lambda=0$ then we get Ostrowski's integrality

$$
\left|f(x)-\frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} f(t) d t\right| \leq\left[\frac{1}{4}+\frac{\left(x-\frac{a+b}{2}\right)^{2}}{(b-a)^{2}}\right](b-a)\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}
$$

(b) If we choose $\lambda=1$ and $x=\frac{a+b}{2}$ then we get the trapezoid inequality:

$$
\left|\int_{a}^{b} f(t) d t-\frac{f(a)+f(b)}{2}(b-a)\right| \leq \frac{1}{4}(b-a)^{2}\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}
$$

## Theorem 2.22 [57]

Let $f:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathrm{R}$ is a differentiable function on $(a, b)$ such that $\gamma \leq f^{\prime}(t) \leq \mu$ for $t, x \in[a, b]$, for some constants $\gamma, \mu \in R$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\lvert\,(a-b)\left[\frac{\lambda}{2}(f(a)+f(b))\right.\right.\left.+(1-\lambda) f(x)-\frac{\mu-\gamma}{2}(1-\lambda)\left(x-\frac{a+b}{2}\right)\right]- \\
& \int_{a}^{b} f d t \mid \\
& \leq \frac{\mu-\gamma}{2}\left[\frac{(b-a)^{2}}{4}\left(\lambda^{2}+(1-\lambda)^{2}\right)+\left(x-\frac{a+b}{2}\right)^{2}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Where $a+\lambda\left(\frac{b-a}{2}\right) \leq x \leq b-\lambda\left(\frac{b-a}{2}\right)$ and $\lambda=[0,1]$.

## Proof:

Let us define the mapping

$$
K(x, t)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
t-\left(a+\lambda \frac{b-a}{2}\right), t \in[a, x] \\
t-\left(b-\lambda \frac{b-a}{2}\right), t \in(x, b]
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then

$$
\int_{a}^{b} K(x, t) f^{\prime}(t) d t=(b-a)\left[\frac{\lambda}{2}(f(a)+f(b)+(1-\lambda) f(x)]-\int_{a}^{b} f d t\right.
$$

We also

$$
\int_{a}^{b} K(x, t) d t=(1-\lambda)(b-a)\left(x-\frac{a+b}{2}\right) .
$$

Let $c=\frac{\mu+\gamma}{2}$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{a}^{b} K(x, t)\left|f^{\prime}(t)-c\right| d t=(b-a)\left[\frac{\lambda}{2}(f(a)+f(b)+(1-\lambda) f(x)-\right. \\
& \left.c(1-\lambda)\left(x-\frac{a+b}{2}\right)\right]-\int_{a}^{b} f(t) d t
\end{aligned}
$$

And we know that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|\int_{a}^{b} K(x, t)\left[f^{\prime}(t)-c \mid\right] d t\right| \leq \sup _{a \leq t \leq b}\left|f^{\prime}(t)-c\right| \int_{a}^{b}|K(x, t)| d t \\
\int_{a}^{b} K(x, t) d t=\frac{(b-a)^{2}}{4}\left[\lambda^{2}+(1-\lambda)^{2}\right]+\left(x-\frac{a+b}{2}\right)^{2},
\end{gathered}
$$

and we have $\gamma \leq f^{\prime} \leq \mu$ therefor

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\gamma-\frac{\mu+\gamma}{2} \leq f^{\prime}-\frac{\mu+\gamma}{2} \leq \mu-\frac{\mu+\gamma}{2} \\
-\left(\frac{\mu-\gamma}{2}\right) \leq f^{\prime}-\frac{\mu+\gamma}{2} \leq \frac{\mu-\gamma}{2}, \text { then } \\
\left|f^{\prime}(t)-c\right| \leq \frac{\mu-\gamma}{2}, \text { and Max }\left|f^{\prime}(t)-c\right| \leq \frac{\mu-\gamma}{2} \tag{15.2}
\end{array}
$$

From (14.2) and (15.2), if follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\int_{a}^{b} K(x, t)\left[f^{\prime}(t)-\frac{\mu+\gamma}{2}\right] d t\right| \leq \frac{\mu-\gamma}{2}\left[\frac { ( b - a ) ^ { 2 } } { 4 } \left(\lambda^{2}+\left(1-\lambda^{2}\right)+\right.\right. \\
& \left.\quad\left(x-\frac{a+b}{2}\right)^{2}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Then we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\lvert\,(a-b)\left[\frac{\lambda}{2}(f(a)+f(b))+(1-\lambda) f(x)-\frac{\mu+\gamma}{2}(1-\lambda)\left(x-\frac{a+b}{2}\right)\right]-\right. \\
& \quad \int_{a}^{b} f d t \left\lvert\, \leq \frac{\mu-\gamma}{2}\left[\frac{(b-a)^{2}}{4}\left(\lambda^{2}+(1-\lambda)^{2}\right)+\left(x-\frac{a+b}{2}\right)^{2}\right] .\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

## Theorem 2.23 [20]

Let $\boldsymbol{f}:[a, b] \rightarrow R$ be a twice differentiable mapping on $(\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b})$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\lvert\, \int_{a}^{b} f d x-\frac{b-a}{2}[f(a)+f(b)] \leq\right. \\
& \qquad\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
\frac{\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}}{12} & (b-a)^{3} & \text { if } f^{\prime \prime} \in L_{\infty}[a, b] \\
\frac{\left\|f^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{1}}{8} & (b-a)^{2} & \text { if } f^{\prime \prime} \in L_{1}[a, b]
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Where $\quad\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}=\sup \left|f^{\prime \prime}(t)\right|$, and $\left\|f^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{1}=\int_{a}^{b}\left|f^{\prime \prime}(\mathrm{t})\right| d t$.

## Proof:

From integrating by parts;

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{a}^{b}(x-a)(b-x) f^{\prime \prime} d x & =\left[\left.(x-a)(b-x) f^{\prime}(x)\right|_{a} ^{b}\right. \\
& -\int_{a}^{b}[(a+b)-2 x] f^{\prime \prime} d x \\
& =\int_{a}^{b}\left[2 x-\left.(a+b)\right|_{a} ^{b}-2 \int_{a}^{b} f(x) d x,\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

So

$$
\int_{a}^{b} f(x) d x=\frac{b-a}{2}[f(a)+f(b)]-\frac{1}{2} \int_{a}^{b}(x-a)(b-x) f^{\prime \prime} d x
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\int_{a}^{b} f d x-\frac{b-a}{2}[f(a)+f(b)]\right| \\
& \quad \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{a}^{b}(x-a)(b-x)\left|f^{\prime \prime}(x)\right| d x \tag{16.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Let us observe that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{a}^{b}(x-a)(b-x)\left|f^{\prime \prime}(x)\right| d x \\
& \leq \sup _{a \leq t \leq b}\left|f^{\prime \prime}(\mathrm{t})\right| \int_{a}^{b}(x-a)(b-x) d x, \text { but } \\
& \begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{b}}(x-a)(b-x) & =\int_{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{b}}\left(b x-x^{2}-a b+a x\right) d x \\
& =\left[\frac{x^{2} b}{2}-\frac{x^{3}}{3}-a b x+\frac{a x^{2}}{2}\right] \\
& =\left[\frac{b^{3}}{2}-\frac{b^{3}}{3}-2 a b+\frac{a b^{2}}{2}\right]-\left[\frac{a^{2} b}{2}-\frac{a^{3}}{2}-a^{2} \mathrm{~b}+\frac{a^{3}}{2}\right] \\
& =\left[\frac{b^{3}}{6}-\frac{a b^{2}}{2}\right]-\left[\frac{a^{3}}{6}-\frac{b a^{2}}{2}\right] \\
& =\frac{\left[b^{3}-3 a b^{2}+3 a^{2} b-a^{3}\right]}{6} \\
& =\frac{(b-a)^{3}}{6} .
\end{aligned}
\end{aligned}
$$

So

$$
\left|\int_{a}^{b} f d x-\frac{f(a)+f(b)}{2}(b-a)\right| \leq \frac{(b-a)^{3}}{12}\left\|f^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{\infty} .
$$

Now, from (16.2) and lemma (2.1) we can say that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{a}^{b}(x-a)(b-x)\left|f^{\prime \prime}(x)\right| d x & \leq \operatorname{Max}_{a \leq t \leq b}(x-a)(b-x) \int_{a}^{b}\left|f^{\prime \prime}(\mathrm{t})\right| d t \\
& =\operatorname{Max}_{a \leq t \leq b}(x-a)(b-x)\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $h(x)=(x-a)(b-x)$, then

$$
h^{\prime}(x)=(-1)(x-a)+(b-x)=-2 x+a+b
$$

If $h^{\prime}(x)=0$ then $x=\frac{a+b}{2}$ and if $x \in\left(a, \frac{a+b}{2}\right)$ then $h^{\prime}(x) \geq 0$ and if $x \in\left(\frac{a+b}{2}, b\right)$ then $h^{\prime}(x) \leq 0$,

Therefor

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Max}_{a \leq t \leq b} h(x)=\left(\frac{a+b}{2}-a\right)\left(b-\frac{a+b}{2}\right) & =\frac{(b-a)^{2}}{4}, \\
\left\lvert\, \int_{a}^{b} f d x-\frac{\mathrm{b}-\mathrm{a}}{2}[f(a)+f(b)]\right. & \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{a}^{b}(x-a)(b-x)\left|f^{\prime \prime}(x)\right| d x \\
& \left.\leq \frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{(b-a)^{2}}{4}\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{1}\right]=\frac{(b-a)^{2}}{8}\left\|f^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{1}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Remark 2.24 (Hermite - Hadamard inequality) [46]

If $f$ is a convex $\left(f^{\prime \prime} \geq 0\right)$ on $[a, b]$, the midpoint Rule is the approximation

$$
\int_{a}^{b} f d t \cong f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)[b-a]
$$

And the trapezoid Rule is the approximation

$$
\int_{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{b}} f d t \cong \frac{f(\mathrm{a})+f(\mathrm{~b})}{2}[b-a],
$$

There is a very useful relationship between these rules as follows,

$$
f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)[b-a] \leq \int_{a}^{b} f d t \leq \frac{f(\mathrm{a})+f(\mathrm{~b})}{2}[b-a]
$$

Then by corollary (2.3) and corollary (2.7), we can say;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0 \leq \frac{f(a)+f(b)}{2}-\frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} f d t \leq \frac{1}{2} \vee_{a}^{b} f, \text { and } \\
& 0 \leq \frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} f d t-f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \leq \frac{1}{2} \vee_{a}^{b} f
\end{aligned}
$$

## 2.4 inequalities for absolutely continuous functions

## Theorem 2.25 [27]

Let $f:[a, b] \rightarrow R$ be an absolutely continuous function on $[a, b]$, then
$\left|\frac{f(x)+f(a+b-x)}{2}-\frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} f(t) d t\right| \leq\left[\frac{1}{8}+2\left(\frac{x-\frac{3 a+b}{4}}{b-a}\right)^{2}\right](b-a)\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}$,
for $x, t \in\left[a, \frac{a+b}{2}\right]$.

## Proof:

Let us define the mapping

$$
K(x, t)=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
t-a, & t \in[a, x] \\
t-\frac{a+b}{2}, & t \in(x, a+b-x] \\
t-b, & t \in(a+b-x, b]
\end{array}\right.
$$

for $x \in\left[a, \frac{a+b}{2}\right]$
Integrating by parts

$$
\int_{a}^{x}(t-a) d f(t)=f(x)(x-a)-\int_{a}^{x} f(t) d t
$$

and

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\int_{x}^{a+b-x}\left(t-\frac{a+b}{2}\right) d f(t)=f(a+b-x)\left(\frac{a+b}{2}-x\right)-f(x)\left(x--\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \\
-\int_{x}^{a+b-x} f(t) d t \\
\int_{a+b-x}^{b}(t-b) d f(t)=f(a+b-x)(x-a)-\int_{a+b-x}^{b} f(t) d t
\end{array}
$$

By add the above three equalities, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{a}^{b} K(x, t) d f(t) & =\frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} K(x, t) f^{\prime}(t) d t \\
& =\frac{f(x)+f(a+b-x)}{2}-\frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} f d t,
\end{aligned}
$$

And by lemma (2.1)

$$
\left|\int_{a}^{b} K(x, t) f^{\prime}(t) d t\right| \leq \operatorname{Max}_{a \leq t \leq b}\left|f^{\prime}\right| \int_{a}^{b}|K(x, t)| d t
$$

But we have from proof 2.10, we proved that

$$
\frac{(x-a)^{2}+(b-x)^{2}}{2}=\frac{1}{4}(b-a)^{2}+\left(x-\frac{a+b}{2}\right)^{2},
$$

Or $\quad \frac{(x-a)^{2}+(b-x)^{2}}{2(b-a)}=\left[\frac{1}{4}+\frac{\left(x-\frac{a+b}{2}\right.}{(b-a)^{2}}\right](b-a)$.
By using the last fact, we can say

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{4(x-a)^{2}+(a+b-2 x)^{2}}{4(b-a)}=\frac{(x-a)^{2}+\left(\frac{a+b}{2}-x\right)^{2}}{(b-a)} & =2\left[\frac{(b-a)^{2}}{16}+\left(x-\frac{3 a+b}{4}\right)^{2}[b-a]\right. \\
& =(b-a)\left[\frac{1}{8}+2\left(\frac{x-\frac{3 a+b}{4}}{b-a}\right)^{2}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
\frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b}|K(x, t)| d t=\frac{4(x-a)^{2}+(a+b-2 x)^{2}}{4(b-a)}=(b-a)\left[\frac{1}{8}+2\left(\frac{x-\frac{3 a+b}{4}}{b-a}\right)^{2}\right]
$$

Therefor

$$
\operatorname{Max}_{a \leq t \leq b}\left|f^{\prime}\right| \frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b}|p(x, t)| d t \leq\left[\frac{1}{8}+2\left(\frac{x-\frac{3 a+b}{4}}{b-a}\right)^{2}\right](b-a)\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}
$$

## Theorem 2.26 [3]

Let $f:[a, b] \rightarrow R$ be an absolutely continuous functions on $[a, b]$ whose derivative is bounded on $[a, b]$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|(b-a)\left[(1-\lambda) \frac{f(x)+f(a+b-x)}{2}+\lambda\left(\frac{f(a)+f(b)}{2}\right)\right]-\int_{a}^{b} f d t\right| \\
& \leq\left[\frac{(b-a)^{2}}{8}\left(2 \lambda^{2}+(1-\lambda)^{2}+2\left(x-\frac{(3-\lambda) a+(1+\lambda) b}{4}\right)^{2}\right]\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Where $\lambda \in[0,1]$ and $x \in\left[a+\lambda \frac{b-a}{2}, \frac{a+b}{2}\right]$.

