Root Rot of Wheat in the Libyan Jafara Plain: Chemical Control and Cultivar Susceptibility

F.A. BISHEYA, M.H. SHATER AND H.H. GULAID¹

ABSTRACT

Four seed dressing fungicides were used in controlling root rot disease caused by *Drechslera sorokiniana* on four wheat cultivars. Results showed a variation in the efficacy of fungicides and the ability of cultivars to withstand the disease infection. All fungicides used, significantly reduced the disease severity on the four wheat cultivars. Granosan was more effective with Sidi-Masri and Gameneya. All wheat cultivars used were susceptible to the pathogen.

INTRODUCTION

Wheat is one of the most important cereal crops grown in Libya. The total cultivated area during 1982/83 growing season was 248,000 hectares with a production of 208,670 tons (9). In the last few years the yield of cereal crops in the Jafara Plain Cereal Project has been decreasing. Preliminary survey of the disease situation (4) indicated that common root rot caused by *Drechslera sorokiniana* was prevalent.

Chemical seed treatment for disease control has become a standard practice for cereal crops and is relatively an easy and inexpensive method of disease control. Seed treatments have been shown to effectively control the seed-borne phase of *D. sorokiniana* in New Zealand (5). Poor results were achieved in Canada when seed treatments were used to control the root rot disease because control of the pathogens on/or around the seeds did not necessarily prevent infection of the basal stems and subcrown internodes, especially when soil borne conidia were also present (2). In New Zealand, systemic base fungicides carboxin, carboxin + thiram and carbendazim + maneb, applied at a rate of 0.4% active ingredient significantly reduced disease severity. The latter two fungicides also significantly increased plant weight over that of the untreated control (6).

Disease severity and yield loss relationship studies for two consecutive years revealed high estimate of yield losses (4). The objectives of this study were to evaluate the comparative efficacy of seed dressing fungicides in controlling root rot of wheat and the ability of wheat cultivars to withstand soilborne conidial infection.

Agricultural Research Center, Plant Protection Unit, Tripoli, Libya.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Four wheat cultivars (Gameneya, Sidi Masri, Tannuri and; Mexicali) were grown under dry farming conditions at Jafara Plain Cereal Project. The common name, active ingredients (a.i.) and percent a.i. of the four fungicides were:

- 1) Agrosan, (Phenyl mercury acetate) 1.6% W/W organomercury.
- Granosan, Carbendazim (Methyl-2 benzimidazole carbamate; A 5% Maneb (Manganese-ethylene bis-dithiocarbamate 60%.
- Mancozeb (1, 2-ethanediyl biscarbamodithioato (2-) manganese mixture with (1, 2 ethanediylbis (Carbamodithioato) (2-) Zinc. 80%.
- 4) Quintozene (Terrachlor) Pentachloro nitrobenzene 75%.+ Fenaminosulf (Dexon) Sodium 4-Dimethylamino-phenyl diazene sulfonate 70%.

A mixture of quintozene and fenaminosulf was also used in this trial. Fungicides were mixed directly with the seed in a jar at a rate of 2gms formulation per kilogram of seeds. Three replicates of 20 plots $2m^2$ were set up for each treatment/cultivar combination in Abushayba where conidia of *Drechslera sorokiniana* were abundantly recovered from the soils tested. Field plots were fertilized with ammonium phosphate at a rate of 170 kg/ha. The disease score was tested at growth stage 11.3 (7) by sampling 100 plants randomly selected from each treatment as suggested by Ledingham (8).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Results are summarized in Table 1. They indicate a variation both in the efficacy of fungicides and the ability of cultivars to withstand the disease infection. All the fungicides used significantly reduced disease severity on the four wheat cultivars compared with untreated control. Granosan, Mancozeb, and PCNB + Dexon were not significantly different among themselves when dressed on Gameneya seeds. However, there was significant difference between granosan and agrosan. Granosan and agrosan gave better disease control with Sidi Masri compared with Mancozeb and PCNB + Dexon. Similar results were obtained by using different seed dressing fungicides i.e., carboxin, carboxin-thiram, carboxin-captan, benomyl, Mancozebe, Duter, Panogen 15, Panogen PX, and LFA 2043 for the control of root rot of wheat and barley (1, 2, 3, 5, 10). Variations in the efficacy of fungicides used in this experiment were due to chemical structure, mode of action and other properties of each chemical.

