Journal of Alasmarya University: Basic and Applied Sciences Volume (3) Issue 1 (June 2018)



مجلة الجامعة الأسمرية: العلوم الأساسية والتطبيقية المجلد (3) العدد الأول (يونيو 2018)

Assessment of Microbial and Chemical Contamination in Re-Usable 18 Liter Bottled water Marketed in Tripoli City and its Suburbs

Fathi K. Elyaagubi^{*1}, Salem M. EL-Nakeib², Hassin N. Adam³, and Amjad T. Shaktur³

*Correspondence: fathi khalifa@yahoo.com

تقدير التلوث الكيميائي والميكروبي في عبوات المياه، المعبأة سعة 18 لتر المتداولة في مدينة طرابلس وضواحيها

نظرا لتزايد السكان ومحدودية الموارد المائية الصالحة للشرب قد عزز من ضرورة استخدام المياه المعبأة في زجاجات وانتقال الأمراض المنقولة عن طريق المياه هو مصدر قلق كبير للصحة العامة وأنه من المهم أن نعرف التلوث الكيميائي والميكروبي في المياه المعبأة في زجاجات التي يعاد استخدامها. وكانت هذه الدراسة للتحقيق في التلوث الميكروبي والكيميائي في الزجاجات المياه المعبأة ذات سعة 18 لتر المستهلكة في مدينة طرابلس وضواحيها. تم جمع 40 عينة من المياه المعبأة في زجاجات عشوائيا من عشر ماركات مختلفة. جميع العينات تتوافق مع اللوائح القياسية لمنظمة الصحة العالمية. وكانت متوسط قيم النترات ، والنتريت ،

¹Department of Geological Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Tripoli University.

²Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Al Asmaryia Islamic University, Zliten.

³Department of intensive care and Anesthesia, Faculty of Medical Technology, Tripoli University.

التعكر ، 'Na' ، درجة الحموضة (pH) ، 1.000 مليجرام /لتر، 1.000 مليجراء التولية تم وأظهرت النتائج من المتوسط والانحراف المعياري لمجموع البكتيريا االتوث الكشف عنها في 14.4,2 ٪ من زجاجات المياه ذات سعة 18 لتر المعروضة للبيع بمؤشرات التلوث الميكروبي في عبوات المياه ذات سعة 18 لتر المعروضة للبيع في السوق المحلي يشير إلي احتمال وجود إحياء دقيقة وطفيليات ممرضة في هذه المياه وهذا قد يشكل تهديدا علي الصحة العامة . ونظرا لان العبوات قبل إعادة تعبئتها واستخدام مياه من مصادر غير أمنة وعدم كفاءة عملية تطهير المياه من العوامل التي ربما تكون قد ساهمت في ارتفاع المحتوى الميكروبي للمياه في بعض العوامل التي ربما تكون قد ساهمت في ارتفاع المحتوى الميكروبي للمياه في بعض العبوات الممثلة للأصناف التي شملتها الدراسة.

الكلمات الدالة: التلوث الميكروبي والكيميائي- المياه المعبأة- مدينة طرابلس-ليبيا

ABSTRACT

The increasing population and limited drinking water, resources have enhanced the necessity of using bottled water. Transmission of the waterborne disease is a major concern of public health, and it is important to know chemical and microbial contamination of bottled water. This study was to investigate the microbial and chemical contamination in 18liters refilled water samples consumed inTripoli city and its suburbs. 40 samples of bottled water were collected randomly from ten different brands. All the samples meet standard of the WHO guidelines. The mean values of nitrate, nitrite, turbidity, Na⁺, K⁺ and pH parameters were 7.10 mg/l, 0.013 mg/l , 0.36 NTU, 8.60 mg/l, 0.32 mg/l and 7.64, respectively. Results showed that the mean and standard devation of total coliforms

bacteria were detected in 14.42% bottles water. The high levels of microbial faecal indicators recorded in this study indicate the possibility that pathogenic microorganisms and parasites might be present in the 18liters refilled bottles currently offered for sale at the local market. Such a situation might pose a threat to public health. Since the bottles are of the re-usable type, thus, the reasons behind the high levels of contamination of most brands of bottled water included in this study could be due to inefficient cleaning and sanitizing of the bottles before refilling, utilization of water from unsafe sources and inefficient sanitizing of water.