## Proof

Using the integration by parts

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{a}^{x}\left(t-\left(a+\lambda \frac{b-a}{2}\right)\right) d f=\left(x-a-\lambda \frac{b-a}{2}\right) f(x) \\
&+\lambda \frac{b-a}{2} f(a)-\int_{a}^{x} f(t) d t \\
& \int_{x}^{a+b-x}\left(t-\frac{a+b}{2}\right) d f(t)=\left(\frac{a+b}{2}-x\right)(f(x)+f(a+b-x) \\
&-\int_{x}^{a+b-x} f(t) d t
\end{aligned}
$$

And

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{a+b-x}^{b}\left(t-\left(b-\lambda \frac{b-a}{2}\right)\right) d f(t) & = \\
\lambda\left(\frac{b-a}{2}\right) f(b) & +\left(x-a-\lambda \frac{b-a}{2}\right) f(a+b-x) \\
& -\int_{a+b=x}^{b} f(t) d t
\end{aligned}
$$

Adding the above inequalities, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{a}^{b} k(x, t) f^{\prime}(t) d t & =(b-a)\left[\lambda \frac{f(a)+f(\mu)}{2}+(1-\lambda) \frac{f(x)+f(a+b-x}{2}\right] \\
& -\int_{a}^{b}(t) d t
\end{aligned}
$$

Where

$$
k(x, t)=\left\{\begin{array}{lr}
t-\left(a+\lambda \frac{b-a}{2}\right), & t \in[a, x] \\
t-\frac{a+b}{2}, & t \in(x, a+b-x] \\
t-\left(b-\lambda \frac{b-a}{2}\right), & t \in(a+b-x, b]
\end{array}\right.
$$

For all $\lambda \in[0,1]$ and $a+\lambda \frac{b-a}{2} \leq x \leq \frac{a+b}{2}$. since, $f^{\prime}$ is bounded, so

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left|(b-a)\left[\lambda \frac{f(a)+f(b)}{2}+(1-\lambda) \frac{f(x)+f(a+b-\lambda)}{2}\right]-\int_{a}^{b} f(t) d t\right| \\
\leq \int_{a}^{b}|k(x, t)|\left|f^{\prime}(t)\right|\left|d t \leq\left|\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty} \int_{a}^{b}\right| k\right| d t
\end{array}
$$

Now we using the fact

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{p}^{r}|t-q| d t=\int_{p}^{q}(q-t) d t+\int_{q}^{r}(t-q) d t & =\frac{(q-p)^{2}+(r-q)^{2}}{2} \\
& =\frac{1}{4}(p-r)^{2}+\left(q-\frac{r+p}{2}\right)^{2} \tag{20.2}
\end{align*}
$$

For $p \leq q \leq r$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{a}^{x}\left|t-\left(a+\lambda \frac{b-a}{2}\right)\right| d t \\
& =\frac{1}{4}(x-a)^{2}+\left(\lambda \frac{b-a}{2}-\frac{x-a}{2}\right)^{2} \\
& \int_{x}^{a+b-x}\left|t-\frac{a+b}{2}\right| d t=\left(x-\frac{a+b}{2}\right)^{2}, \text { and } \\
& \int_{a+b-x}^{b}\left|t-\left(b-\lambda \frac{b-a}{2}\right)\right| d t-\frac{1}{4}(x-a)^{2}+\left(\frac{x-a}{2}-\lambda \frac{b-a}{2}\right)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

So , we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{a}^{b}|k(x, t)| d t=\frac{(x-a)^{2}+((x-a)-\lambda(a-b))^{2}}{2}+\left(x-\frac{b+a}{2}\right)^{2} \\
& =\frac{1}{4} \lambda^{2}(b-a)^{2}+\left(x-\frac{(2-\lambda) a+\lambda b}{2}\right)^{2}+\left(x-\frac{a+b}{2}\right)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

by (20.2)

$$
=\frac{\lambda^{2}}{4}(b-a)^{2}+\underbrace{\frac{(1-\lambda)^{2}}{8}(b-a)^{2}+2\left(x-\frac{(3-\lambda) a+(1+\lambda) b}{4}\right)^{2}}
$$

by (20.2)

$$
=\frac{(b-a)^{2}}{8}\left(2 \lambda^{2}+(1-\lambda)^{2}+2\left(x-\frac{(3-\lambda) a+(1+\lambda) b}{4}\right)^{2}\right.
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|(b-a)\left[(1-\lambda) \frac{f(x)+f(a+b-x)}{2}+\lambda\left(\frac{f(a)+f(b)}{2}\right)\right]-\int_{a}^{b} f d t\right| \\
& \quad \leq\left[\frac{(b-a)^{2}}{8}\left(2 \lambda^{2}+(1-\lambda)^{2}+2\left(x-\frac{(3-\lambda) a+(1+\lambda) b}{4}\right)^{2}\right]\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

## Corollary 2.27 [3]

(a) If choose $\lambda=0$, then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|(b-a) \frac{f(x)+f(a+b-x}{2}-\int_{a}^{b} f(t) d t\right| \leq \\
& {\left[\frac{(b-a)^{2}}{8}+2\left(x-\frac{3 a+b}{4}\right)^{2}\right]\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}}
\end{aligned}
$$

(b) If $\lambda=1, x=\frac{a+b}{2}$, then we have

$$
\left|(b-a) \frac{f(a)+f(b)}{2}-\int_{a}^{b} f(t) d t\right| \leq \frac{1}{4}(b-a)^{2}\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}
$$

## Lemma 2.28 [24]

Let $f:[a, b] \rightarrow R$ be an absolutely continuous on $[a, b]$ and $x \in[a, b]$ then for any $\lambda_{1}(x)$ and $\lambda_{2}(x)$ real functions on $[a, b]$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(x) & +\frac{1}{2(b-a)}\left[(b-a)^{2} \lambda_{2}(x)-(x-a)^{2} \lambda_{1}(x)\right]-\frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} f(t) d t \\
& =\frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{x}(t-a)\left[f^{\prime}(t)-\lambda_{1}(x)\right] d t+\frac{1}{b-a} \int_{x}^{b}(t-b)\left[f^{\prime}(t)-\lambda_{2}(x)\right] d t
\end{aligned}
$$

## Proof

To find $\int_{a}^{x}(t-a)\left[f^{\prime}(t)-\lambda_{1}(x)\right] d x$ and $\int_{x}^{b}(t-b)\left[f^{\prime}(t)-\lambda_{2}(x)\right] d x$,
We can utilizing the integration by parts formula
So

$$
\int_{a}^{x}(t-a)\left[f^{\prime}(t)-\lambda_{1}(x)\right] d t
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\left.(t-a)\left[f(t)-\lambda_{1}(x) t\right]\right|_{a} ^{x}-\int_{a}^{x}\left[f(t)-\lambda_{1}(x) t\right] d t \\
& =(x-a)\left[f(x)-\lambda_{1}(x) x(x-a)-\int_{a}^{x} f(t) d t+\frac{1}{2} \lambda_{1}(x)\left(x^{2} a^{2}\right)\right. \\
& =(x-a) f(x)-\int_{a}^{x} f(t) d t-\frac{1}{2}(x-a)^{2} \lambda_{1}(x)
\end{align*}
$$

And for $\int_{x}^{b}(t-b)\left[f^{\prime}(t)-\lambda_{2}(x)\right] d t$

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\left.(t-b)\left[f(t)-\lambda_{2}(x) t\right]\right|_{x} ^{b}-\int_{a}^{x}\left[f(t)-\lambda_{2}(t) t\right] d t \\
& =(b-x)\left[f(x)-\lambda_{2}(x) x\right]-\int_{x}^{b} f(t) d t-(b-x) \lambda_{2}(x) x \\
& +\frac{1}{2} \lambda_{2}(x)\left(b^{2} x^{2}\right) \\
& =(b-x) f(x)-\int_{x}^{b} f(t) d t+\frac{1}{2}(b-x)^{2} \lambda_{2}(x)
\end{align*}
$$

So, by add the identifies (21.2), (22.2) and divide by $(b-a)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{b-a}\left[\int_{a}^{x}(t-a)\left[f^{\prime}(t)-\lambda_{1}(x)\right] d t+\int_{x}^{b}(t-b)\left[f^{\prime}(x)-\lambda_{2}(x)\right] d t\right. \\
& =f(x)+\frac{1}{2(b-a)}\left[(b-x)^{2} \lambda_{2}(x)-(x-a)^{2} \lambda_{2}(x)\right]-\frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} f d t .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Remark 2.29 [24]

The last identify has many particular cases of interest.
(i) If choose $\lambda_{1}=\lambda_{2}=\lambda$ then we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
f(x)+\left(\frac{a+b}{2}-x\right) \lambda-\frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} f(t) d t=\frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{x}(t-a)\left[f^{\prime}(t)-\lambda(x)\right] d t \\
+\frac{1}{b-a} \int_{x}^{b}(t-b)\left[f^{\prime}(t)-\lambda(x)\right] d t
\end{gathered}
$$

In particular if $\lambda \in R, x=\frac{a+b}{2}$ then, we have the midpoint

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)-\frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} f(t) d t \\
& =\frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{\frac{a+b}{2}}(t-a)\left[f^{\prime}(t)-\lambda\right] d t+\frac{1}{b-a} \int_{\frac{a+b}{2}}^{b}(t-b)\left[f^{\prime}-\lambda\right] d t
\end{aligned}
$$

(ii) If $\lambda_{1}=\lambda_{2}=0$ then we get,

$$
f(x)-\frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} f(t) d t=\frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{x}(t-a) f^{\prime} d t+\frac{1}{b-a} \int_{x}^{b}(t-b) f^{\prime} d t
$$

(iii) If $x \in(a, b)$ is a point of differentiability for the absolutely continuous function $f:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathrm{R}$ then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f(x)+\left(\frac{a+b}{2}-x\right) f^{\prime}(x)-\frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} f(t) d t \\
& \quad=\frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{x}(t-a)\left[f^{\prime}(t)-f^{\prime}(x)\right] d t+\frac{1}{b-a} \int_{x}^{b}(t-b)\left[f^{\prime}(t)-f^{\prime}(x)\right] d t
\end{aligned}
$$

## Theorem 2.30 [25]

Let $f:[a, b] \rightarrow R$ be a differentiable function, and $f^{\prime}$ is of bounded variation on $[a, b]$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(x) & -\frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} f(t) d t+\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{a+b}{2}-x\right) f^{\prime}(x) \\
& +\frac{1}{4(b-a)}\left[(b-x)^{2} f^{\prime}(b)-(x-a)^{2} f^{\prime}(a)\right] \\
& \leq \frac{1}{4}(b-a)\left[\left(\frac{x-a}{b-a}\right)^{2} \vee_{a}^{x} f^{\prime}+\left(\frac{b-x}{b-a}\right)^{2} \vee_{x}^{b} f^{\prime}\right] \\
& \leq \frac{1}{4}(b-a)\left[\frac{1}{2}+\left|\frac{x-\frac{a+b}{2}}{b-a}\right|\right] \vee_{a}^{b} f^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

for $x \in[a, b]$.