Wheat cultivars	Fungicides ^a				
	Agrosan	Granosan	Mancozeb	PCNB + Dexon	Control
Gameneya	15 ^b	11.2	12.4	13.1	19.6
Sidi Masri	6.2	4.7	11.9	10.2	17.6
Mexicali	14.25	13.4	14.0	15.3	20.0
Tannuri	11.8	14.5	14.3	14.6	20.2

Table 1 — Comparison of disease incidence on wheat cultivars fungicides mixtures.

a = Treatment = 200 gm. fungicide/ 100kgm seeds LSD at 5% 3.65

b = % disease incidence.

Our results show that all wheat cultivars used were susceptible to the pathogen (Table 1). In New zealand no barley cultivar was immune to *D. sorokiniana*, although some recently released cultivars were less susceptible than the widely grown cultivar Zephyr (6). Variations existed within cultivars for a given chemical treatment. These variations may be due to the genes of the cultivars. The continuing and more wide spread use of these and similar seed treatments should ensure that spread of the pathogen via seed-borne inoculum is controlled and the use of seed treatments allied with low population of conidia in the soil, coupled with sanitation and other cultural practices, may lead to a reduction in root rot of cereals or at least prevent the build up of the pathogen involved.

LITERATURE CITED

- Chinn, S.H.F. 1971. Biological effect of panogen PX in soil on common root rot and growth response of wheat seedlings. *Phytopathology*. 61: 98-101.
- Chinn, S.H.F. 1977. Influence of fungicide sprays on sporulation of *Cochliobolus* sativus on Cypress wheat and on conidial populations in soil. *Phytopathology* 67: 133-138.
- 3. Clark, R.V. and V.R. Wallen. 1969. Seed infection of barley by *Cochliobolus sativus* and its influence on yield. *Canadian Plant Disease Survey*. 49:60-64.
- Gulaid, H.H., F.A. Biosheya, and Z.A. Siddiqui. 1983. Common root rot of cereal in Jafara Plain in Libya-incidence and losses. (unpublished report). ARC. Tripoli, Libya 8 pages.
- 5. Hampton, J.G. 1978 Seed treatments for the control of *Drechslera sorokiniana* in barley. *New Zealand Journal of Experimental Agriculture*. 6. 85-89.
- Hampton, J.G. 1979. The presence and effects of seed-borne and soil borne Drechslera sorokiniana on barley in New Zealand. IV. Chemical control and cultivar susceptibility. New Zealand Journal Experimental Agriculture. 7: 395-398.
- 7. Large, E.C. 1954. Growth stages in cereals; illustration of Feekes scale. Plant Pathology 3: 128-129.
- 8. Ledingham, R.J. 1970. Special Method 29. In «Crop loss Assessment Methods», FAO, Rome.
- Secretariat of Agricultural Reclamation and Land Development (report in Arabic). Department of Planning and Agricultural Statistics. Tripoli, Libya. 32 pages.
- Sheridan, J.E. 1975. Helminthosporium disease of cereal seed diseases and their control. Proceedings of Seminar on cereal seed diseases and their control. Lincolin College, New zealand. pp. 37-51.

تعفن جذور محصول القمح بسهل الجفارة بليبيا المكافحة الكيماوية وقابلية الأصناف للاصابة

فوزي بشيه، مصطفى الشاطر وحسين جوليد

المستخلص

تم معاملة بذور أربعة أصناف من القمح (سيدي المصري، جامينا، تـانوري، ومكسيكالي) بالمبيدات الفطرية (جرانوسان، أجروسان، مـانكوزيب، ومخلوط من تراكلور وديكسون) لمكافحة مرض تعفن جذور محصول القمح المتسبب عن الفطر Dreschslera sorokiniana بنسبة 200 جرام/ 100 كجم بذور.

وأوضحت النتائج بأن هناك فروقات متباينة من حيث فاعلية المبيدات ومدى مقاومة الأصناف للإصابة بالكائن الممرض، كما أثبتت أيضاً أن المبيدات الفطرية لها تأثير إيجابي وفعال في مكافحة المرض على الأصناف الأربعة عند مقارنتها بالبذور غير المعاملة (مقارنة)، أما المبيد الفطري جرانوسان فقد كان له تأثير جيد فقط على الصنفين سيدي المصري وجامينا، كما أن الأصناف المستعملة في هذه الدراسة لم تكن مقاومة للإصابة بالفطر

مطابع ادیتار

احدى مؤسسات الشركة العربية الليبية للاستثمارات الخارجية كاليرى – إيطاليا – هاتف : 2003 / 070 – مبرق : 791055