Key words: Microbial and chemical contamination - Bottle water-Tripoli City-Libya

INTRODUCTION

About 97 % of earth's water is salty water, and only 3 % is fresh water. Although fresh water is a renewable source, most of the world 's fresh water supply is ground water. This precious element is needed for the basic survival of all living organisms (Crittenden et al., 2012). Good quality is of basic importance for human physiology, and human lives depend basically on availability. Average human body weight is ranging from 53 kg - 63 kg, requires about 3 liters of water in the liquids and food daily to keep healthy (Wardlow et al., 2004). Many human diseases are related to lack hygienic water. Today, it is estimated that about 450million people in 29 countries suffer from water shortages (Guler, 2007). In recent years, bottled water gained popularity in several

countries including Libya. Bottled water is a beverage that is rapidly gaining in popularity and according to the latest World's Water report the average annual consumption is over 100 liters per person in 15 countries from the world (Diduch et al. 2013). The increasing demand for access to safe drinking water, the global population, has turned to the use of bottled water. It is stated that over 89 billion liters of bottle water are annually used worldwide (Guler, 2007; Salvato, 2003). This may be due to increasing water salinity (Morton et al., 2011). The supply and demand theory, in this case, bottled water, has necessitated a growing need for various water sources to manufacturing this product (Leivadara et al., 2008; Zazouli et al., 2013). People are thus choosing bottled water over tap water because it is perceived to be safer and of higher quality than tap water (Eric and Isaac, 2013). Water should be free from any organisms. However, unfortunately, water is not always found pure. contamination of natural water with faecal material, domestic and industrial sewage may result in an increased risk of disease transmission to humans (Gleson and Gray, 1997; Hunter, 1997; OECD, 2003). Today fecal coliforms found in water tables have been identified as the main pollutants (Williams, 2001). Several studies have documented the detection of coliform and heterotrophic bacteria in bottled water (Bharath et al.,2003; Liguori et al., 2010). Consumption of contaminated drinking water was associated with 80 per cent of disease and one-third of death in developing countries (Mellor et al., 2013; Echoru et al., 2015). This study aimed to investigate the chemical and microbial quality in 18 liter refilled water samples consumed in Tripoli city and its suburbs.

Materials and methods

The study was carried out on ten brands of bottled water produced in the Tripoli city and its suburbs. In total, four samples from each brand (44 samples) were randomly collected during the period from Jun 2016 to November 2016. The collected samples were transferred to the advanced center for chemical analysis laboratory Tripoli and refrigerated at standard conditions (at 4°C). The nitrate anion and nitrite anion were measured by a spectrophotometer (Hach Long/USA). The pH level and Total dissolved (TDS) were determined by the Milwaukee – SM-801. The solids measurement of sodium and potassium cations was accomplished by Ion Chromatography device (Metrohm/Switzerland). The turbidity level was measured by the Hach turbidity meter device (USA). To ensure sample testing accuracy in each measurement according to the mentioned parameters, each parameter was carried out in triplicate, and the mean of each parameter was calculated. The values of each parameter were compared to set by the World Health Organization, 2003 (WHO) guidelines for drinking water.

Determination of total coliforms:

Coliforms bacteria were determined by MPN (Most Probable Number) method (5-tubes test) through incubation of sample into tubes of lactose broth at 35 °C for 48h. Then tubes were examined for the appearance of gas bubbles within the Durham tubes in Brilliant Green Bile Broth 2% at 37 °C for 24hr and the tubes were selected for determination of fecal

coliforms which have produced gas. Fecal coliforms are bacteria that are associated with human or animal waste.

Detection of the fecal coliforms:

It is indicative of fecal pollution and the possible presence of enteric pathogens. A loop of a positive tube was inoculated to EC broth tube and peptone water. Tubes incubated for 24 to 48 hr at 44.5 °C. Cultures that produced gas in EC broth tubes and followed by subsequent positive reaction in Indole test (Hitchins et al., 1998). The total coliforms and fecal coliforms counts were performed based on international Standard No.9308(2000).

Statistical Analysis

The data were analyzed by SPSS software, V.16.0 (Mean \pm SD). A probability level of (P<0.05) was considered as significant.