## Proof

Let $\lambda_{1}(x)=\frac{f^{1}(a)+f^{1}(x)}{2}, \quad \lambda_{2}=\frac{f^{1}(x)+f^{1}(b)}{2}$
In lemma (2.28) we get the modulus

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f(x)-\frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} f(t) d t+\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{a+b}{2}-x\right) f^{2}(x) \\
+ & \frac{1}{4(b-a)}\left[(b-x)^{2} f^{1}(b)-(x-a)^{2} f^{2}(a)\right] \\
= & \frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{x}(t-a)\left[f^{\prime}(t)-\frac{f^{\prime}(a)+f^{\prime}(x)}{2}\right] d t \\
+ & \frac{1}{b-a} \int_{x}^{b}(t-b)\left[f^{\prime}(t)-\frac{f^{\prime}(x)+f^{\prime}(b)}{2}\right] d t, \quad \text { for } x \in[a, b] .
\end{aligned}
$$

So know,

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\lvert\, f(x)-\frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} f(t) d t\right. & +\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{a+b}{2}-x\right) f^{\prime}(x) \\
& \left.+\frac{1}{4(b-a)}\left[(b-x)^{2} f^{\prime}(b)-(x-a)^{2} f^{\prime}(a)\right] \right\rvert\, \\
\leq & \frac{1}{b-a}\left|\int_{a}^{x}(t-a)\left[f^{\prime}(t)-\frac{f^{\prime}(a)+f^{\prime}(x)}{2}\right] d t\right| \\
& +\frac{1}{b-a}\left|\int_{x}^{b}(t-b)\left[f^{\prime}(t)-\frac{f^{\prime}(x)+f^{\prime}(b)}{2}\right] d t\right| \\
\leq & \frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{x}(t-a)\left|f^{\prime}(t)-\frac{f^{\prime}(a)+f^{\prime}(x)}{2}\right| d t \\
& +\frac{1}{b-a} \int_{x}^{b}(b-t)\left|f^{\prime}(t)-\frac{f^{\prime}(x)+f^{\prime}(b)}{2}\right| d t \tag{23.2}
\end{align*}
$$

But $f^{\prime}:(a, b) \rightarrow R$ is of bounded variation on $[a, x]$ and $[x, b]$ so

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|f^{\prime}(t)-\frac{f^{\prime}(a)+f^{\prime}(x)}{2}\right| & =\frac{\left|f^{\prime}(t)-f^{\prime}(a)+f^{\prime}(t)-f^{\prime}(x)\right|}{2} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2}\left[\left|f^{\prime}(t)-f^{\prime}(a)\right|+\left|f^{\prime}(x)-f^{\prime}(t)\right|\right] \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~V}_{a}^{x}\left(f^{\prime}\right), \text { for } t \in[a, x]
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly for

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{a}^{x}(t-a)\left|f^{\prime}(t)-\frac{f^{\prime}(a)+f^{\prime}(x)}{2}\right| d t \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} \vee_{a}^{x}\left(f^{\prime}\right) \int_{a}^{x}(t-a) d t \\
& =\frac{1}{2} V_{a}^{x}\left(f^{\prime}\right)\left[\left.\left(\frac{t^{2}}{2}-a t\right) \right\rvert\,{ }_{a}^{x}\right] \\
& =\frac{1}{2} V_{a}^{x}\left(f^{\prime}\right)\left(\frac{x^{2}}{2}-a x\right)-\left(\frac{a^{2}}{2}-a^{2}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2} V_{a}^{x}\left(f^{\prime}\right)\left(\frac{x^{2}}{2}-a x+\frac{a^{2}}{2}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{4} V_{a}^{x} f^{\prime}(x-a)^{2}, \text { then from }(23.2) \text { we get } \\
& \left\lvert\, f(x)-\frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} f(t) d t+\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{a+b}{2}-x\right) f^{\prime}(x)\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\frac{1}{4(b-a)}\left[(b-x)^{2} f^{\prime}(b)-(x-a)^{2} f^{\prime}(a)\right] \right\rvert\, \\
& \leq \frac{1}{b-a}\left[\frac{1}{4}(x-a)^{2} V_{a}^{x} f^{\prime}+\frac{1}{4}(x-a)^{2} V_{x}^{b} f^{\prime}\right] \\
& =\frac{(b-a)}{4}\left[\left(\frac{x-a}{b-a}\right)^{2} V_{a}^{x} f^{\prime}+\left(\frac{b-x}{b-a}\right)^{2} V_{x}^{b} f^{\prime}\right] \\
& \leq \frac{(b-a)}{4}\left[\frac{1}{2}+\left|\frac{x-\frac{a+b}{2}}{b-a}\right|\right] V_{a}^{b} f^{\prime}, \text { for } x \in[a, b] .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Theorem2.31 [26]

Let $f: I \rightarrow R$ be differentiable function on $I$ and $[a, b] \subset I^{\circ}$. If the derivative $f^{\prime}: I^{\circ} \rightarrow R$ is of bounded variation on $[a, b]$, then for any $x, t \in[a, b]$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|f(x)+\frac{1}{2(b-a)}\left[(b-x)^{2} f^{\prime}(a)\right]-\frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} f(t) d t\right| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{b-a}\left[\int_{a}^{x}(t-a) \vee_{a}^{t}\left(f^{\prime}\right) d t+\int_{x}^{b}(b-t) \bigvee_{t}^{b}\left(f^{\prime}\right) d t\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \leq \frac{1}{b-a}\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\frac{1}{2}(x-a)^{2} \vee_{a}^{x}\left(f^{\prime}\right) \\
(x-a) \int_{a}^{x}\left(\vee_{a}^{t}\left(f^{\prime}\right)\right) d t
\end{array}\right. \\
& +\frac{1}{b-a}\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\frac{1}{2}(b-x)^{2} \bigvee_{x}^{b}\left(f^{\prime}\right) \\
(b-x) \int_{x}^{b}\left(\mathrm{~V}_{t}^{b}\left(f^{\prime}\right)\right) d t
\end{array}\right. \tag{24.2}
\end{align*}
$$

## Proof

By lemma (2.28)
If we assume that the lateral derivatives $f^{\prime}(a)$ and $f^{\prime}(b)$ exist and are finite, then for $\lambda_{1}(x)=f^{\prime}(a)$ and $\lambda_{2}(x)=f^{\prime}(b)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|f(x)+\frac{1}{2(b-a)}\left[(b-x)^{2} f^{\prime}(b)-(x-a)^{2} f^{\prime}(a)\right]-\frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} f(t) d t\right| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{x}(t-a)\left|f^{\prime}(t)-f^{\prime}(a)\right| d t+\frac{1}{b-a} \int_{x}^{b}(b-t)\left|f^{\prime}(b)-f^{\prime}(t)\right| d t
\end{aligned}
$$

For any $x \in[a, b]$.
Since the derivative $f^{\prime}: 1 \rightarrow R$ is of bounded variation on $[a, b]$, then

$$
\left|f^{\prime}(t)-f^{\prime}(a)\right| \leq \mathrm{V}_{a}^{t}\left(f^{\prime}\right) \text { for any } t \in[a, x]
$$

And

$$
\left|f^{\prime}(b)-f^{\prime}(t)\right| \leq \mathrm{V}_{t}^{b}\left(f^{\prime}\right) \text { for any } t \in[x, b]
$$

Therefore

$$
\int_{a}^{x}(t-a)\left|f^{\prime}(t)-f^{\prime}(a)\right| d t \leq \int_{a}^{x}(t-a) \vee_{a}^{t}\left(f^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{dt}
$$

And

$$
\int_{x}^{b}(b-t)\left|f^{\prime}(b)-f^{\prime}(t)\right| d t \leq \int_{x}^{b}(b-t) \bigvee_{t}^{b}\left(f^{\prime}\right) d t, \text { for any } x \in[a, b] .
$$

Adding these two inequalities and dividing by $b-a$ we get the first inequality, and Using Holder's integral inequality we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{a}^{x}(t-a) \vee_{a}^{t}\left(f^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{dt} & \leq\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\mathrm{V}_{a}^{x}\left(f^{\prime}\right) \int_{a}^{x}(t-a) d t \\
(x-a) \int_{a}^{x}\left(\mathrm{~V}_{a}^{t}\left(f^{\prime}\right)\right) d t
\end{array}\right. \\
& =\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\frac{1}{2}(x-a)^{2} \mathrm{~V}_{a}^{x}\left(f^{\prime}\right) \\
(x-a) \int_{a}^{x}\left(\mathrm{~V}_{a}^{t}\left(f^{\prime}\right)\right) d t
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

And

$$
\int_{x}^{b}(b-t) \bigvee_{t}^{b}\left(f^{\prime}\right) d t \leq\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\frac{1}{2}(b-x)^{2} \bigvee_{x}^{b}\left(f^{\prime}\right) \\
(b-x) \int_{x}^{b}\left(\bigvee_{x}^{b}\left(f^{\prime}\right)\right) d t
\end{array}\right.
$$

## Remark 2.32 [26]

From the first branch in (24.2) we have the sequence of inequalities

$$
\begin{align*}
& \quad\left|f(x)+\frac{1}{2(b-a)}\left[(b-x)^{2} f^{\prime}(b)-(x-a)^{2} f^{\prime}(a)\right]-\frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} f(t) d t\right| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{b-a}\left[\int_{a}^{x}(t-a) \vee_{a}^{t}\left(f^{\prime}\right) d t+\int_{x}^{b}(b-t) \bigvee_{t}^{b}\left(f^{\prime}\right) d t\right] \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2}(b-a)\left[\left(\frac{x-a}{b-a}\right)^{2} \bigvee_{a}^{x}\left(f^{\prime}\right)+\left(\frac{b-x}{b-a}\right) \vee_{x}^{b}\left(f^{\prime}\right)\right] \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2}(b-a)\left\{\begin{array}{l}
{\left[\frac{1}{4}+\left(\frac{x-\frac{a+b}{2}}{b-a}\right)\right]\left[\frac{1}{2} \bigvee_{a}^{b}\left(f^{\prime}\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left|\bigvee_{a}^{x}\left(f^{\prime}\right)\right|\right],} \\
{\left[\frac{1}{2}+\left|\frac{x-\frac{a+b}{2}}{b-a}\right|\right] \bigvee_{a}^{b}\left(f^{\prime}\right),}
\end{array}\right. \tag{25.2}
\end{align*}
$$

from the second branch in (24.2) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|f(x)+\frac{1}{2(b-a)}\left[(b-x)^{2} f^{\prime}(b)-(x-a)^{2 f^{\prime}}(a)\right]-\frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} f(t) d t\right| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{b-a}\left[\int_{a}^{x}(t-a) \bigvee_{a}^{t}\left(f^{\prime}\right) d t+\int_{x}^{b}(b-t) \bigvee_{t}^{b}\left(f^{\prime}\right) d t\right] \\
& \leq\left(\frac{x-a}{b-a}\right) \int_{a}^{x}\left(\bigvee_{a}^{t}\left(f^{\prime}\right)\right) d t+\left(\frac{b-x}{b-a}\right) \int_{x}^{b}\left(\bigvee_{t}^{b}\left(f^{\prime}\right)\right) d t
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\leq\left\{\begin{array}{l}
{\left[\frac{1}{2}+\left|\frac{x-\frac{a+b}{2}}{b-a}\right|\right]\left[\int_{a}^{x}\left(\mathrm{~V}_{a}^{t}\left(f^{\prime}\right)\right) d t+\int_{x}^{b}\left(\mathrm{~V}_{t}^{b}\left(f^{\prime}\right)\right) d t\right]} \\
\max \left\{\int_{a}^{x}\left(\mathrm{~V}_{a}^{t}\left(f^{\prime}\right)\right) d t, \int_{x}^{b}\left(\mathrm{~V}_{t}^{b}\left(f^{\prime}\right)\right) d t\right\}
\end{array}\right.
$$

## Corollary 2.33 [26]

We observe that, if we take $x=\frac{a+b}{2}$ in (25.2) then we get the perturbed midpoint inequality

$$
\begin{gathered}
\quad\left|f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)+\frac{1}{8}(b-a)\left[f^{\prime}(b)-f^{\prime(a)}\right]-\frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} f(t) d t\right| \\
\leq \frac{1}{b-a}\left[\int_{a}^{\frac{a+b}{2}}(t-a) \vee_{a}^{t}\left(f^{\prime}\right) d t+\int_{\frac{a+b}{2}}^{b}(b-t) \bigvee_{t}^{b}\left(f^{\prime}\right) d t\right] \leq \frac{1}{8}(b-a) \vee_{a}^{b}\left(f^{\prime}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

### 2.5 Inequalities for $n$-time differentiable functions.

## Lemma 2.34 [18]

Let $f:[a, b] \rightarrow R$ be a mapping such that the derivation $f^{(n-1)}, n \geq 1$ is absolutely continuous on $[a, b]$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{a}^{b} f(t) d t=\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{(k+1)^{1}}\left[(x-a)^{\mathrm{k}+1} f^{(k)}(a)+\right. & \left.(-1)^{\mathrm{k}}(b-x)^{k+1} f^{(k)}(\mathrm{b})\right] \\
& +\frac{1}{n!} \int_{a}^{b}(x-t)^{\mathrm{n}} f^{(n)}(t) d t
\end{aligned}
$$

For all $x, t \in[a, b]$.

## Proof:

The proof is by mathematical induction,
For $\mathrm{n}=1$ we have for prove that

$$
\int_{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{b}} f(\mathrm{t}) \mathrm{dt}=(x-a) f(a)+(b-x) f(b)+\int_{a}^{b}(x-t) f^{(1)}(t) d t
$$

Which is clearly by integration by parts formula applied for $\int_{a}^{b}(x-t) d f$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{a}^{b}(x-t) f^{(1)}(t) d t & =\int_{a}^{b}(x-t) d f=\left.(x-t) f(\mathrm{t})\right|_{a} ^{b}+\int_{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{b}} f \mathrm{dt} \\
& =(x-a) f(a)+(b-x) f(b)+\int_{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{b}} f(t) \mathrm{dt}
\end{aligned}
$$

So

$$
\int_{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{b}} f(\mathrm{t}) \mathrm{dt}=(x-a) f(a)+(b-x)+\int_{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{b}}(x-t) f^{(1)} d t .
$$

Assume that it sholds for $(n)$ and let us prove it for $((\mathrm{n}+1))$ that is we wish to show that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{b}} f(\mathrm{t}) \mathrm{dt}= & \sum_{\mathrm{k}=0}^{\mathrm{n}} \frac{1}{(\mathrm{k}+1)!}\left[(x-a)^{\mathrm{k}+1} f^{k}(a)+(-1)^{\mathrm{k}}(b-x)^{(k+1)} f^{(\mathrm{k})}(b)\right] \\
& +\frac{1}{(n+1)!} \quad \int_{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{b}}(x-t)^{\mathrm{k}+1} f^{(n+1)}(t) d t
\end{aligned}
$$

Now let $g(\mathrm{t})=(x-t)^{\mathrm{k}} f^{(\mathrm{k})}(\mathrm{t})$,
(Which is absolutely continuous on $[a, b]$ ),

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{b}}(x-t)^{\mathrm{k}+1} f^{(\mathrm{k}+1)}(\mathrm{t}) d t=(x-a)(x-a)^{\mathrm{k}} f^{(\mathrm{k})}(a)+(b-x)(x-b)^{\mathrm{k}} \\
& \quad f^{(\mathrm{k})}(b)+\int_{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{b}}(x-t) \frac{d}{d t}\left[(x-t)^{\mathrm{k}} f^{(\mathrm{k})}(\mathrm{t})\right] d t \\
&= \int_{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{b}}(x-t)\left[-\mathrm{n}(x-t)^{(\mathrm{k}-1)} f^{(\mathrm{n})}(\mathrm{t})+(x-t)^{\mathrm{k}} f^{(\mathrm{n}+1)}(\mathrm{t})\right] d t \\
&+(x-a)^{\mathrm{n}+1} f^{(\mathrm{n})}(\mathrm{a})+(-1)^{\mathrm{n}}(b-x)^{\mathrm{n}+1} f^{(\mathrm{n})}(\mathrm{b}) \\
&=-n \int_{a}^{b}(x-t)^{\mathrm{n}} f^{(\mathrm{n})}(\mathrm{t}) d t+\int_{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{b}}(x-t)^{\mathrm{n}+1} f^{(\mathrm{n}+1)}(\mathrm{t}) d t \\
& \quad+(x-a)^{\mathrm{n}+1} f^{(\mathrm{n})}(a)+(-1)^{\mathrm{n}}(b-x)^{n+1} f^{(n)}(b) \tag{26.2}
\end{align*}
$$

From (26.2) we can get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{a}^{b}(x-t)^{\mathrm{n}} f^{(n)}(\mathrm{t}) d t & =\frac{1}{(n+1)} \int_{a}^{b}(x-t)^{\mathrm{k}+1} f^{(\mathrm{k}+1)}(\mathrm{t}) d t \\
& +\frac{1}{(n+1)}[(x-a)] f^{(n)}(a)+\frac{1}{(n+1)}[(x-a)]^{\mathrm{n}+1} f^{(n)}(a) \\
& \left.+(-1)^{n}(b-x)^{\mathrm{n}+1} f^{(n)}(\mathrm{b})\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

by using the induction hypothesis

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{b}} f(\mathrm{t}) d t= & \sum_{\mathrm{k}=0}^{\mathrm{n}-1} \frac{1}{(\mathrm{k}+1)!}\left[(x-a)^{\mathrm{k}+1} f^{(\mathrm{k})}(a)+(-1)^{\mathrm{k}}(b-x)^{\mathrm{k}+1} f^{(\mathrm{k})}(b)\right]+\frac{1}{n!} \\
& {\left[\frac{1}{(n+1)}[(x-t)]^{\mathrm{n}+1} f^{(\mathrm{n}+1)}(t) d t\right.} \\
& \left.+\frac{1}{(n+1)}\left[(x-a)^{\mathrm{n}+1} f^{(\mathrm{n})}(a)+(b-x)^{\mathrm{n}+1} f^{(\mathrm{n})}(b)\right]\right] \\
= & \sum_{\mathrm{k}=0}^{\mathrm{n}} \frac{1}{(\mathrm{k}+1)!}\left[(x-a)^{\mathrm{k}+1} f^{(k)}(a)+(-1)^{\mathrm{k}}(b-x)^{(\mathrm{k}+1)} f^{(\mathrm{k})}(b)\right] \\
+ & \frac{1}{(\mathrm{n}+1)!} \int_{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{b}}(x-t)^{\mathrm{k}+1} f^{(\mathrm{n}+1)}(t) d t .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Theorem 2.35 [18]

Let $f:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathrm{R}$ be a mapping so that derivative $f^{(\mathrm{n}-1)}$ is absolutely continuous then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\lvert\, \int_{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{b}} f(\mathrm{t}) \mathrm{dt}-\sum_{\mathrm{k}=0}^{\mathrm{n}-1} \frac{1}{(\mathrm{k}+1)^{1}}\left[(x-a)^{\mathrm{k}+1} f^{(\mathrm{k})}(a)+(-1)^{\mathrm{k}}(b-x)^{\mathrm{k}+1} f^{(\mathrm{k})}(b)\right]\right. \\
& \quad \leq \frac{\left\|f^{(n)}\right\|_{\infty}}{(n+1)!}\left[(x-a)^{\mathrm{n}+1}+(b-x)^{\mathrm{n}+1}\right] \text { if } f^{(\mathrm{n})} \in \mathrm{L}_{\infty}[a, b]
\end{aligned}
$$

## Proof:

From lemma [ 2.34 ] we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mid \int_{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{b}} f(\mathrm{t}) \mathrm{dt}- & \left.\sum_{\mathrm{k}=0}^{\mathrm{n}-1} \frac{1}{(\mathrm{k}+1)^{!}}\left[(x-a)^{\mathrm{k}+1} f^{(\mathrm{k})}(a)+(-1)^{\mathrm{k}}(b-x)^{\mathrm{k}+1} f^{(\mathrm{k})}(b)\right] \right\rvert\, \\
& \leq \frac{1}{n!} \int_{a}^{b}|x-t|^{\mathrm{n}}\left|f^{(\mathrm{n})}\right| d t \leq\left[\frac{1}{n!} \int_{a}^{b}|x-t|^{\mathrm{n}} d t\right]\left\|f^{(\mathrm{n})}\right\|_{\infty} \\
& =\frac{\left\|f^{(\mathrm{n})}\right\|_{\infty}}{n!}\left[\int_{a}^{x}(x-t)^{\mathrm{n}} d t+\int_{x}^{b}(t-x)^{\mathrm{n}} d t\right] \\
& =\frac{\left\|f^{(\mathrm{n})}\right\|_{\infty}}{n!}\left[\frac{(x-a)^{n+1}+(b-x)^{n+1}}{n+1}\right] \\
& =\frac{\left\|f^{(\mathrm{n})}\right\|_{\infty}}{(\mathrm{n}+1)!}\left[(x-a)^{\mathrm{n}+1}+(b-x)^{\mathrm{n}+1}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

To prove the second inequality we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{n!} \int_{a}^{b}|x-t|^{n}\left|f^{(n)}\right| d t & \leq \frac{1}{\mathrm{n}!} \operatorname{Sup}_{a \leq t \leq b}|x-t|^{n} \int_{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{b}}\left|f^{(\mathrm{n})}(\mathrm{t})\right| \mathrm{dt} \\
& =\frac{1}{\mathrm{n}!}[\sup |x-t|]^{n}\left\|f^{n}\right\|_{1} \\
& =\frac{1}{n!}[\sup (x-a, b-x)]^{n}\left\|f^{(n)}\right\|_{1} \\
& =\frac{1}{n!}\left[1 / 2(b-a)+\left|x-\frac{a+b}{2}\right|\right]^{n}\left\|f^{(n)}\right\|_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\lvert\, \int_{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{b}} f(\mathrm{t}) d t-\sum_{\mathrm{k}=0}^{\mathrm{n}-1} \frac{1}{(\mathrm{k}+1)!}\left[(x-a)^{\mathrm{k}+1}\right.\right. & \left.f^{(\mathrm{k})}(a)+(-1)^{\mathrm{k}}(b-x)^{\mathrm{k}+1} f^{(\mathrm{k})}(b)\right] \mid \\
& \leq \frac{\left\|f^{n}\right\|}{\mathrm{n}!}\left[1 / 2(a-b)+\left|x \frac{a+b}{2}\right|\right]^{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Lemma 2.36 [17]

Let $f:[a, b] \rightarrow R$ be a mapping such that $f^{(\mathrm{n}-1)}$ is absolutely continuous on $[a, b]$. Then

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\int_{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{b}} f(t) d t=\sum_{\mathrm{k}=0}^{\mathrm{n}-1}\left[\frac{(b-x)^{k+1}+(-1)^{k}(x-a)^{k+1}}{(k+1)!}\right] f^{(k)}(x) \\
+(-1)^{\mathrm{n}} \int_{a}^{b} \mathrm{k}_{n}(x, t) f^{(\mathrm{n})}(t) d t \tag{27.2}
\end{array}
$$

Where the kernel $K_{n}:[a, b]^{2} \rightarrow R$ is given by
When $x \in[a, b], n$ is a natural number, $n \geq 1$

## Proof:

We use proof by Mathematical Induction.
For $n=1$

$$
\int_{a}^{b} f(t) d t=(b-a) f(x)-\int_{a}^{b} K_{1}(x, t) f^{(1)}(t) d t
$$

Where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{K}_{1}(x, t)=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
t-a & \text { if } & t \in[a, x] \\
t-b & \text { if } & t \in[x, b]
\end{array}\right. \\
& \begin{aligned}
\int_{a}^{b} K_{1}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{t}) f^{(1)}(t) d t & =\int_{a}^{x}(t-a) f^{\prime}(t) d t+\int_{x}^{b}(t-b) f^{\prime}(t) d t \\
& =\int_{a}^{x}(t-a) d f+\int_{x}^{b}(t-a) d f
\end{aligned}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\left.(t-a) f(t)\right|_{a} ^{x}-\int_{a}^{x} f d t+\left.(t-b) f(t)\right|_{x} ^{b}-\int_{x}^{b} f(t) d t \\
& =(x-a) f(x)+(b-x) f(x)-\int_{a}^{b} f(\mathrm{t}) \mathrm{dt} \\
& =(b-a) f(x)-\int_{a}^{b} f(t) d t
\end{aligned}
$$

So

$$
\int_{a}^{b} f(\mathrm{t}) d t=(b-a) f(x)-\int_{a}^{b} K_{1}(x, t) f^{(1)} d t
$$

Now assume that (27.2) holds for n and let us prove it for $(\mathrm{n}+1)$
That is prove the equality

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{a}^{b} f(\mathrm{t}) d t=\sum_{\mathrm{k}=0}^{\mathrm{n}} & {\left[\frac{(b-x)^{\mathrm{k}+1}+(-1)^{\mathrm{k}}(x-a)^{\mathrm{k}+1}}{(\mathrm{k}+1)!}\right] f^{(\mathrm{k})}(x) } \\
& +(-1)^{n+1} \int_{a}^{b} k_{n+1}(x, t) f^{(\mathrm{n}+1)}(t) d t
\end{aligned}
$$

Using

$$
K_{\mathrm{n}+1}(x, t)= \begin{cases}\frac{(t-a)^{(n+1)}}{(n+1)!} & \text { if } t \in[a, x] \\ \frac{(t-b)^{n}}{n!} & \text { if } t \in[x, b]\end{cases}
$$

And

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{a}^{b} k_{n+1}(x, t) f^{(\mathrm{n}+1)}(t) d t & =\int_{a}^{x} \frac{(t-a)^{n+1}}{(n+1)!}
\end{aligned} f^{(\mathrm{n}+1)}(t) d t \mathrm{t} .
$$

So, using the integrating by parts for

$$
\int_{a}^{x} \frac{(t-a)^{(n+1)}}{(n+1)!} f^{(\mathrm{n}+1)}(t) d t=\int_{a}^{x} \frac{(t-a)^{n+1}}{(n+1)!} d f^{(\mathrm{n})}(\mathrm{t})
$$

And

$$
\int_{x}^{b} \frac{(t-a)^{n+1}}{(n+1)!} f^{(\mathrm{n}+1)}(\mathrm{t}) d t=\int_{x}^{b} \frac{(t-a)^{n+1}}{(n+1)!} d f^{(n)}(t)
$$

Now, put

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g=\frac{(t-a)^{n+1}}{(n+1)!} \text { and } h=f^{(n)}(t) \\
& \begin{aligned}
\int_{a}^{x} g d h & =h(x) g(x)-h(a) g(a)-\int_{a}^{x} g d h \\
& =h(x) g(x)-\int_{a}^{x} h g^{\prime} d t
\end{aligned}
\end{aligned}
$$