Results and Discussion

According to (Table 1)., among examined brands, the highest and lowest mean value of nitrate were related to brands of G (22.78 mg/l) and J (0.85 mg/l), respectively; and were B (0.02 mg/l) and C (0.007 mg/l), respectively for nitrite. Also, a significant difference was observed (p<0.05) in nitrate and nitrite levels among different brands, but they were ranging into an acceptable level. The results of this study were in agreement with the study by Jahed -Khaniki et al. (2008) and Godini et al. (2012). The mean value of the sodium cation in the J brand was the highest with 19 mg/l, whereas F, C and D brands had the lowest values (2 mg/l). Also, among the different measured brands, the I brand (0.7

mg/l), and C and D brands had the lowest values (0.1 mg/l) level of potassium cation. The high amount of these minerals is of matter of health concern. Because of the elevated potassium level in bottled water can be a cause of renal disorders and sodium plays a role in developing high blood pressure (EPA, 2006). Statistical analysis showed a significant difference (p<0.05) between the mean values of these two cations and all of them were in accordance to the standard level. The level of total dissolved solids (TDS) and turbidity parameters were below the acceptable limit (Table 1). The values of pH for all samples ranged between 6.9-8.0 and the values of TDS ranged between 118-339 mg/l which falls within limits allowed by the WHO Standard of Drinking Water. Shown in Table 1. In this study, pH and TDS levels in the samples were in optimal standard limits. There is a study on bottled water in Kuwait indicated to about 44% of the samples had pH values higher than 8 and 8% of them were slightly acidic (Alfraji et al., 1999). The prevalence of total coliforms and fecal coliforms are shown in Table 2. Coliform bacteria were detected in 5 out of 40 of bottles of water. None of the bottle samples was positive for fecal coliforms. The findings showed that 14.42 % of the bottled water sampled contains coliform organisms and they failed to meet the World Health Organization standard for coliforms in bottled water (WHO,2003). Similar findings were found by El-Abagy et al. (2000) in Greece. There are studies conducted in Bangladesh showed that most of the bottled water in Dakar the capital of Bangladesh were contaminated by microorganisms (Ahmed et al., 2013). 18-liter refilled water samples were more exposed to pollution because of their content of microorganisms (Alabdu and Khan,

1995). This may be due to using for purposes other, and therefore be a source of water pollution when filling bottles again (Isam and Bahaa, 2010). Therefore, compounding the suspicion of most consumers that bottled water may not, after all, be the clean and safe alternative they were looking for this reason (Lisa et al., 2015). The presence of coliforms in bottled water suggests the potential presence of pathogenic enteric microorganisms, and it requires an improved surveillance system for bottled water. The total coliform of bottled water according to WHO is zero (WHO,2003). Total coliforms in 100 ml water were also zero in the A, C, D, E, G and I brands. It was more than zero among the brands of B, F, H and J are shown in (Table 2). These results did not agree with the study conducted by Alabdula and Khan (1995). There was the meaningful difference (P<0.05) between total mean values of coliforms/100 ml in a different sample and it shows that the quality of these bottled waters is somewhat unhealthy for public consumption. Coliforms bacteria accepted as microbial contamination indicators by WHO. The presence of coliform bacteria can indicate diseases causing pathogens in water. Therefore, the consumption of contaminated bottled waters should be limited (Hammer and Hammer, 2007). In this study, the B, F, H and J brands are positive from coliforms bacteria, and they are not suitable for consumption.

There are a study conducted in South Africa showed that eight local and two brands of bottled water were free of total and fecal coliforms of bacteria (Ehlers et al.,2004). Abed and Alwakeel (2007) indicated to there is contamination with some bacteria in two of the 30 (6.7%) bottled samples. It is important to identify contaminated bottled water and to prevent the consumption of these samples.

Table 1: Physico-chemical parameters of bottled water brands in the Tripoli city and its suburbs