So

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h(x) g(x)=\frac{(x-a)^{n+1}}{(n+1)!} f^{(n)}(x) \text { and } \int_{a}^{x} h g^{\prime} d t=\int_{a}^{x} \frac{(n+1)(t-a)^{n}}{(n+1)!} f^{(\mathrm{n})}(\mathrm{t}) d t \\
& \int_{a}^{x} \frac{(t-a)^{n+1}}{(n+1)!} \mathrm{d} f^{(n)}(t)=\frac{(x-a)^{n+1}}{(n+1)!} f^{(\mathrm{n})}(x)-\frac{1}{\mathrm{n}!} \int_{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{x}}(\mathrm{t}-\mathrm{a})^{\mathrm{n}} f^{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{t}) \mathrm{dt}
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly a bout

$$
\begin{align*}
& \quad \int_{x}^{b} \frac{(t-b)^{n+1}}{(n+1)!} f^{(\mathrm{n}+1)}(t) d t=\int_{x}^{b} \frac{(t-b)^{n+1}}{(n+1)!} d f^{(\mathrm{n})}(\mathrm{t})=\frac{-(x-b)^{n+1}}{(n+1)!} f^{(\mathrm{n})}(x) \\
& +\left(\frac{-1}{n!}\right) \int_{x}^{b}(t-a)^{n} f^{(\mathrm{n})}(t) d t \\
& \int_{x}^{b}(t-b)^{n+1} f^{(\mathrm{n}+1)}(t) d t=\frac{(-1)^{n+2}(b-x)^{n+1}}{(n+1)!} f^{(\mathrm{n})}(x) \\
& \quad-\frac{1}{\mathrm{n}!} \int_{x}^{b}(t-b)^{n} f^{(\mathrm{n})}(t) d t \tag{28.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that

$$
(-1)^{n+2}(b-x)^{n+1}=(-1)^{2 \mathrm{n}+3}(x-b)^{(\mathrm{n}+1)}=-(x-b)^{\mathrm{n}+1}
$$

From (27.2) and (28.2) we have

$$
\int_{a}^{b} k_{n+1}(x, t) f^{(n+1)}(\mathrm{t})=\frac{(x-a)^{n+1} f^{n}+(-1)^{n+2}(b-x)^{n+1} f^{n}(x)}{(n+1)!}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
-\left[\int_{a}^{x} \frac{(t-a)^{n}}{n!} f^{(\mathrm{n})} d t+\int_{x}^{b} \frac{(t-a)^{n}}{n!} f^{(\mathrm{n})}(\mathrm{t}) d t\right. \\
=\frac{(x-a)^{n+1}+(-1)^{n+2}(b-x)^{n+1}}{(n+1)!} f^{(\mathrm{n})}(x) \\
-\int_{a}^{b} k_{n}(x, t) f^{(\mathrm{n})}(t) d t
\end{gathered}
$$

So

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{a}^{b} k_{n}(x, t) f^{(\mathrm{n})}(t) d t=\frac{(x-a)^{n+1}+(-1)^{n+2}(b-x)^{n+1}}{(n+1)!} f^{(\mathrm{n})}(x) \\
& \quad-\int_{a}^{b} k_{n+1}(x, t) f^{(\mathrm{n}+1)}(t) d t
\end{aligned}
$$

by mathematical induction hypothesis we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{a}^{b} f(t) d t= \sum_{\mathrm{k}=0}^{\mathrm{n}-1}\left[\frac{(b-x)^{k+1}+(-1)^{k}(x-a)^{k+1}}{(k+1)!}\right] f^{(\mathrm{k})}(x) \\
&+\frac{(b-x)^{n+1}+(-1)^{n}(x-a)^{n+1}}{(k+1)!} f^{(\mathrm{n})}(x) \\
& \quad(-1)^{n} \int_{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{n}+1}(x, t) f^{(\mathrm{n}+1)}(\mathrm{t}) d t \\
&= \sum_{\mathrm{k}=0}^{\mathrm{n}}\left[\frac{(b-x)^{k+1}+(-1)^{k}(x-a)^{k+1}}{(k+1)!}\right] f^{(\mathrm{k})}(x) \\
& \quad+(-1)^{\mathrm{n}+1} \int_{a}^{b} k_{n+1}(x, t) f^{(\mathrm{n}+1)}(t) d t .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Corollary 2.37 [19]

Let $f ;[a, b] \rightarrow R$ such that $f^{(\mathrm{n}-1)}$ is absolutely continuous on $[a, b]$ then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{b}} f(t) d t=\sum_{\mathrm{k}=0}^{\mathrm{n}-1}\left[\frac{1+(-1)^{\mathrm{k}}}{(\mathrm{k}+1)!}\right] & \frac{(b-a)^{k+1}}{2^{k+1}} f^{(\mathrm{k})}\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \\
& +(-1)^{\mathrm{n}} \int_{a}^{b} M_{n}(\mathrm{t}) f^{(\mathrm{n})}(t) d t
\end{aligned}
$$

Where
$M_{n}(t)= \begin{cases}\frac{(t-a)^{n}}{n!} & \text { if } t \in\left[a, \frac{a+b}{2}\right] \\ \frac{(t-b)^{n}}{n!} & \text { if } t \in\left(\frac{a+b}{2}, b\right]\end{cases}$

## Proof:

From lemma [2.36] by choosing $x=\frac{a+b}{2}$

## Corollary 2.38 [19]

Let $f:[a, b] \rightarrow R$ be a mapping such that $f^{(\mathrm{n}-1)}$ is absolutely continuous on $[a, b]$.

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{a}^{b} f(t) d t & =\sum_{\mathrm{k}=0}^{\mathrm{n}-1}\left(\frac{b-a}{(k+1)!}\right)^{\mathrm{k}+1}\left[\frac{f^{(k)}(a)+(-1)^{k} f^{k}(b)}{2}\right] \\
& \left.+\frac{1}{n!} \int_{a}^{b} \frac{(b-t)^{n}+(-1)^{n}(t-a)^{n}}{2}\right] f^{(\mathrm{n})}(t) d t
\end{aligned}
$$

for $t, x \in[a, b]$.

## Proof:

Let $x=a$ and $x=b$ in (2.26) then summing the resulting identifies and dividing by 2 ,

So where $x=a$ we have inequality

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{b}} f(t) d t= & \sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\left[\frac{(b-a)^{k+1}}{(k+1)!} f^{(\mathrm{k})}(a)\right]+\int_{a}^{b} \frac{(t-b)^{n}}{n!} f^{(\mathrm{n})}(\mathrm{t}) \mathrm{dt} \\
& =\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\left[\frac{(b-a)^{k+1}}{(k+1)!} f^{(\mathrm{k})}(a)\right]+\frac{1}{\mathrm{n}!}(b-t)^{\mathrm{n}} f^{(\mathrm{n})}(t) d t \tag{29.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Now $x=b$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\mathrm{k}=0}^{\mathrm{n}-1}\left[\frac{(-1)^{k}(b-a)^{k+1}}{(k+1)!} f^{(\mathrm{k})}(b)\right]+(-1)^{\mathrm{n}} \int_{a}^{b} \frac{(t-a)^{n}}{n!} f^{(\mathrm{n})}(t) d t \tag{30.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then from (29.2) and (30.2)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{a}^{b} f(\mathrm{t}) \mathrm{dt}=\sum_{\mathrm{k}=0}^{\mathrm{n}-1}\left(\frac{b-a}{(k+1)!}\right)^{\mathrm{k}+1}\left[\frac{f^{(\mathrm{k})}+(-1)^{\mathrm{k}} f^{\mathrm{k}}(\mathrm{~b})}{2}\right] \\
&\left.\quad+\frac{1}{\mathrm{n}!} \int_{a}^{b} \frac{(b-t)^{n}+(-1)^{n}(t-a)^{n}}{2}\right] f^{(\mathrm{n})}(t) d t
\end{aligned}
$$

## Theorem 2.39 [17]

Let $f:[a, b] \rightarrow R$ be a mapping such that $f^{(n-1)}$ is a absolutely continuous on $[a, b]$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\int_{a}^{b} f(t) d t-\sum_{\mathrm{k}=0}^{\mathrm{n}-1}\left[\frac{(b-x)^{k+1}+(-1)^{k}(x-a)^{k+1}}{(k+1)!}\right] f^{(\mathrm{k})}(x)\right| \\
& \leq \begin{cases}\frac{\left\|f^{(\mathrm{n})}\right\| \infty}{(\mathrm{n}+1)!} & {\left[(x-a)^{n+1}+(b-x)^{n+1}\right] \text { if } f^{(\mathrm{n})} \in \mathrm{L}_{\infty}[a, b]} \\
\frac{\left\|f^{\mathrm{n})}\right\| 1}{\mathrm{n}!} & {\left[1 / 2(b-a)+\left|x-\frac{a+b}{2}\right|\right]^{\mathrm{n}} \text { if } f^{(\mathrm{n})} \in \mathrm{L}_{1}[a, b]}\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

Where

$$
\left\|f^{(\mathrm{n})}\right\|_{\infty}=\operatorname{Sup}_{a \leq t \leq b}\left|f^{(\mathrm{n})}(t)\right|<\infty
$$

And

$$
\left\|f^{(\mathrm{n})}\right\|_{1}=\int_{a}^{b}\left|f^{n}(t)\right| d(t)
$$

## Proof:

By Lemma (2.36), and observe that $\left|f^{(\mathrm{n})}\right| \leq\left\|f^{(\mathrm{n})}\right\|_{\infty}$

$$
\left|\int_{a}^{b} f(\mathrm{t}) d t-\sum_{\mathrm{k}=0}^{\mathrm{n}-1}\left[\frac{(b-x)^{k+1}+(-1)^{k}(x-a)^{k+1}}{(k+1)!}\right] f^{(\mathrm{k})}(x)\right|
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\left|\int_{a}^{b} k_{n}(x, t) f^{(\mathrm{n})}(t) d t\right| \\
& \leq \int_{a}^{b}\left|k_{n}(x, t)\right|\left|f^{(\mathrm{n})}(t)\right| d t \\
& \leq\left\|f^{(\mathrm{n})}\right\|_{\infty} \int_{a}^{b}\left|k_{n}(x, t)\right| \\
& =\left\|k_{n}(x, t)\right\|_{1} \cdot\left\|f^{(\mathrm{n})}\right\|_{\infty} \\
& =\left\|f^{(\mathrm{n})}\right\|_{\infty}\left[\int_{a}^{x} \frac{|t-a|^{n}}{n!} \mathrm{dt}+\int_{x}^{b} \frac{|t-b|}{n!}^{n} \mathrm{dt}\right] \\
& =\left\|f^{(n)}\right\|_{\infty}\left[\int_{a}^{x} \frac{(t-a)^{n}}{n!} d t+\int_{x}^{b} \frac{(b-t)^{n}}{n!} d t\right] \\
& =\left\|f^{(\mathrm{n})}\right\|_{\infty}\left[\frac{(t-a)}{(n+1)!}^{n+1}\left|x{\frac{(b-t)^{n+1}}{n+1!}}^{x}\right|\right] \\
& =\frac{\left\|f^{(\mathrm{n})}\right\|_{\infty}}{(n+1)!}\left[(x-a)^{\mathrm{n}+1}+(b-x)^{\mathrm{n}+1}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

and clearly that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\int_{a}^{b} k_{n}(x, t) f^{(\mathrm{n})}(t) d t\right| \leq \int_{a}^{b}\left|f^{n}(t)\right|\left|k_{n}(x, t)\right| d t \\
\leq & {\left[\int_{a}^{b}\left|f^{n}(t)\right|\right]\left\|k_{n}(x, t)\right\|_{\infty} } \\
= & \left\|f^{(\mathrm{n})}\right\|_{1} \cdot \sup _{a \leq t \leq b}\left|k_{n}(x, t)\right| \\
= & \left.\left\|f^{(\mathrm{n})}\right\|_{1} \cdot \operatorname{Max}\left\{\frac{(x-a)^{n}}{n!}, \frac{(b-x)^{n}}{n}\right]\right\} \\
= & \frac{\left\|f^{(\mathrm{n})}\right\|_{1}}{n!} \cdot \operatorname{Max}\left\{(x-a)^{n},(b-x)^{n}\right\} \\
= & \frac{\left\|f^{(\mathrm{n})}\right\|_{1}}{\mathrm{n}!}[\operatorname{Max}\{x-a, b-x\}]^{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
=\frac{\left\|f^{(\mathrm{n})}\right\|_{1}}{\mathrm{n}!} \quad\left[\frac{b-a}{2}+\left|x-\frac{a+b}{2}\right|\right]^{\mathrm{n}} .
$$

## Notation 2.40

Can easily notice that the Ostrowski inequality

$$
\left|\frac{1}{b-a} \int_{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{b}} f(t) d t-f(x)\right| \leq\left[1 / 4+\frac{\left(x-\frac{(a+b)^{2}}{2}\right)}{(b-a)^{2}}\right](b-a)\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}
$$

We obtain from (2.39) by put $(n=1)$ and as a simple last calculation we shows that

$$
\frac{1}{2}\left[(x-a)^{2}+(b-x)^{2}\right]=\left[\frac{1}{4}(b-a)^{2}+\left(x-\frac{a+b}{2}\right)^{2}\right] .
$$

## Chapter 3

# Inequalities for the Riemann - Stieltjes integral 

## Of product integrators.

### 3.1 Inequalities of Ostrowski and trapezoid type for the Riemann-Stieltjes integral

In this section we point out some recent results by the authors in [50], [15], [11] and [46] concerning certain inequalities of trapezoid type, Ostrowski type and for Riemann-Stieltjes integrals,

The section is structured as follows:
The first part deals with the estimation of the magnitude of the difference,

$$
\frac{f(a)+f(b)}{2}(g(b)-g(a))-\int_{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{b}} f(\mathrm{t}) d g(\mathrm{t}),
$$

Where $f$ is of $p-H$ - Holder type and $g$ is of bounded variation, and vice versa.
The second part provides an error analysis for the quantity

$$
f(x)(g(b)-g(a))-\int_{a}^{b} f(t) d g(t)
$$

This is commonly known in the literature as an Ostrowski type inequality, for the same classes of mappings.