Brands TDS	pН	\mathbf{K}^{+}	Na ⁺	Turbidity	Nitrite	Nitrate
Samples (mg/l)	5	(mg/l)	(mg/l)	NTU	(mg/l)	(mg/l)
\overline{A}	7.52±0.2 2±0.4	0.2±0.003	13±0.3	0.30±0.01	0.010±0.001	2.52±0.22
В	7.49±0.15 5±0.4	0.2 ± 0.002	14±0.1	0.35 ± 0.02	0.020 ± 0.002	6.24±0.35
<i>C</i> 118±	8.0±0.1 -0.3	0.1±0.003	2±0.3	0.50 ± 0.02	0.007±0.001	5.45±0.54
D 180±	7.89±0.15 =0.7	0.1±0.002	2±0.1	0.40 ± 0.01	0.014±0.002	9.19±0.34
E 169.	7.82±0.1 1±0.6	0.3±0.002	7±0.2	0.39±0.01	0.018±0.001	10.0±0.4
F 146.2	7.79±0.2 2±0.5	0.4±0.003	2±0.1	0.30 ± 0.02	0.014 ± 0.001	2.81±0.55
G 165±	7.98±0.15 ±0.30	0.2±0.003	3±0.1	0.36±0.01	0.014 ± 0.002	22.78±0.35
H 124±	7.31±0.1 -0.4	0.6 ± 0.004	9±0.3	0.33±0.02	0.010±0.001	6.45±0.50
<i>I</i> 259±	7.72±0.2 ±0.4	0.7±0.003	15±0.2	0.34 ± 0.02	0.013±0.002	4.61±0.60
J 339±	6.90±0.1 ±0.5	0.5±0.002	19±0.4	0.33±0.01	0.012±0.002	0.85±0.10
WHO*	6.6-8.5 1000	20	200	5	1.0	45

^{*} The World Health Organization, 2003, guidelines for drinking water.

Table 2: Prevalence of total coliforms in sample bottled water according

to brands of purchase.

Assessment of Microbial and Chemical Contamination in Re-Usable 18 Liter Bottled water Marketed in Tripoli City and its Suburbs Fathi K. Elyaagubi¹, Salem M. EL-Nakeib², Hassin N. Adam³ ,and Amjad T. Shaktur³

Brands	No. Samples tested	No. (%) of sample positive		
		Total coliforms	Fecal coliforms	
A	4	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	
В	4	2(40)	0(0.0)	
C	4	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	
D	4	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	
E	4	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	
F	4	1(20)	0(0.0)	
G	4	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	
Н	4	1(200)	0(0.0)	
I	4	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	
J	4	1(20)	0(0.0)	
Overall	40	5(14.42)	0(0.0)	

CONCLUSION

Based on the recommended zero tolerance for microbial faecal in drinking water by WHO. The high levels of microbial indicators recorded in this study indicate the possibility that pathogenic microorganisms and parasites might be present in the 18 liter refilled bottles currently offered for sale at the local market. Such a situation might pose a threat to public health. Since the bottles are of the re-usable type, thus, the reasons behind the high levels.

REFERENCE

Abed, K. and Alwakeel, S. (2007). Mineral and Microbial Contents of Bottled and Tap Water in Riyadh, Saudia Arabia, Middle -East J. Scientific Res., 2,151-156.

Ahmed, W., Yusuf, R., Hasan, I., Ashraf, W., Goonetilleke. A., Toze, S., and Road, B. (2013). Fecal indicators and bacterial pathogens in bottled water from Dhaka, Bangladesh. Brazilian Journal of Microbiology. 103(44), 97–103.

Alabdu, I. and Khan, M.(1995). Microbiological quality of bottled water in Saudi Arabia. J. Environ. Sci. Health, A30(10):2229-2241.

Alfraji K.M., Abd El Aleem M.K. and Al Ajmy H. (1999). Comparative study of potable and mineral waters available in the State of Kuwait. Desalination. 123,253-264.

Bharath J., M., Motilal S., Sandy S., Sharma S., Tessaro T., Thomas K., Umamaheswaran M., Simeon D. and Adesiyun, A.(2003) Microbial quality of domestic and imported brands of bottled water in Trinidad.Int. J. Food Microbiol.81, 53-62.

Crittenden J.C., Trussell R. R., Hand D.W., Howe K.J., Tchobanoglous G.(2012). Water Treatment: Principles and Design. 3th edition. John Wiley and Sons.

Diduch M., Polkowska Z. , and Namiesnik J. (2013). Factors affecting the quality of bottled water. J. Expo. Sci. Environ. Epidemiol. 23, 111-119.

Echoru, I., Ayikobua, E., Emorut, S. (2015). A Study to Investigate Major Community Health Challenges and Their Predisposing Factors in Bushenyi District of South Western Uganda. OJEPI. 81–88.