## Definition 3.1 [50]

The function $f:[a, b] \rightarrow R$, be a $p-H-$ Holder type, if it satisfies the condition, $\quad|f(x)-f(y)| \leq H|x-y|^{p}$, for , $y \in[a, b]$, and $\mathrm{H}>0$, $p \in(0,1]$ are given.

## Theorem 3.2 [15]

Let $f:[a, b] \rightarrow R$, be a $p-H$ - Holder type mapping and $g:[a, b] \rightarrow R$ is a mapping of bounded variation on $[a, b]$, then

$$
\left|\frac{f(a)+f(b)}{2}(g(b)-g(a))-\int_{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{b}} f(\mathrm{t}) d g(\mathrm{t})\right|
$$

$$
\leq \frac{1}{2^{p}} H(b-a)^{p} \bigvee_{a}^{b} g \text {, For } t, x \in[a, b]
$$

## Proof

Using the property in lemma 2.1 we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\frac{f(a)+f(b)}{2}(g(b)-g(a))-\int_{a}^{b} f(t) d g\right| \\
& \quad=\left|\int_{a}^{b}\left(\frac{f(a)+f(b)}{2}-f(t)\right) d g(t)\right| \\
& \quad \leq \sup _{a \leq t \leq b}\left|\frac{f(a)+f(b)}{2}-f(\mathrm{t})\right| \vee_{a}^{b}(g)
\end{aligned}
$$

As $f$ is of $p-\mathrm{H}-$ Holder type, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\frac{f(\mathrm{a})+f(\mathrm{~b})}{2}-f(\mathrm{t})\right|= & \left|\frac{f(\mathrm{a})-f(\mathrm{t})+f(\mathrm{~b})-f(\mathrm{t})}{2}\right| \\
& \leq 1 / 2[|f(a)-f(t)|+|f(b)-f(t)|] \\
& \leq 1 / 2 H\left[(t-a)^{\mathrm{p}}+(b-t)^{\mathrm{p}}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Now consider the mapping

$$
h(t)=(t-a)^{p}+(b-t)^{p}, t \in[a, b], p \in(0,1]
$$

Then

$$
h^{\prime}(t)=p(t-a)^{p-1}-p(b-t)^{p-1}=0 \quad \text { iff } \quad t=\frac{a+b}{2}
$$

And $\quad h^{\prime}(t) \geq 0 \quad$ on $\left[a, \frac{a+b}{2}\right], \quad h^{\prime}(t)<0 \quad$ on $\left(\frac{a+b}{2}, b\right]$
Which shows that maximum is realized at $\mathrm{t}=\frac{a+b}{2}$, and

$$
\sup _{a \leq t \leq b} h(t)=h\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)=2^{(1-\mathrm{p})}(b-a)^{\mathrm{p}}
$$

$$
\sup _{a \leq t \leq b} \left\lvert\,\left(\left.\frac{f(\mathrm{a})+f(\mathrm{~b})}{2}-f(\mathrm{t}) \right\rvert\, \leq H\left(\frac{b-a}{2}\right)^{\mathrm{p}}\right.\right.
$$

Hence

$$
\left|\frac{f(\mathrm{a})+f(\mathrm{~b})}{2} \cdot(g(b)-g(a))-\int_{a}^{b} f d g\right| \leq \frac{1}{2^{p}} H(b-a)^{p} \vee_{a}^{b}(g)
$$

## Corollary3.3 [50]

Let $f:[a, b] \rightarrow R$ be a $\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{H}$ - Holder type mapping, and $g:[a, b] \rightarrow R$ be a monotonic mapping on $[a, b]$. Then

$$
\left|\frac{f(\mathrm{a})+f(\mathrm{~b})}{2}(g(b)-g(a))-\int_{a}^{b} f(t) d g(t)\right| \leq \frac{1}{2^{p}} H(b-a)^{p}|g(b)-g(a)|
$$

[Since $g$ is monotonic so it is of bounded variation and $\bigvee_{a}^{b}(g)=|g(b)-g(a)|$ ]

## Corollary 3.4 [11]

Let $f$ be a p- $\mathrm{H}-$ Holder mapping and $g$ be a Lipschitzian mapping with $\mathrm{L}>0$. Then

$$
\left|\frac{f(\mathrm{a})+f(\mathrm{~b})}{2}(g(b)-g(a))-\int_{a}^{b} f d g\right| \leq \frac{1}{2^{p}} H L(b-a)^{p+1}
$$

(We know that $\bigvee_{a}^{b}(g) \leq L[b-a]$ where $g$ is Lipschitzian mapping).

## Theorem 3.5 [50]

Let $f:[a, b] \rightarrow R$ be $a p-H-$ Holder type mapping, Where $H>0$ and $p \in$ $(0,1]$ are given, and $g:[a, b] \rightarrow R$ is a mapping of bounded variation on $[a, b]$.

Then we have the Ostrowski inequality,

$$
\begin{align*}
\mid f(x)(g(b)-g(a))- & \int_{a}^{b} f(t) d g(t) \mid \\
& \leq H\left[\frac{1}{2}(b-a)+\left|x-\frac{a+b}{2}\right|\right]^{p} \vee_{a}^{b}(g) \tag{1.3}
\end{align*}
$$

For all $x \in[a, b]$, furthermore, the constant $\frac{1}{2}$ is the best possible, for all $p \in(0,1]$ Proof
Using the property in lemma (2.1) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|f(x)(g(b)-g(a))-\int_{a}^{b} f(t) d g(t)\right|=\mid & \left|\int_{a}^{b}(f(x)-f(t)) d g(t)\right| \\
& \leq \sup _{t \in[a, b]}|f(x)-f(t)| \vee_{a}^{b}(g)
\end{aligned}
$$

As $f$ is of $p-H$ - Holder type, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sup _{t \in[a, b]}|f(x)-g(t)| & \leq \sup _{t \in[a, b]}\left[H|x-t|^{p}\right] \\
& =H \max \left\{(x-a)^{p},(b-x)^{p}\right\} \\
& =H[\max \{x-a, b-x\}]^{p} \\
& =H\left[\frac{1}{2}(b-a)+\left|x-\frac{a+b}{2}\right|\right]^{p}
\end{aligned}
$$

To prove the sharpness of the constant $\frac{1}{2}$ for any $p \in(0,1]$, assume that (1.3) holds with a constant $c>0$, that is

$$
\begin{align*}
\mid f(x)(g(b)-g(a))- & \int_{a}^{b} f(t) d g(t) \mid \\
& \leq H\left[c(b-a)+\left|x-\frac{a+b}{2}\right|\right]^{p} \vee_{a}^{b}(g) \tag{2.3}
\end{align*}
$$

For $f$ be $p-H-$ Holder type mappings on $[a, b]$ and $g$ of bounded variation on the same interval.

Choose $f(x)=x^{p}(p \in(0,1]), x \in[0,1]$ and $g:[0,1] \rightarrow[0, \infty]$ given by

$$
g(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
0 \text { if } x \in[0,1) \\
1 \text { if } x=1
\end{array}\right.
$$

As

$$
|f(x)-f(y)|=\left|x^{p}-y^{p}\right| \leq|x-y|^{p}
$$

For all $x, y \in[0,1] . p \in(0,1]$. it follows that $f$ is of $p-H-$ Holder type with the constant 1 .

By using the integration by parts formula for Riemann-Stieltjes integrals, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{1} f(t) d g(t)= & f(t) g(t)_{0}^{1}-\int_{0}^{1} g(t) d f(t) \\
& =1-0=1
\end{aligned}
$$

And

$$
\begin{gathered}
\vee_{a}^{b}(g)=1, \text { so } \\
\left|x^{p}-1\right| \leq\left[C+\left|x-\frac{1}{2}\right|\right]^{p}, \text { for all } \in[0,1] .
\end{gathered}
$$

For $x=0$, we get $1 \leq\left(C+\frac{1}{2}\right)^{P}$, which implies that $C \geq \frac{1}{2}$.

## Remark 3.6 [46]

If $f$ is a convex function on $\left(f^{\prime \prime} \geq 0\right)$, and $g$ is increasing on $[a, b]$ then
by turning to Riemann-Stieltjes integrals The Hermite - Hadamard inequality is not true in general.

$$
f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)[g(b)-g(a)] \leq \int_{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{b}} f d g \leq \frac{f(\mathrm{a})+f(\mathrm{~b})}{2}[g(b)-g(a)] .
$$

## Example: 3.7

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Let }[a, b]=[0,1] \text { and } \\
& f(t)=\mathrm{t}^{2}, g(t)=\sqrt{t}
\end{aligned}
$$

So left - hand inequality does not hold in general
And if $\quad g(t)=\mathrm{t}^{5 / 2,}$ then
The right - hand inequality does not hold in general to see this, we need shows

$$
f\left(\frac{\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b}}{2}\right)[g(b)-g(a)]>\int_{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{b}} f d g
$$

By the modification of the integral, we have $g^{\prime}=\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{t}}$

So

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{1} f \mathrm{dg}=\int_{0}^{1} f g^{\prime} d t= & \int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{t}^{2}\left(\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{t}}\right) \mathrm{dt}=\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{1} t^{\frac{3}{2}} \mathrm{dt} \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left[\left.\frac{t^{\frac{5}{2}}}{\frac{5}{2}}\right|_{0} ^{1}\right]=\frac{1}{5}
\end{aligned}
$$

And $\quad f\left(\frac{1+0}{2}\right)[\sqrt{1}+\sqrt{0}]=\frac{1}{4}>\frac{1}{5}$
Thus left - hand inequality does not hold.
Now if $g(t)=t^{5 / 2}$ so $g^{\prime}=\frac{5}{2} t^{\frac{3}{2}}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{1} f \mathrm{~g}^{\prime} \mathrm{dt} & =\int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{t}^{2}\left(\frac{5}{2} t^{\frac{3}{2}}\right) d t \\
& =\frac{5}{2} \int_{0}^{1} t^{\frac{7}{2}} \mathrm{dt}=\frac{5}{2}\left[\left.\frac{t^{\frac{9}{2}}}{\frac{9}{2}}\right|_{0} ^{1}\right]=\frac{5}{9}
\end{aligned}
$$

And $\quad \frac{f(1)+f(0)}{2}[g(1)-g(0)]=\frac{1}{2}<\frac{5}{9}$
So the right - hand inequality does not hold.

## 3-2 Inequalities for the Riemann - Stieltjes integral of Product integrators.

In this section we show that if $\boldsymbol{f}, \boldsymbol{g}:[\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b}] \rightarrow \boldsymbol{R}$ are two functions of bounded variation and such that the Riemann-Stieltjes integral $\int_{\boldsymbol{a}}^{\boldsymbol{b}} \boldsymbol{f} \boldsymbol{d} \boldsymbol{g}$ exists, then for any continuous functions $h:[\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b}] \rightarrow \boldsymbol{R}$, the Riemann-Stieltjes integral $\int_{\boldsymbol{a}}^{\boldsymbol{b}} \boldsymbol{h} \boldsymbol{d}(\boldsymbol{f} \boldsymbol{g})$ exists and using this result we then provide sharp upper bounds for the quantity

$$
\left|\int_{a}^{b} h d(f g)\right|
$$

And apply them for trapezoid and Ostrowski type inequalities.

## Lemma3. 8 [22]

If $f, g$ be two functions of bounded variation on $[a, b]$, and $\int_{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{b}} f d g$ exists, then for any $\in[a, b]$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L(x)=\int_{a}^{x} f(x) d g(t) \text { of bounded variation and } \\
& \qquad \vee_{a}^{b} L \leq\|f\|_{\infty} \vee_{a}^{b} g
\end{aligned}
$$

## Proof:

$$
\text { We know the integral } \int_{a}^{x} f d g \text { exists for all } x \in[a, b]
$$

## Let

$$
a=x_{0}<x_{1}<\ldots<x_{n-1}<x_{n}=b
$$

a division for the Interval $[a, b]$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\left|L\left(x_{i+1}\right)-L\left(x_{i}\right)\right| & =\sum\left|\int_{a}^{x i+1} f d g-\int_{a}^{x i} f d g\right| \\
& =\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\left|\int_{x i}^{a} f d g+\int_{a}^{x i+1} f d g\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
=\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\left|\int_{x i}^{x i+1} f d g\right|
$$

by Lemma (2.1), then

$$
\int_{x i}^{x i+1} f d g \leq \sup _{x i t \leq x i+1}|f(t)| \mathrm{V}_{x i}^{x i+1} g
$$

Therefor

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\mathrm{i}=0}^{\mathrm{n}-1}\left|L\left(x_{i+1}\right)-L\left(x_{i}\right)\right| & \leq \sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\left(\sup _{\mathrm{xi} \leq \mathrm{t} \leq \mathrm{xi}+1}| | f(t) \mid \mathrm{V}_{x i}^{x i+1} g\right) \\
& \leq \sup _{\mathrm{xi} \leq \mathrm{t} \leq \mathrm{xi}+1}|f(t)| \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \mathrm{~V}_{x i}^{x i+1}(g) \\
& =\sup _{\mathrm{a} \leq \mathrm{t} \leq \mathrm{b}}| | f(t) \mid \mathrm{V}_{a}^{b}(g)
\end{aligned}
$$

but $f g$ are of bounded variation on $[a, b]$
So

$$
=\sup _{\mathrm{a} \leq \mathrm{t} \leq \mathrm{b}}| | f(\mathrm{t}) \mid \vee_{a}^{b} g<\infty
$$

Therefor

$$
\sum_{\mathrm{i}=0}^{\mathrm{n}-1}\left|L\left(x_{i+1}\right)-L\left(x_{i}\right)\right|<\infty
$$

Hence $\quad L(x)$ is of bounded variation on $[a, b]$.