Ehlers, M., VanZyl, W., Pavlov, D. And Muller, E.(2004). A random survey of the microbial quality of bottled water in South Africa, Water SA., 30,203-210.

Environmental Protection Agency, US (EPA). (2006). Overview of contaminations and their potential Health effects. Edition of the Drinking water standard and Health Advisors.

El-Abagy, M., Dutka, M., Kamel, M.and El-Zanfaly, H.(2000). Jncidence of Coliphage in Potable Water Supplies. Water Pollution Control Laboratory, National Research Centre, Cairo, Egypt, 79, 761-769.

Eric, D.B. and Isaac, K.F. (2013). Quality analysis of plastic sachet and bottled water brands produced or sold in kumasi Ghana. International Journal of Development and Sustainability. 2(4),2222-2232.

Gleson, C. and Gray, N. (1997). The Coliform Index and Waterborne Disease. E and FN Spon, London, 194.

Godini K., Sayehmiri K., Alyan G., Alavi S., Rostami R. (2012). Investigation of Microbial and Chemical Quality of Bottled Waters Distributed in Ilam western Iran (2009-10). Journal of Ilam University of Medical Sciences. 20, 33-37.

Guler C. (2007). Evaluation of maximum contaminant levels in Turkish bottled drinking waters utilizing parameters reported on manufacturer's labelling and government-issued production licenses. Journal of Food Composition and Analysis . 20, 262-272.

Hammer, J. and Hammer, M. (2007). Water and Wastewater Technology. Prentice Hall,6th Edition.

Hitchins, D., Feng, P., Watking, W., Rippey, S., and Chandler, L.(1998). Escherichia coli and the coliform bacteria. Chapter. 4. In Food and Drug Administration Bacteriological Analytical Manual, 8th ed., AOA CInternational, Gaithersburg, MD.

Hunter, P. R. (1997). Waterborne Disease. Epidemiology and Ecology, John Wiley and Sons, Chichester, U K.

Jahed-Khaniki G.R., Mahdavi M., Ghasri A., Saeednia S. (2008). Investigation of nitrate concentrations in some bottled water

available in Tehran.Iranian Journal of Health and Environment . 1, 45-50.

Leivadara S.V., Nikolaou A.D., Lekkas T.D. (2008). Determination of organic compounds in bottled waters. Food Chemistry. 108, 277-286.

Liguori, G., Cavallotti, I., Arnese, A., Amiranda, C., Anas-tasi, D., and Angelillo, I. F. (2010). Microbiological quality of drinking water from dispensers in Italy.BMC Microbiology.10, 1-5.

Lisa, N., Peter N., Emmanuel, E., Isaac, E., and Josephat, M.(2015). Assessment of the microbiological quality of bottled water and protected spring water in Bushenyi district, Uganda. Scholars Academic Journal of Biosciences(SAJB).3(11), 896-900.

Isam, S. and Bahaa, N.(2010). Detection of Microbial and chemical contaminants of the plastic bottled drinking waters.J. Iraq market Res. .3(2),168-184.

Mellor, J., Smith, J., Samie, A., Dillingham, R.(2013). Coliform Sources and Mechanisms for Regrowth in Household Drinking Water in Limpopo, South Africa. Journal of Environmental Engineering, 139,1152–1161.

Morton Hu., Mahler LW., and Bottled RL. (2011) Water: United States consumers and their perceptions of water quality. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health. 8,565–578.

OECD. (2003). Assessing Microbial Safety of Drinking Water: Improving Approaches and Methods, IWA Publishing, Alliance House. London, UK.

Salvato J.A. (2003). Environmental Engineering and Sanitation. 3th edition. John Wiley & Sons.

Wardlaw GM, Hampl JS. Disilvestro RA. (2004). Perspectives in Nutrition. 6th ed. McGraw-Hill Publishers, New York, 372 – 412.

Williams S.P. (2001). Put the lid on bottled water. Newsweek.61, 34-38.

World Health Organization (WHO). (2003). Guidelines for Drinkingwater Quality. 3rd Edition, Geneva, www.who.int/water_sanitation_health/S.

Zazouli M.A., Safarpour -Ghadi M., Veisi A. and Habibkhani P. (2013). Bacterial Contamination in Bottled Water and Drinking Water Distribution Network in Semnan, 2012. Journal of Mazandaran University of Medical Sciences. 23, 62-70.