## Theorem 3.9 [22]

Let $h:[a, b] \rightarrow R$ is continuous and $f, g$ be two function of bounded variation on $[a, b]$ and $\int_{a}^{b} f d g$ exists. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{a}^{b} h d(f g) \text { exists, and } \\
& \int_{a}^{b} h d(f g)=\int_{a}^{b}(h f) d g+\int_{a}^{b}(h g) d f \tag{3.3}
\end{align*}
$$

## Proof

Let $x \in[a, b]$ then by the integration by parts theorem

$$
\int_{a}^{x} g(t) d f(t) \text { exists }
$$

And

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x) g(x)=f(a) g(a)+\int_{a}^{x} f(t) d g(t)+\int_{a}^{x} g(t) d f(t) \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can using (3.3) to say

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.d(f(x) g(x))=d(f(a) g(a))+d \int_{a}^{x} f(t) d g(t)\right) \\
& \quad+d \int_{a}^{x} g(t) d f(t) \\
& h(x) d(f(x) g(x))=h(x) d\left(\int_{a}^{x} f(t) d g(t)\right) \\
& \quad+h(a) d\left(\int_{a}^{x}(g(t) d f(t))\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefor

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{a}^{x} h(x) d(f(x) g(x)= & \int_{a}^{x} h(x) d\left(\int_{a}^{x} f(t) d g(t)\right) \\
& +\int_{a}^{b} h(x) d\left(\int_{a}^{x} g(t) d f\right) \tag{5.3}
\end{align*}
$$

by last lemma

$$
\int_{a}^{x} f d g \text { and } \int_{a}^{x} g d f \text { are of bounded variation on }[a, b]
$$

Therefor

$$
\int_{a}^{b} h(x) d\left(\int_{a}^{x} f(t) d g(t)\right) \text { and } \int_{a}^{b} h(x) d\left(\int_{a}^{x} g(t) d f(t)\right) \text { exist. }
$$

And

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{a}^{b} h(x) d\left(\int_{a}^{x} f(t) d g(t)\right)=\int_{a}^{b} h(x) f(x) d g(x) \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{a}^{b} h(x) d\left(\int_{a}^{x} g(t) d f(t)\right)=\int_{a}^{b} h(x) g(x) d f(x) \tag{7.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

So by (4.3), (5.3) and (6.3)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{a}^{b} h(x) d(f(x) g(x))= & \int_{a}^{b} h(x) f(x) d g(x) \\
& +\int_{a}^{b} h(x) g(x) d f(x) \quad \text { for } x \in[a, b]
\end{aligned}
$$

## Notation 3.10

If $f:[a, b] \rightarrow R$ is a functions of bounded variation $\int_{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{b}} f d f$ exists
$h:[a, b] \rightarrow R$ Continuous then $\int_{a}^{b} f d f^{2}=2 \int_{a}^{b} f d f$
and if $f^{\prime}$ exists then

$$
\int_{a}^{b} h d f^{2}=2 \int_{a}^{b} f h f^{\prime} d t
$$

## Theorem 3.11 [22]

Let $f, g:[a, b] \rightarrow R$ be two functions of bounded variation such that $\int_{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{b}} f d g$ exists. If $h:[a, b] \rightarrow R$ is continuous. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\int_{a}^{b} h d(f g)\right| & \leq\|f h\|_{\infty} \vee_{a}^{b}(g)+\|h g\|_{\infty} \quad \vee_{a}^{b}(f)  \tag{7.3}\\
& \leq\|h\|_{\infty}\left[\|f\|_{\infty} \vee_{a}^{b}(g)+\|g\|_{\infty} \vee_{a}^{b}(f)\right] \tag{8.3}
\end{align*}
$$

Both the above inequalities are sharp

## Proof

From (3.3) and lemma (2.1) We have

$$
=\|h\|_{\infty}\left[\|f\|_{\infty} \vee_{a}^{b}(g)+\|g\|_{\infty} \vee_{a}^{b}(f)\right]
$$

Now, to prove the sharpness of (8.3)
let the functions $f, g:[a, b] \rightarrow R$ giving by

$$
f(t)=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
0 & \text { if } & t=a \\
1 & \text { if } & t \in(a, b]
\end{array}\right.
$$

and

$$
g(\mathrm{t})=\left\{\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & \text { if } & t \in[a, b) \\
0 & \text { if } & t=b
\end{array}\right.
$$

The functions $f$ and $g$ are of bounded variation,
$\mathrm{V}_{a}^{b} f=\sup \left\{\sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{n}} \mid f\left(x_{\mathrm{i}}\right)-f\left(x_{\mathrm{i}-1}\right):\left\{x_{\mathrm{i}}: 1 \leq \mathrm{i} \leq \mathrm{n}\right\}\right.$ is a partition of $[a$, $b]\}$,
and

$$
\sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{n}}\left|f\left(x_{\mathrm{i}}\right)-f\left(x_{\mathrm{i}-1}\right)\right|=|1-0|+|1-1|+\ldots+|1-1|=1
$$

So

$$
\vee_{a}^{b}(f)=1
$$

and
$\vee_{a}^{b} g=\sup \left\{\sum_{\mathrm{j}=1}^{\mathrm{m}}\left|g\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)-g\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{j}-1}\right)\right|:\left\{x_{\mathrm{j}}: 1 \leq j \leq m\right\}\right.$ is a partition of $\left.[a, b]\right\}$,
and

$$
\sum_{\mathrm{j}=1}^{\mathrm{m}}\left|g\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)-g\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{j}-1}\right)\right|=|1-1|+|1-1|+\cdots+|1-1|+|0-1|=1
$$

Then

$$
\vee_{a}^{b}(g)=1 \text { and }\|g\|_{\infty}=\|f\|_{\infty}=1
$$

From

$$
\|f\|_{\infty}=\sup _{\mathrm{t} \in[\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{~b}]}|f(\mathrm{t})|=\sup \{0,1\}=1
$$

$$
\|g\|_{\infty}=\sup _{\mathrm{t} \in[\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{~b}]}|g(\mathrm{t})|=\sup \{0,1\}=1
$$

and we have

$$
f(t) g(\mathrm{t})=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
0 \text { if } t \in\{a, b\} \\
1 \text { if } t \notin\{a, b\}
\end{array}\right.
$$

then $f g$ is of bounded variation and for continuous function $h$ then $\int_{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{b}} h d(f g)$ exists
to show $f g$ of bounded variation

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{V}_{a}^{b}(f g)= & \sup \left\{\sum_{\mathrm{i}=0}^{\mathrm{n}} \mid(f g)\left(x_{i}\right)-(f g)\left(x_{i-1}\right)\right. \\
& \left.\mid:\left\{x_{i}: 0 \leq i \leq n\right\} \text { is a partition of }[a, b]\right\} \\
\mathrm{V}_{a}^{b}(f g)= & \sup \{|1-0|+|1-1|+\ldots+|0-1|\}=2
\end{aligned}
$$

We know by the integration by parts

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{a}^{b} h d(f g)=f(b) g(b) h(b)- & f(a) g(a) h(a)-\int_{a}^{b} f g d h \\
= & -\int_{a}^{b} f g d h \tag{9.3}
\end{align*}
$$

To find $\int_{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{b}} f g d h$ consider the following sequence of divisions and intermediate points:
$\Delta_{n}: a=x_{0}{ }^{(n)}<\xi_{0}{ }^{(n)}<x_{1}{ }^{(n)}<\ldots . .<x_{n-1}{ }^{(n)}<\xi_{n-1}{ }^{(n)}<x_{n}{ }^{(n)}=b$,
Such that $\mathrm{V}\left(\Delta_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ where $\mathrm{V}\left(\Delta_{n}\right)=\max _{0 \leq \mathrm{i} \leq \mathrm{n}-1}\left(x_{i+1}{ }^{(n)}-x_{i}{ }^{(n)}\right)$
and if $\xi_{i}^{(n)} \in\left[x_{i}^{(n)}, x_{i+1}{ }^{(n)}\right]$ for $i \in\{0,1, \ldots, n-1\}$ then

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\int_{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{b}} f g \mathrm{~d} h=\lim _{\mathrm{V}\left(\Delta_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0} \sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{n}-1}(f g)\left(\xi_{i}^{(n)}\right)\left[h\left(x_{i+1}^{(n)}\right)-h\left(x_{i}^{(n)}\right)\right] \\
\leq \lim _{\mathrm{V}\left(\Delta_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0} \sum_{\mathrm{i}=0}^{\mathrm{n}-1} \quad\left(h\left(x_{i+1}^{(n)}\right)-h\left(x_{i}^{(n)}\right)\right)
\end{array}
$$

$$
=h(b)-h(a)
$$

From (9.3)

$$
\int_{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{b}} h d(f g)=-\int_{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{b}} f g d h=h(a)-h(b)
$$

we also have

$$
h(t) f(t)=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
0 \quad \text { if } \quad t=a \\
h(t) \quad \text { if } t \in(a, b]
\end{array},\right.
$$

and
then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h(t) g(\mathrm{t})=\left\{\begin{array}{ccc}
h(t) & \text { if } & t \in[a, b) \\
0 & \text { if } & t=b
\end{array}\right. \\
& \|h g\|_{\infty}=\|h f\|_{\infty}=\|h\|_{\infty},
\end{aligned}
$$

by inequality (2.9)

$$
\begin{equation*}
|h(b)-h(a)| \leq 2\|h\|_{\infty} \tag{10.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, we need show that (10.3) is sharp, so
Let $h(t)=t-\frac{a+b}{2}, t \in[a, b]$, then

$$
|h(b)-h(a)|=b-a, \quad\|h\|_{\infty}=\frac{b-a}{2}
$$

Then $\quad b-a=2\left(\frac{b-a}{2}\right)$,
Therefor (8.3) is sharp.

### 3.3 The Ostrowski and Trapezoid inequalities with product Integrators.

## Proposition 3.12 [22]

Let $f, g:[a, b] \rightarrow R$ be two functions of bounded variation and such that the Riemann-Stieltjes integral $\int_{a}^{b} f(t) d g(t)$ exists. Then for any $x \in[a, b]$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mid f(b) g(b)(b-x)+f(a) g(a)(x-a)-\int_{a}^{b} f(t) g(t) d t \mid \\
& \leq \sup _{t \in[a, b]}|(t-x) g(t)| \mathrm{V}_{a}^{b}(f)+\sup _{t \in[a, b]}|(t-x) f(t)| \vee_{a}^{b}(g) \\
& \quad \leq\left[\frac{1}{2}(b-a)+\left|x-\frac{a+b}{2}\right|\right]\left[\|g\|_{\infty} \vee_{a}^{b}(f)+\|f\|_{\infty} \vee_{a}^{b}(g)\right] \tag{11.3}
\end{align*}
$$

In particular, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\frac{f(b) g(b)+f(a) g(a)}{2}(b-a)-\int_{a}^{b} f(t) g(t) d t\right| \\
& \quad \leq \sup _{t \in[a, b]}\left|\left(t-\frac{a+b}{2}\right) g(t)\right| \vee_{a}^{b}(f)+\sup _{t \in[a, b]}\left|\left(t-\frac{a+b}{2}\right) f(t)\right| \vee_{a}^{b}(g) \\
& \quad \leq \frac{1}{2}(b-a)\left[\|g\|_{\infty} \vee_{a}^{b}(f)+\|f\|_{\infty} \vee_{a}^{b}(g)\right] \tag{12.3}
\end{align*}
$$

The inequalities (11.3), (12.3) are sharp.

## Proof

We use the following identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(b)(b-x)+F(a)(x-a)-\int_{a}^{b} F(t) d t=\int_{a}^{b}(t-x) d F(t) \tag{13.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

That holds for any function of bounded variation $F:[a, b] \rightarrow R$ and any $x \in[a, b]$.
If we write the equality (13.3) for $F=f g$ we get

$$
\begin{align*}
f(b) g(b)(b-x)+ & f(a) g(a)(x-a)-\int_{a}^{b} f(t) g(t) d t  \tag{14.3}\\
& =\int_{a}^{b}(t-x) d(f(t) g(t)), \text { for any } x \in[a, b]
\end{align*}
$$

If we use theorems (3.9) and (3.11) for the function $h(t)=t-x, t \in[a, b]$, then we have the inequality

$$
\begin{align*}
\mid \int_{a}^{b}(t & -x) d(f(t) g(t)) \mid \\
& \leq \sup _{\mathrm{t} \in[\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{~b}]}|(t-x) g(t)| \mathrm{V}_{a}^{b}(f)+\sup _{t \in[a, b]}|(t-x) g(f)| \mathrm{V}_{a}^{b}(g) \\
& \leq \sup _{\mathrm{t} \in[\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{~b}]}|t-x|\left[\|g\|_{\infty} \vee_{a}^{b}(f)+\|f\|_{\infty} \vee_{a}^{b}(g)\right] \\
& =\max \{x-a, b-x\}\left[\|g\|_{\infty} \vee_{a}^{b}(f)+\|f\|_{\infty} \vee_{a}^{b}(g)\right] \\
& =\left[\frac{1}{2}(b-a)+\left|x-\frac{a+b}{2}\right|\right]\left[\|g\|_{\infty} \vee_{a}^{b}(f)+\|f\|_{\infty} \vee_{a}^{b}(g)\right] \tag{15.3}
\end{align*}
$$

The inequality (12.3) follows from (11.3) for $x=\frac{a+b}{2}$.
Consider the functions $f, g:[a, b] \rightarrow R$ defined by

$$
f(t)=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
0 \text { if } t=a \\
1 \text { if } t \in(a, b],
\end{array} \quad g(t)=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
1 \text { if } t \in[a, b) \\
0 \text { if } t=b .
\end{array}\right.\right.
$$

We observe that $f$ and $g$ are of bounded variation and

$$
\vee_{a}^{b}(f)=\vee_{a}^{b}(g)=1
$$

Take the sequence of divisions and intermediate points

$$
d n: a=x_{0}^{(n)}<\xi_{0}^{(n)}<x_{1}^{(n)}<\cdots<x_{n-1}^{(n)}<\xi_{n-1}^{n}<x_{n}^{(n)}=b
$$

Such that $\Delta(d n):=\max _{t \in[0, \ldots, n-1]}\left\{x_{i+1}^{(n)}-x_{i}^{(n)}\right\} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$
By the definition of the Riemann-Stieltjes integral $\int_{a}^{b} f(t) d g(t)$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{a}^{b} f(t) d g(t) & =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f\left(\xi_{i}^{(n)}\right)\left[g\left(x_{i+1}^{(n)}\right)-g\left(x_{i}^{(n)}\right)\right] \\
& =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=0}^{n-2} f\left(\xi_{i}^{(n)}\right)\left[g\left(x_{i+1}^{(n)}\right)-g\left(x_{i}^{(n)}\right)\right] \\
& +\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} f\left(\xi_{n-1}^{(n)}\right)\left[g(b)-g\left(x_{n-1}^{(n)}\right)\right]=0-1=-1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Which shows that this integral exists? Observe that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(t-\frac{a+b}{2}\right) f(t)=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
0 & \text { if } t=a \\
t-\frac{a+b}{2} & \text { if } t \in(a, b],
\end{array}\right. \\
& \left(t-\frac{a+b}{2}\right) g(t)= \begin{cases}t-\frac{a+b}{2} & \text { if } t \in[a, b) \\
0 & \text { if } t=b .\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
\sup _{t \in[a, b]}\left|\left(t-\frac{a+b}{2}\right) g(t)\right|=\frac{b-a}{2}
$$

And

$$
\sup _{t \in[a, b]}\left|\left(t-\frac{a+b}{2}\right) f(t)\right|=\frac{b-a}{2} .
$$

We also have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{f(b) g(b)+f(a) g(a)}{2}(b-a)-\int_{a}^{b} f(t) g(t) d t=-(b-a) . \\
|-(b-a)|=b-a=\frac{b-a}{2}+\frac{b-a}{2} .
\end{gathered}
$$

So (12.3) is sharp.

## Corollary 3.13 [22]

Assume that $f, g:[a, b] \rightarrow R$ are monotonic nondecreasing on $[a, b]$ and such that the Riemann-Stieltjes integral $\int_{a}^{b} f(t) d g(t)$ exists. Then for any $x \in[a, b]$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mid f(b) & g(b)(b-x)+f(a) g(a)(x-a)-\int_{a}^{b} f(t) g(t) d t \mid \\
& \leq \int_{a}^{b}|t-x||g(t)| d f(t)+\int_{a}^{b}|t-x||f(t)| d g(t) \\
& \leq\left[\frac{1}{2}(b-a)+\left|x-\frac{a+b}{2}\right|\right]\left(\int_{a}^{b}|g(t)| d f(t)+\int_{a}^{b}|f(t)| d g(t)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

In particular, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\frac{f(b) g(b)+f(a) g(a)}{2}(b-a)-\int_{a}^{b} f(t) g(t) d t\right| \\
\leq & \int_{a}^{b}\left|t-\frac{a+b}{2}\right||g(t)| d f(t)+\int_{a}^{b}\left|t-\frac{a+b}{2}\right||f(t)| d g(t) \\
\leq & \frac{1}{2}(b-a)\left(\int_{a}^{b}|g(t)| d f(t)+\int_{a}^{b}|f(t)| d g(t)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Corollary 3.14

If $f$ is Lipschitzian with $\mathrm{L} \geq 0, g$ is Lipschitzian with $K \geq 0$, and $h:[a, b] \rightarrow R$ is continuous

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\int_{a}^{b} h d(f g)\right| & \leq K \int_{a}^{b}|h f| d t+L \int_{a}^{b}|h g| d t \\
& \leq M \int_{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{b}}|h|[|f|+|g|] d t
\end{aligned}
$$

Where $M=\operatorname{Max}\{K, L\}$.

## Remark 3.15 [22]

If $f, g$ are continuous at $[a, b]$ and $h$ is Lipschitzian with $M>0$ Then

$$
\left|\int_{a}^{b} h d(f g)-I_{b, a}\right| \leq M \int_{a}^{b}(f g) d h \leq M\|f g\|_{\infty}
$$

Where

$$
\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{a}}=h(b) f(b) g(b)-h(a) f(a) g(a) .
$$

## Proposition 3.16 [22]

Let $f, g:[a, b] \rightarrow R$ be two functions of bounded variation and such that for $x \in\left[\begin{array}{ll}a, b\end{array}\right]$ the Riemann-Stieltjes integrals $\int_{a}^{b} f(t) d g(t)$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|f(x) g(x)(b-a)-\int_{a}^{b} f(t) g(t) d t\right| \\
& \leq(x-a) \sup _{t \in[a, x]}\{|f(t)|\} \vee_{a}^{x}(g)+(x-a) \sup _{t \in[a, x]}\{|g(t)|\} \vee_{a}^{x}(f) \\
& +(b-x) \sup _{t \in[a, x]}\{|f(t)|\} \vee_{x}^{b}(g)+(b-x) \sup _{t \in[a, x]}\{|g(t)|\} \vee_{x}^{b}(f) \\
& \leq\left[\frac{1}{2}(b-a)+\left|x-\frac{a+b}{2}\right|\right]\left[\|g\|_{\infty} \vee_{a}^{b}(f)+\|f\|_{\infty} \vee_{a}^{b}(g)\right] . \tag{16.3}
\end{align*}
$$

In particular if the Riemann-Stieltjes integrals $\int_{a}^{\frac{a+b}{2}} f(t) d g(t)$ and $\int_{\frac{a+b}{2}}^{b} f(t) d g(t)$ exist. Then we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) g\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)(b-a)-\int_{a}^{b} f(t) g(t) d t\right| \\
& \leq \frac{b-a}{2}\left[\sup _{t \in\left[a, \frac{a+b}{2}\right]}\{|f(t)|\} \vee_{a}^{\frac{a+b}{2}}(g)+\sup _{t \in\left[a, \frac{a+b}{2}\right]}\{|g(t)|\} \vee_{a}^{\frac{a+b}{2}}(f)\right. \\
& \left.\sup _{t \in\left[\frac{a+b}{2}, b\right]}\{|f(t)|\} \vee_{a}^{\frac{a+b}{2}}(g)+\sup _{t \in\left[\frac{a+b}{2}, b\right]}\{|g(t)|\} \vee_{a}^{\frac{a+b}{2}}(f)\right] \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2}(b-a)\left[\|g\|_{\infty} \vee_{a}^{b}(f)+\|f\|_{\infty} \vee_{a}^{b}(g)\right] \tag{17.3}
\end{align*}
$$

The inequalities are sharp.

## Proof

We use the following identity

$$
F(x)(b-a)-\int_{a}^{b} F(t) d t=\int_{a}^{x}(t-a) d F(t)+\int_{x}^{b}(t-b) d F(t)
$$

That holds for any function of bounded variation $F:[a, b] \rightarrow R$ and any $x \in[a, b]$.

If we write the equality for $F=f g$ we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f(x) g(x)(b-a)-\int_{a}^{b} f(t) g(t) d t \\
& =\int_{a}^{x}(t-a) d(f(t) g(t))+\int_{x}^{b}(t-b) d(f(t) g(t))
\end{aligned}
$$

For any function $f, g:[a, b] \rightarrow R$ of bounded variation and any $x \in[a, b]$.
Taking above modulus:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|f(x) g(x)(b-a)-\int_{a}^{b} f(t) g(t) d t\right| \\
& \leq\left|\int_{a}^{x}(t-a) d(f(t) g(t))\right|+\left|\int_{x}^{b}(t-b) d(f(t) g(t))\right| \\
& \leq \sup _{t \in[a, x]}\{(t-a)|f(t)|\} \vee_{a}^{x}(g)+\sup _{t \in[a, x]}\{(t-a)|g(t)|\} \vee_{a}^{x}(f) \\
& +\sup _{t \in[x, b]}\{(b-t)|f(t)|\} \vee_{x}^{b}(g)+\sup _{t \in[x, b]}\{(b-t)|g(t)|\} \bigvee_{x}^{b}(f) \\
& \leq(x-a) \sup _{t \in[a, x]}\{|f(t)|\} \vee_{a}^{x}(g)+(x-a) \sup _{t \in[a, x]}\{|g(t)|\} \bigvee_{a}^{x}(f) \\
& +(b-x) \sup _{t \in[x, b]}\{|f(t)|\} \vee_{x}^{b}(g)+(b-x) \sup _{t \in[x, b]}\{|g(t)|\} \vee_{x}^{b}(f) \\
& \leq \max \{x-a, b-x\} \sup _{t \in[a, b]}\{|f(t)|\} \vee_{a}^{b}(g) \\
& +\max \{x-a, b-x\} \sup _{t \in[a, b]}\{|g(t)|\} \vee_{a}^{b}(f) \\
& =\left[\frac{1}{2}(b-a)+\left|x-\frac{a+b}{2}\right|\right]\left[\|g\|_{\infty} \vee_{a}^{b}(f)+\|f\|_{\infty} \vee_{a}^{b}(g)\right],
\end{aligned}
$$

Consider now the functions $f, g:[a, b] \rightarrow R$ defined by

$$
f(t)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
0 \text { if } t \in\left[a, \frac{a+b}{2}\right) \\
1 \text { if } t \in\left[\frac{a+b}{2}, b\right]
\end{array} \quad g(t)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
1 \text { if } t \in\left[a, \frac{a+b}{2}\right] \\
0 \text { if } t \in\left(\frac{a+b}{2}, b\right]
\end{array}\right.\right.
$$

We observe that $f$ and $g$ are of bounded variation and

$$
\vee_{a}^{b}(f)=\bigvee_{a}^{b}(g)=1
$$

The Riemann-Stieltjes integrals $\int_{a}^{\frac{a+b}{2}} f(t) d g(t)$ and $\int_{\frac{a+b}{2}}^{a} f(t) d g(t)$ exist since one function is continuous while the other is of bounded variation on those intervals.

We observe that for these functions we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) g\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)(b-a)-\int_{a}^{b} f(t) g(t) d t=b-a, \\
& \sup _{t \in\left[a, \frac{a+b}{2}\right]}\{|f(t)|\} \vee_{a}^{\frac{a+b}{2}}(g)+\sup _{t \in\left[a, \frac{a+b}{2}\right]}\{|g(t)|\} \bigvee_{a}^{\frac{a+b}{2}}(f) \\
&+\sup _{t \in\left[\frac{a+b}{2}, b\right]}\{|f(t)|\} \bigvee_{\frac{a+b}{b}}^{b}(g)+\sup _{t \in\left[\frac{a+b}{2}, b\right]}\{|g(t)|\} \bigvee_{\frac{a+b}{b}}^{b}(f)=2
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\|g\|_{\infty} \vee_{a}^{b}(f)+\|f\|_{\infty} \vee_{a}^{b}(g)=2
$$

Therefor

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left|f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) g\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)(b-a)-\int_{a}^{b} f(t) g(t) d t\right|=b-a=\frac{1}{2}(b-a)(2) \\
=\frac{1}{2}(b-a)\left[\|g\|_{\infty} \mathrm{V}_{a}^{b}(f)+\|f\|_{\infty} \mathrm{V}_{a}^{b}(g)\right]
\end{array}
$$

So (17.3) is sharp.
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